1-21-12

#### Jackie Henderson

From:

elizabeth gibney <bethgibney@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 26, 2012 12:49 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Waterfront Plan and What Went Wrong

**Attachments:** 

ATT00001.txt

# COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

Time: [Thu Jan 26, 2012 12:48:54] Message ID: [36634]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: elizabeth

Last Name: gibney

Street Address: 300 South Lee Street

City: alexandria

State: VA

Zip: 22314

Phone: 7038368048

Email Address: bethgibney@gmail.com

Subject: Waterfront Plan and What Went Wrong

Dear Mayor, Vice Mayor and Council Members

I don't think there would be

any disagreement from either side of the waterfront issue, that this

had

divided the community: elected officials and commerce on one side -

Alexandria residents

on the other - (and not just Old Town, as was

evident by many other neighborhoods that

testified against the plan).

It's certainly does not split down party lines as some of you and the

Comments:

papers have suggested. Council members split from their political bases

to vote and voters

disagreed with the council members of their

"regular" party. Actually it has brought many local

democrats

and republicans together for the first time ever, and I think you will see

a lot of cross

over voting in November as a result of this waterfront

vote.

What went wrong was you didn't listen to the community. And when

it became evident you didn't have community support, the business

community and chamber, and council of economic development started to get

nervous and concocted a "citizens group", Waterfront4All and

you happily aligned yourselves (those who voted for the plan at least) with

them and

expected us to buy the lie that the community was

"split". No the community is not split! This is one of your

mistakes that built mistrust. You were too happy to flaunt them as your

"citizen and community support". I know the people who

testified. I've lived here for 25 years. I've used the structural

engineer, I know the builder, I've eaten in the restaurants of the owners,

I know the

developer who's son works for one of the 11 waterfront

property owners, all "citizens" who were the engine behind

Waterfront4All. - I know their businesses, I know their investments, I know

where their children work, etc. It was insulting for them to testify as

just another citizen and it was insulting to us for you to think we

bought that story. They told half truths, which in fact, are worst kind

of lies - deceitful. So Mr. Krupicka, just as you were angered by the

anonymous

writer on Patch who criticized your use of a city alley,

imagine how we feel listening to the other "citizens" group

support of the plan. How about a little disclosure there? Just like you

demanded of the writer, we demand of you and your supporters - tell us

who you REALLY are.
Don't hide behind them. Tell us - The council's plan

has the support of businesses, the chamber, developers, but we DON'T have

the support of the residents. Such as, the Old Town resident who

neglected to identify himself as a structural engineer, who has worked on

restaurant projects in town, and was there to support his client, who is

a business owner in Old

Town - but along with many others - no mention of

his work - just a quip about "not defecating where you live..."

unless that's where you also get fed! Waterfront4All was deceitful, and so

was

your embrace of them. And that hurt you all and built great mistrust.

For the same reasons Mr.

Krupicka that anonymous writers are distateful,

so are business and chamber people who mask as "plain old

citizens" because that was the major hole in your support, and elected

officials

who hide behind them. So let's get this straight, you have

little to no community support for the current plan - unless you count

the one supporter on S Fairfax who couldn't find anyone to take her

Waterfront4All posters, so papered most of her own windows with them.

When and if you ever REALLY listen to the REAL citizens, the residents,

who have been shut out of the process, who are also tax payers and

voters, not just business and government, you might start the healing

process of this broken community. We are reasonable and we know there

will be and needs to be development - just don't tell us you know what's

best for us. We need

REAL input and we need to be heard.

**Beth Gibney** 

From: Sent: Kathryn Papp <kpappva@gmail.com> Thursday, January 26, 2012 12:58 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Waterfront VOTE

**Attachments:** 

70a6a9678f2c9926f358a30898ba4f3a.doc; ATT00001.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Thu Jan 26, 2012 12:57:54] Message ID: [36635]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Kathryn Last Name: Papp

Street Address: 504 Cameron Street

City: Alexandria

**State:** VA **Zip:** 22314

Phone: 703 684 8448

Email Address: kpappva@gmail.com

Subject: Waterfront VOTE

Dear Mayor Euille, Vice Mayor and City Council:

The irregularity of the

vote on the waterfront taken Saturday, January 21, 2012 seems

symptomatic

of a

larger more difficult problem with the process that produced the

current waterfront plan. See attached

email string.

At every juncture

where a conflict situation appeared it was aided by the zoning and

Comments: planning

department.

Instead of going to a resolution phase, something that

managers who are experienced and desirous of

high quality outcomes,

undertake, an intractable position was maintained. This was aided by

disinformation and positions that were easily corrected for their

errors.

Maintaining this type of process manipulation with new levels of

transparency leveraged by the internet will be hard to maintain through

the "implementation" phase of the plan. In fact, it is this lack

of resolving key differences that has led to disruptive and delayed

waterfront plan implementations; something learned when looking at the

experiences of many other cities.

Taking a little longer to iron out

severe differences at points when they occur is the wiser move in the long

run. The city still is in a tough place when it comes to appeasing ALL

private property owners along the waterfront, and now private property

owners throughout the city are seeking better solution to continued

conflicts.

Hire a high level negotiator and do what needs to be done.

The vote as it stands did little to mitigate the inherent

conflicts.

Best,

Kathryn Papp

Attachment: 70a6a9678f2c9926f358a30898ba4f3a.doc

January 25, 2012

## Dear Nancy:

Thank you. I was puzzled by the apearance on the website on Friday at 4:00 pm of a file referencing waterfront documents labeled "errata report". When I opened it it featured the CAAWP report as what was referenced in this errata report. Then, the following morning around 5:30am the file was gone, and there was a new file: CAAWP report. Does this mean both the Work Group and CAAWP reports will be used to amend the Draft Small Area Waterfront Plan? This is totally unclear, and rather alarming.

Further, it remains a problem that the public never knew, eventhough it may have had access online, what city staff provided officials for this vote. There was no final document or attachments featuring Draft Waterfront Small Area Plan "goals or guidelines" available on the table outside council chambers. This is highly irregular. And together with the disappearing online files, there is no assurance that online information is either reliable or accurate.

All this despite the fact that staff had *eleven* days between the Council Work Session of January 10 and the vote on January 21 to prepare a final document clearly stating the goals and guidelines as featured for this all important vote. The areas of disagreement were often substantial and complicated, and it was obvious from Councils' questions that a number of key issues remained lacking in specificity or provision to all of us of a clear and accurate document laying them out, such as heights limits.

This remains such a contentious issue that Zoning & Planning staff, especially the director as a final check and as ultimately responsible, needs to do a much better job. That the validity of the Saturday vote may be jeapordized for having voted on a nonexistant document would just be another notch down in public trust.

Another vote may be warranted to correct what is really one more major disruption in the process of producing this waterfront development plan. It has been a rocky road. Many conflict situations have been created by the staff's intractable and at times misleading statements, which have been further fueled by sloppy work. I'd like to see us all on the same page with this plan before it moves ahead. I would like to be proud of my city for doing an outstanding job on a complex plan. Right now that is not possible.

Kathryn Papp

January 24, 2012

Dear Ms. Papp,

Thank you for your communication of below in which you inquire about the material which the City Council had in a binder on Saturday, January 21, 2012 for the Waterfront Small Area Plan hearing. The binder that you reference contained nothing except the staff report and several attachments, all of which were available to the public well ahead of the meeting and at the meeting itself. The Waterfront Plan Work Group Report has not been updated and, since it is complete, will not be updated in the future.

#### Material in the Binder

**Document** 

The material in the binder had <u>no new information</u>; instead, it consisted of hard copies of Docket Item #4 for the Saturday, January 21, 2012 City Council Public Hearing. For you convenience, I am supplying you with links to each of the items, in case you have not downloaded them already and wish to do so. Please know that the Attachments to the Staff Report were placed online and accessible to the public as of Friday, January 13, 2012 and they remain online. The Staff Report was posted on Tuesday, January 17, 2012 and remains online as well. Additionally, hard copies of the Staff Report and Attachments 1B – VII were available on the lobby table outside the Public Hearing Room for public access.

