EXHIBIT NO.

<u>ws</u> 10-as-11

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION ON THE INTERDEPARTMENTAL PLANNING WORK PROGRAM TUESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 2011 5:30 PM COUNCIL WORKROOM

AGENDA

I. Welcome and Comments by the Mayor

Mayor William D. Euille

II. Proposed Interdepartmental Long-Range Planning Work Program for FY2013

Faroll Hamer, Director, Planning and Zoning

Rich Baier, Director,
Department of Transportation
& Environmental Services

Mildrilyn Davis, Director, Office of Housing

James Spengler, Director, Department of Recreation, Parks & Cultural Activities

III. Council Discussion

WS 10-25-11

Jackie Henderson

From:

Elizabeth Jones

Sent:

Monday, October 24, 2011 10:17 AM

To:

Jackie Henderson; Alicia Hughes; Beth Temple; William Euille; Del Pepper; Frank Fannon;

John O'Neal; Judy Stack; Kerry Donley; kerry donley (work); Nancy Lavalle; Paul

Smedberg; Rob Krupicka; Sharon Annear

Cc:

Michele Evans; Mark Jinks; Bruce Johnson; James Banks; Faroll Hamer

Subject:

memo from Rob

Attachments:

Community planning process.pdf

Rob asked me to share the attached memo with you all. It pertains to the work session on Tuesday.

Elizabeth

Elizabeth L. Jones Aide to Alexandria City Councilman Rob Krupicka elizabeth.jones@alexandriaVA.gov 703-746-4500

Effective Wednesday, March 16th, krupickaaide@comcast.net will no longer be checked for messages on a daily basis. Please change your address book to Elizabeth.jones@alexandriava.gov



City of Alexandria, Virginia 301 King Street, Suite 2300 Alexandria, Virginia 22314





(703) 746-4500 Fax: (703) 746-6433 council@krupicka.com

Rob Krupicka Member of Council

MEMORANDUM

DATE: October 21, 2011

TO: The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

CC: Bruce Johnson, Acting City Manager

Faroll Hamer, