

A statement by Bert Ely to the Alexandria City Council

February 12, 2010

Comments on the finances of the City's waterfront plan

Mr. Mayor and members of Council, I am Bert Ely, an Old Town resident since 1981. I am here to express concern about the financial aspects of the proposed waterfront plan. I speak only for myself and not any organization.

The waterfront plan is scheduled for vetting by the Planning Commission in just 52 days and approval by Council just nine weeks from today, yet crucial aspects of the plan are unknown or highly questionable as to completeness or reasonableness.

Recently, I have focused on the plan's finances, working with tax revenue forecasts and capital outlay estimates from the Planning Department. Mr. Mayor and members of Council, <u>the</u> <u>numbers do not work!</u>

The waterfront plan now on the table does not make sense economically. The forecasted revenues are too high, the capital-cost estimates too low, and operating and maintenance expenses have not been included in the plan's financial forecast. <u>Before adopting any waterfront plan</u>, <u>Council should direct that financial aspects of the plan be reviewed by independent experts</u>.

The first attached spreadsheet sets out revenue estimates. These revenue estimates are way too high because of excessively optimistic assumptions. For example, the plan assumes 625 hotel rooms will be built – a 62% increase in rooms east of Washington Street – yet under the 1983 settlement agreement, hotels cannot be built on the Robinson Terminal properties.

The plan assumes that restaurant space equal to <u>fourteen</u> restaurants, each the size of the Virtue restaurant now being built on South Union, will operate in the area. At \$30 per person, that will equate to almost more 3,000 diners a day in the area, with many more on weekend days. Leaving aside parking issues, the already jammed streets and sidewalks in the area simply lack the capacity to handle that additional traffic.

Land values in the area are projected to more than double. How realistic is that?

The second spreadsheet sets out the cost of <u>initial</u> capital outlays for components of the waterfront plan. A marine engineering expert who cannot be here today has told me that the cost estimates for the piers, bulkheads, dredging, and other water-related components of the plan are way too low.

Far worse, City staff has told me that they are still developing operating cost estimates for the proposed facilities. <u>The numbers you have been given so far are grossly incomplete</u>, for they leave out such costs as utilities, ongoing clean-up expenses, routine repairs, and replacements over the forecast period. While impossible to predict, the next Isabel will impose additional costs on the City to replace docks and other infrastructure not insured for flood damage.

Today's waterfront embarrasses the City because of poor maintenance, such as clearing out debris along the water's edge. Will that be the waterfront of the future – one which looks worse than what we have today?

I close by referring to the third spreadsheet, which shows my rough estimate of the <u>negative</u> cash flows, and therefore the <u>negative</u> budget impact, of the proposed plan for many years to come, without adding the substantial costs of operating and maintaining these new facilities.

Council needs to put the brakes on this so-call plan until it has much more complete and realistic revenue and cost estimates. You will not have that by April 16. Don't act until you have all the numbers.

Thank you for your time. I welcome your questions.

Calculation of Net Tax Revenues from Waterfront Plan Redevelopment

Line # . Beal D

	Real Property Tax		
1	Redevelopment parcels: square feet of land	317,352	7.29 acres.
2	Value of Land, per square foot	\$180	This is projected increase in land values. Present tax values along
3	Redevelopment parcels: square feet of planned improvements	773,384	17.75 additional acres of floor space.
4	Value of Improvements, per square foot	\$265	
5	Upon Redevelopment, Total Value of Land and Improvements	\$262,070,120	
6	Real property tax rate (per \$100 value)	\$0.978	
7	Real property tax revenues	\$2,563,046	554% increase in property-tax collections in the waterfront area.
8	Minus current tax revenues from redevelopment parcels	-\$462,889	
9	Net increase in real property tax revenues	\$2,100,157	

Meals Tax

10	Amount of total development anticipated to be restaurant (sf)	109,941	The equivalent of 14 restaurants the size of the new Virgin at 106 South Uni
11	Annuai revenues per square foot	\$275	
12	Total annual restaurant revenues	\$30,233,775	At \$30 per person, 1,007,793 diners per year, or a daily average of 2,761 .
13	Meals tax rate	\$0.04	
14	Meals tax revenues	\$1,209,351	

Sales Tax and BPOL from Restaurants

15	Total annual restaurant revenues	\$30,233,775
16	BPOL rate (per \$100 value)	\$0.20
17	BPOL tax revenues	\$60,468
18	Sales tax rate	1%
19	Sales tax revenues from restaurants	\$302,338
20	Total of sales and BPOL tax revenues from restaurants	\$362,805

Transient Lodging Tax

21	Amount of total development anticipated to be hotel (rooms)	625
22	Room rate	\$151
23	Occupancy rate	65%
24	Revenues	\$22,390,469
25	Transient lodging tax rate	\$0.065
26	Transient lodging tax revenues	\$1,455,380
27	Room nights	148,281
28	Room night tax rate (per room night)	\$1
29	Room night tax revenues	\$148,281
30	Total transient lodging tax revenues	\$1,603,662

A 62% increase in hotel rooms east of Washington Street -- see list to left of Old Town hotels.

An average of **406** occupied rooms per night in new hotels along the waterfront.

Transient lodging tax plus \$1 room tax projected for FY 11 at \$11.1 million. New hotels increase tax take by 14.5%.