- 1. **Staff Report for Docket Item #4** City Council Docket Master Plan Amendment #2011-0001 Text Amendment #2011-0005, Waterfront Small Area Plan and Text Amendment.
  - MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT #2011-0001□TEXT AMENDMENT #2011-0005□WATERFRONT SMALL AREA PLAN

To access this item, please click the above **online** link from the City Council Docket or link to the City Council Docket for January 21, 2012 directly at <a href="http://dockets.alexandriava.gov/DSR/FY11Dock.nsf/536ee1fcf306fd">http://dockets.alexandriava.gov/DSR/FY11Dock.nsf/536ee1fcf306fd</a> 108525704b0064fc94/0cada4038701aed5852579810055e9fc?Open

- 2. Recommended Changes to the Waterfront Small Area Plan from the following online Attachments to the Staff Report
  - Attachment IV: January 6, 2012, Joint Work Session

Staff Memorandum – 1/10/2012 Work Session Recommended Changes

 Attachment V: Complete List of the Work Group's Recommendations

To access these items, please use the following **online** links from the City Council Docket for January 21, 2012:

http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/planning/info/Waterfront/Attachment%20IV\_paginated.pdf

http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/planning/info/Waterfront/Attachment%20V\_paginated.pdf

# 3. Waterfront Small Area Plan (Attachment 1A) and Text Amendment (Attachment 1B)

Attachment 1A and 1B - Draft Waterfront Small Area
 Plan and Text Amendment

To access these items please use the following **online** link from the City Council Docket for January 21, 2012:

http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/planning/info/Waterfront/Entire %20Plan No%20Appendices 080111 258pm.pdf

http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/planning/info/Waterfront/Attachment%20IB\_paginated.pdf

# The Waterfront Plan Work Group Report

In terms of the Work Group Report, it was finalized on December 20, 2011 and has been online since that time.

http://alexandriava.gov/uploadedFiles/Homepage\_Content/features/December20 WorkGroupReportFINAL.pdf

No updates have or will be made to that document.

I trust you will find this information helpful. However, please feel free to contact me if you have any further questions.

# **Nancy**

Nancy J. Williams
Principal Planner
Department of Planning and Zoning
301 King Street, Room 2100
Alexandria, VA 22314
703.746.3851 – phone
703.746.4666 – main

**From:** Kathryn Papp [mailto:kpappva@gmail.com] □**Sent:** Tuesday, January 24, 2012 11:40 AM□**To:** Nancy Coats□**Subject:** COA Contact Us: Duplicate of Waterfront Plan

## **COA Contact Us: City Manager's Office Rashad Young**

Time: [Tue Jan 24, 2012 11:40:18] Message ID: [36576]

Issue Type: Rashad Young

First Name: Kathryn Last Name: Papp

Street Address: 504 Cameron Street

City: Alexandria

State: VA Zip: 22314 Phone: 703 684

Email Address: kpappva@gmail.com

Subject: Duplicate of Waterfront Plan

Dear Mr. Young: □□I'm following up on a hand-written request with □ □your office yesterday to obtain an exact duplicate □cop binder □ given to the Mayor, Vice-Mayor, and Council membe January 21, □ □ 2012 vote on □the waterfront plan. □ □ As a citiz like to know □ exactly what the large binder that was held up ( discussion 🗆 🗆 period preceding the vote contained. There wa publicly available, □ □ so in effect I along with everyone □ else h what our elected □ □ officials voted on. □ □ If one of council can r his/her binder publicly □ □ accessible right now and in an easy t place, □where one can sit and □ □read through at will, this wou best possible solution. This can □ □ be done □ immediately, so € impossible to act on. At the least □this is a courtesy to citizen □council member should graciously□□comply with.□□I under an amended Work Group Plan will soon be □out; however, th what was voted on □and therefore, does not□□reflect the info used for the vote. □ □ It is dismaying to think that □ □ this could h avoided by the Planning and Zoning staff using the □eleven [ between the Council Work Session on January 10 and this vot

produce a clear and succinct □rendition of the docket items up vote □ and make these available on the lobby tables for citize □ attending the □ □ public hearing. This is normal procedure, an mandated by □ □ Virginia code. □ □ Thank you for your time and on this important □ □ issue. □ □ Respectfully submitted, □ Kathryn

Comments:

122

#### SPEAKER'S FORM

# DOCKET ITEM NO. 4 PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND GIVE IT TO THE CITY CLERK BEFORE YOU SPEAK ON A DOCKET ITEM.

#### PLEASE ANNOUNCE THE INFORMATION SPECIFIED BELOW PRIOR TO SPEAKING.

1. NAME: Roy Shannon

2. ADDRESS: 201 N. Union St., Suite 140, Alexandria, VA, 22314

TELEPHONE NO. 703-328-8285 E-MAIL: rrshannon@rrbmdk.com

3. WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT, IF OTHER THAN YOURSELF?

A number of owners of land in Alexandria

4. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION ON THE ITEM?

Other Procedural Objection

5. NATURE OF YOUR INTEREST IN ITEM (PROPERTY OWNER, ATTORNEY, LOBBYIST, CIVIC INTEREST, ETC.):

Attorney

6. ARE YOU RECEIVING COMPENSATION FOR THIS APPEARANCE BEFORE COUNCIL?

Yes

This form shall be kept as a part of the permanent record in those instances where financial interest or compensation is indicated by the speaker.

A maximum of three minutes will be allowed for your presentation, except that one officer or other designated member speaking on behalf of each *bona fide* neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association desiring to be heard on a docket item shall be allowed five minutes. In order to obtain five minutes, you must identify yourself as a designated speaker, and identify the neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association you represent, at the start of your presentation. If you have a prepared statement, please leave a copy with the Clerk.

Additional time not to exceed 15 minutes may be obtained with the consent of the majority of the council present; provided notice requesting additional time with reasons stated is filed with the City Clerk in writing before 5:00 p.m. of the day preceding the meeting.

The public normally may speak on docket items only at public hearing meetings, and not at regular legislative meetings. Public hearing meetings are usually held on the Saturday following the second Tuesday in each month; regular legislative meetings on the second and fourth Tuesdays in each month. The rule with respect to when a person may speak to a docket item at a legislative meeting can be waived by a majority vote of council members present but such a waiver is not normal practice. When a speaker is recognized, the rules of procedures for speakers at public hearing meetings shall apply. If an item is docketed for public hearing at a regular legislative meeting, the public may speak to that item, and the rules of procedures for speakers at public hearing meetings shall apply.

From:

Nancy Belmont <nbelmont@belmontinc.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 20, 2012 4:44 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Good luck tomorrow in chambers!

Attachments:

ATT00002.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Fri Jan 20, 2012 16:44:02] Message ID: [36351]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Nancy
Last Name: Belmont

Street Address: 212 E Windsor Avenue

City: Alexandria

**State:** VA **Zip:** 22301

Phone: 571-218-9903

Email Address: <a href="mailto:nbelmont@belmontinc.com">nbelmont@belmontinc.com</a>

Subject: Good luck tomorrow in chambers!

Hello Mr. Mayor, Mr. Vice Mayor and City Council members,

Just a quick

note to wish you luck tomorrow in what will doubtless be a long day of

listening to your constituents battle over the waterfront. You should have

Comments: a good showing to support the plan and all of us supporters aim to provide

political cover to you should you decide the plan be adopted.

Thanks for

your service! See you tomorrow.

Nancy Belmont

From:

Michael & Carol Schmitz <mschmitzbe@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 20, 2012 4:43 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Alexandria Waterfront Plan

**Attachments:** 

ATT00001.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Fri Jan 20, 2012 16:42:39] Message ID: [36350]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Michael & Carol

Last Name: Schmitz

Street Address: 505 Pendleton Street

City: Alexandria
State: Virginia
Zip: 22314

Phone: 703-566-1015

Email Address: mschmitzbe@gmail.com

Subject: Alexandria Waterfront Plan

We support the proposed development plan for the Alexandria waterfront

currently before the

Alexandria City Council. We first came to

Alexandria in 1967 when my wife taught at St Mary's School

on Green St

and I attended Georgetown Law School. We now live on Pendleton St

near the

Metro Bus

Barn and would like to see the current proposed waterfront

development plan approved by the Alexandria City Council. We have

Comments:

attended several of the public hearings on the waterfront

development

plan and believe that the Alexandria City Council has paid attention to

suggestions from

Alexandria citizens for improving the original

waterfront development plan. The waterfront is for all

citizens of

Alexandria not just those of us fortunate enough to live in Old Town

Alexandria. Michael and Carol Schmitz

From: Catherine Barry <sonex561@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, January 20, 2012 4:41 PM

**To:** William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject: COA Contact Us: waterfront plan

Attachments: ATT00001.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Fri Jan 20, 2012 16:41:15] Message ID: [36348]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Catherine
Last Name: Barry

Street Address: 310 Summers Dr

City: Alexandria

**State**: ∨A **Zip**: 22301

Phone: 7032994649

Email Address: sonex561@yahoo.com

Subject: waterfront plan

I wish to express again that my husband, Rich McKinney, and I are

opposed

to the waterfront plan. In brief, --we oppose rezoning near the

waterfront, and

--we oppose using eminent domain to take over the parking

lot of the Boat Club.