Sales Tax and BPOL from Hotels

31	Transient Lodging revenues	\$22,390,469
32	BPOL rate (per \$100 value)	\$0.35
33	BPOL tax revenues	\$78,367
34	Sales tax rate	1%
35	Sales tax revenues from transient lodging	\$223,905

Totals

\$2,100,157
\$1,209,351
\$362,805
\$1,603,662
\$223,905
\$5,499,879
-\$824,982
\$4,674,898

wide meals-tax revenues FY 11 projected at \$15.4 million. New restaurants increase tax take by 7.9%.

t specified what City expenses this covers, and does not cover.

Old Town area hotels, per ACVA website

Ely comments and calculations			Number
	Name	Address	of rooms
7.29 acres.	East of Washington:		
This is projected increase in land values. Present tax values along S. Union \$150-\$183/SF; S. Robinson Terminal \$131/SF.	Best Western Old Colony	1101 North Washington	49
17.75 additional acres of floor space.	Crowne Plaza	901 North Fairfax	254
	Holiday Inn	625 First Street	178
	Hotel Monaco	480 King Street	241
	Morrison House	116 South Alfred	45
554% increase in property-tax collections in the waterfront area.	Sheraton Suites	801 North St. Asaph Street	247
		Total rooms	1,014
	King Street Metro area:		
	Embassy Suites	1900 Diagonal Road	268
The equivalent of 14 restaurants the size of the new Virgin at 106 South Union, which will have 300 indoor seats.	Hampton Inn	1616 King Street	80
	Hilton Alexanria	1767 King Street	263
At \$30 per person, 1,007,793 diners per year, or a daily average of 2,761 .	Lorien Hotel and Spa	1600 King Street	107
	Residence Inn	1456 Duke Street	240
		Total rooms	958
	Total hotel rooms in Old Town	n area	1,972

Per Alexandria City Planning Department Dollars in millions

· . .

			Calculated annual
		Calculated annual	gross revenue,
Year	Cumulative net revenue	net revenue	with 15% add-back
1	0.25	0.25	0.29
2	0.74	0.49	0.58
3	1.48	0.74	0.87
4	2.97	1.50	1.76
5	5.23	2.26	2.65
6	8.24	3.02	3.55
7	11.47	3.23	3.80
8	14.92	3.44	4.05
9	18.57	3.66	4.30
10	22.44	3.87	4.55
11	26.53	4.09	4.81
12	30.83	4.30	5.06
13	35.34	4.51	5.31
14	40.07	4.73	5.56
15	44.80	4.73	5.56
16	49.53	4.73	5,56
17	54.26	4.73	5.56
18	58.99	4.73	5.56
19	63.72	4.73	5.56
20	68.45	4.73	5.56
21	73.18	4.73	5.56
22	77.91	4.73	5.56
23	82.64	4.73	5.56
24	87.37	4.73	5.56
25	92.10	4.73	5.56
26	96.83	4.73	5.56
27	101.56	4.73	5.56
28	106.29	4.73	5.56
29	111.02	4.73	5.56
30	115.75	4.73	5.56
31	120.48	4.73	5.56

City of Alexandria

Waterfront Plan Financial forecast -- without annual operating costs Dollars in millions

					True	
_	Annual cash flows before operating costs		Cumulative	Annual	cumulative	
• •	Capital	Net	Net cash	cash	operating	cash
Year	Outlays (1)	Revenues (2)	flows	flow	costs	flow
Totals =	41.6	120.4	78.8		?	?
1	2.0	0.2	(1.8)	(1.8)	?	?
2	2.5	0.5	(2.0)	(3.8)	?	?
3	3.0	0.7	(2.3)	(6.0)	?	?
4	3.5	1.5	(2.0)	(8.0)	?	?
5	4.0	2.3	(1.7)	(9.8)	?	?
6	4.5	3.0	(1.5)	(11.3)	?	?
7	4.5	3.2	(1.3)	(12.5)	?	?
8	4.5	3.4	(1.1)	(13.6)	?	?
9	4.0	3.7	(0.3)	(13.9)	?	?
10	3.5	3.9	0.4	(13.6)	?	?
11	3.0	4.1	1.1	(12.5)	?	?
12	2.6	4.3	1.7	(10.8)	?	?
13	0.0	4.5	4.5	(6.3)	?	?
14	0.0	4.7	4.7	(1.5)	?	?
15	0.0	4.7	4.7	3.2	?	?
16	0.0	4.7	4.7	7.9	?	?
17	0.0	4.7	4.7	12.7	?	?
18	0.0	4.7	4.7	17.4	?	?
19	0.0	4.7	4.7	22.1	?	?
20	0.0	4.7	4.7	26.8	?	?
21	0.0	4.7	4.7	31.6	?	?
22	0.0	4.7	4.7	36.3	?	?
23	0.0	4.7	4.7	41.0	?	?
24	0.0	4.7	4.7	45.8	?	?
25	0.0	4.7	4.7	50.5	?	?
26	0.0	4.7	4.7	55.2	?	?
27	0.0	4.7	4.7	60.0	?	?
28	0.0	4.7	4.7	64.7	?	?
29	0.0	4.7	4.7	69.4	?	?
30	0.0	4.7	4.7	74.1	?	?
31	0.0	4.7	4.7	78.8	?	?

Footnotes:

. . •

(1) Ely estimate as to the timing of the capital outlays.

(2) Planning Department projection as to the timing of the net revenues.