The most historic core of Old Town is not/not

where we should permit densification. Developments near the

metro stops

Comments: have worked out well. None of the reasons why those developments work

well

are present in

the historic core of Old Town.

We are in favor of

developing the waterfront at a slower pace than proposed in the plan and

taking into

account how the area around the power plant may also be used

for the advantage of the community.

Thank you for your consideration of

these views, Catherine Barry

From:

John Sprinkle < John. Sprinkle@verizon.net>

Sent:

Friday, January 20, 2012 4:39 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Please do not approve Waterfront (non)Plan

Attachments:

ATT00001.txt

# **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Fri Jan 20, 2012 16:39:18] Message ID: [36347]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: John

Last Name: Sprinkle

Street Address: 603 Johnston Place

City: Alexandria

State: Virginia Zip: 22301

Phone: 703-519-6112

Email Address: John. Sprinkle@verizon.net

Subject: Please do not approve Waterfront (non)Plan

Mr. Mayor and Members of City Council:

Last night the membership of the

Rosemont Citizens Association debated the proposed plan to re-zone 8.5

acres of our city's waterfront. As you can well imagine, there was

detailed and substantive discussion of the issues and a vibrant exchange

of

ideas and concerns.

A motion to recommend that City Council reject the

currently proposed plan did not pass, due to a tie vote among the

Comments: members

present.

A motion to defer consideration of the proposed plan, however,

was approved by the assembled residents. I urge you to listen to the

majority of the city's residents and defer consideration of this ill-formed

plan.

The foundation of Alexandria's social, cultural, and economic

viability is firmly seated on its historic qualities and authenticity--no

where in the proposed plan is there any real consideration for the

preservation of these values.

Vote NO on the proposed (non)plan.

John

Sprinkle

From:

Tony Kupersmith <tkupersmith@pccii.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 26, 2012 3:27 PM

To:

Jackie Henderson

Subject:

Comments Prepared for City Council on Waterfront Plan Docket item 4 of January 21,

2012 City Council Meeting

Attachments:

Statement\_Draft\_0120.doc

Jackie,

Please include the attached statement into the record of those who spoke against the City approval of the Small Area Waterfront Plan. I was speaker #6 but my time for prepared remarks was used responding to direct questions from Council. Nothing the in vote or the record has changed the content of the attached comments.

Thank you,

John A. (Tony) Kupersmith 214 S Royal St

--

Tony Kupersmith
PCCI, Inc.
300 N. Lee St.
Alexandria, VA 22314
Direct Line at PCCI 703 229 1103
703 684 2060 x1011 (office)
703 684 5343 (fax)
www.pccii.com

Tony Kupersmith – 200 block of S Royal – I am opposed to the proposed Small Area Plan and the W-1 zoning text changes. I also want to associate my remarks with the Minority Report of the Work Group, the OTCA January 8<sup>th</sup> position statement, and the comments of Patsy Ticer, our former Mayor and State Senator.

# Principal objections to the Plan are as follows:

- 160,000 sq ft of additional build-out height and density will lower property values for nearby homeowners in Districts 1 and 2 thereby eroding the City tax base and unfairly treating these homeowners who purchased their property under the 1992 Master Plan zoning restrictions or earlier Settlement Agreement. Section 11-808 petition had over 200 residential signers within the 300 ft boundary surrounding W-1 zones. This area alone represents over \$2.5m in annual residential property tax.
- The property value of all District 1 & 2 dwellings and their tax contribution dwarfs the \$275m redevelopment property value and annual tax revenue estimated by the Planning Department. A 5% drop in District 1 and 2 residential property values will offset any increase in revenue from the proposed 160,000 sq ft density up-zoning. The city's staff and all the commissions have not even addressed this reality.
- All routes of ingress and egress from the RTN and C/T & RTS development will traverse "local" residential streets in an area grid comprising 50 city blocks of RM zoned residential housing in District 1 & 2. There is no magic entry/exit ramp connecting the proposed high density waterfront. This will assure further traffic congestion in the RM zones.

- No credible Traffic Impact Study has been prepared to demonstrate the capacity of the District 1 and District 2 road grid system to absorb higher density and accelerated growth. (The city's Traffic Impact study was entirely discredited as nothing more than a Level of Service analysis of traffic signals on Washington St.). The WPWG recommended Union St study is nothing more than a local street analysis of pedestrian/vehicle interactions at King and Union and ignores Union St's connection with the District 1 & 2 street grids.
- \$51m in CI is an inflated number which is full of non essential expenditures like landscape enhancements, \$5.5m for bulkheading Windmill Hill Park, and \$400K for a debris boat and includes \$6.5m for building a 4' high flood barrier that will be overtopped every ten years. Why put a hotel within a 10 year flood plane (which is what the CT property lies on)?

This is a MUST "No" vote for City Council. The residents of District 1 and 2, and many of our friends in the surrounding Districts and Areas will remember your vote on primary day and bring it to the voter's attention during the campaign.

From:

Jill Murray <murray.jillm@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 27, 2012 1:12 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Thank you

**Attachments:** 

ATT00001.txt

## COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

Time: [Fri Jan 27, 2012 13:12:12] Message ID: [36656]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Jill

Last Name: Murray

Street Address: 315 S. Union St

City: Alexandria

State: Va

Zip: 22314

Phone: 803-408-7114

Email Address: murray.jillm@gmail.com

Subject: Thank you

Thank you for your efforts on the Waterfront Plan. Managing change

means

accepting change is inevitable

and to delay things further in an effort

to prevent change was not an acceptable alternative to me. Although

Comments:

situation has been contentious, I'm pleased that the the plan was

accepted.

Jill Murray

From:

Michael Mitchell <m0897@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 27, 2012 2:27 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Waterfront

**Attachments:** 

ATT00001.txt

## COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

Time: [Fri Jan 27, 2012 14:26:55] Message ID: [36661]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Michael Last Name: Mitchell

Street Address: 335 Laverne Ave

City: Alexandria

State: Va Zip: 22305

Phone: 703-920-5730

Email Address: m0897@hotmail.com

Subject: Waterfront

Mayor, Vice Mayor and Council Members Smedberg, Krupicka,

Redalla:

Please DO NOT reconsider changing your votes on the recently

passed waterfront amendment. While I certainly understand the concern

of

the citizens committee that opposes this plan, there seems to be an a

misrepresentation with regards to those who oppose the plan, as being

majority of registered voters for the City of Alexandria. As I would gladly

Comments: tell Mr. McDonald, the 300 or so residents within the area impacted area

by

the waterfront does not qualify as a majority. I am especially incensed at

Mr. McDonald's portrayal that both him and others opposing the plan

speak a

majority for the City of Alexandria as a whole. This is simply not the

) as others, watched the 8 hours of the citizen comments prior to

the vote and it seems to me that there are PLENTY of us like minded

citizens of Alexandria who support the plan. I find it offensive that the

most recent editoral by Mr. McDonald in the Del Ray Patch suggests that we

now must put this a referendum for the entire city to vote on - NONSENSE!

The council is the only body throughout the entire process that has been part of all of these meetings, investigated what was possible and not possible and listened (and altered) plans based on citizens input. Why on earth would it be necessary for the public to vote on this? We could never understand all of the effort and planning that has gone into this decision as - THAT IS YOUR JOB AND WHY I VOTE IN ELECTIONS. We elect the mayor and

city council members to make sound, rational and long term decisions and to

do what's best of the city as a whole.

In the long run, it doesn't take

a genius to understand the the city's tax base is dwindling and the burden for more tax revenue will continue to fall on the back's of homeowners such

as myself. Does it really make sense for a select group of homeowners to deny the rest of the city, jobs and tax revenues it so desperately needs for the sake of a plan that gives these select homeowner's parks and "green space"? Is it really fair to spend time and money chasing down items such as a unfeasible museum option and soliciting the 100 wealthiest Virginians to "sponsor the waterfront". I think you would be surprised at the amount of support you all have on this from. While we may not be as vocal as the CAAWP plan - we can be if prodded.

It simply does not make sense to not develop this valuable resource of the waterfront property smartly so it will benefit all of Alexandria for years to come and not just to appease a select group of homeowners.

Please continue the course for this project. Do not let NIMBYism become a deciding factor for the future of the waterfront.

Thank you.

Michael Mitchell.

From:

Richard Holderman <raholderman@verizon.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 31, 2012 4:50 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Waterfront

**Attachments:** 

ATT00001.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Tue Jan 31, 2012 16:50:15] Message ID: [36753]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Holderman

Street Address: 17 Alexander Street

City: Alexandria
State: Virginia
Zip: 22314

Phone: 703-535-5818

Email Address: raholderman@verizon.net

Subject: Waterfront

I am very disappointed in the vote which like the Mark Center was acted upon without proper parking and transportation studies. Residents are well

aware of the similar mistake-ridden role of the City Council in the Mark

Comments:

Center fiasco. I am aware that you serve long and hard hours in these

tasks but I believe that the hasty quests for density and tax revenue are

not in the interests of Alexandria residents as a whole.

From:

Doug Redman <dprsox@aol.com>

Sent:

Monday, January 30, 2012 4:54 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: Waterfront Vote

Attachments:

ATT00001.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Mon Jan 30, 2012 16:53:55] Message ID: [36722]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Doug

Last Name: Redman

Street Address: 407 N. Saint Asaph St.

City: Alexandria
State: Virginia
Zip: 22314

Phone: 703-549-1905

Email Address: dprsox@aol.com

Subject: Waterfront Vote

Dear Mr. Mayor, Vice-Mayor and Members of the City Council,

I would like

to thank all of you for not only your ongoing dedicated service to the City of Alexandria but for your committment to ensuring that our waterfront is developed in a responsible, economically feasible manner that will benefit

the residents of and visitors to our great city. Regardless of your

position on this issue I know it wasn't easy to sort through the various

reports and thousands of comments, some of which were particularly

hostile

Comments:

to reach your decision. We know that all of you voted based on what you

thought best for the city.

Mayor Euille, Vice Mayor Donley, Council

Persons, Krupicka, Pepper, and Smedberg who voted for the plan it was a

courageous act to stand your ground against what at times must have

seemed

like relentless personal and professional negative rhetoric attacking your

motives and integrity.

Ms. Hughes and Mr. Fannon acted in an equally

courageous manner by sticking to their beliefs and voting for what they believe to be the best approach for the City and it's waterfront. We have the utmost respect for all of you.

Hopefully, going forward, people on

both sides of this issue can work together to ensure everyone's ultimate objective, a beautiful, vibrant, waterfront that we can all be proud of.

Thank you for your service.

Doug and Lisa Redman

From:

Maria Hopper <mariaandjanehopper@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 28, 2012 1:47 PM

To:

William Euille; Frank Fannon; Kerry Donley; Alicia Hughes; Del Pepper; Paul Smedberg;

Rose Boyd; Jackie Henderson; Rob Krupicka; Linda Owens; Elizabeth Jones

Subject:

COA Contact Us: response to letter by Elizabeth Gibney

Attachments:

ATT00001.txt

## **COA Contact Us: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members**

Time: [Sat Jan 28, 2012 13:47:22] Message ID: [36682]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Maria Last Name: Hopper

206 Duke Street

Street Address: 317 S. Lee Street

115 N. Lee Street, apt. BH 406

City: Alexandria State: Virginia Zip: 22314

Phone: 703-683-4095

Email Address: mariaandjanehopper@yahoo.com

Subject: response to letter by Elizabeth Gibney

This letter was sent to Elizabeth Gibney in response to her comments

about

citizens in Waterfront for All.

Beth, Did you realize the letter you

wrote to city council and the Mayor was public record? Did you realize

the public record letter you wrote can be construed as libelous? As a

member of Waterfront for All, I can say that I know many residents that

Comments: support the waterfront plan that did not have agendas. I take great

offense to your classification of us all as having a "special

interest" and I especially take great offense to

your implication

that you "know all about us". I chose not to place a placard in

my window for the same

reason that I choose not to place a bumper sticker

on my car, or a historic garden week sign in my window,

or an architect

or builder sign our house; we are very private people. It does not mean

that we are against the development of the waterfront in the completely

acceptable manner that has been proposed. I look forward to walking down

the street and having breakfast waterside at a lovely boutique hotel, much

as we

do when we are in Santa Monica, enjoying breakfast at Shutters on

the Beach. I look forward to having a well lit, well maintained park at

the foot of Duke Street, and I look forward to hopefully not having another

Ford's Landing in our neighborhood. I am a citizen too and I am allowed

to have my views heard. Please do not speak for me, I am very capable of

speaking for myself. Maria Hopper

# Potential W-1 zoning change:

1-21-12

Add to both the permitted and the special uses lists:

Uses that foster art, history and cultural awareness through increased understanding and training, such as museums, schools and cultural institutions. Any use over 5000 square feet requires a Special Use Permit.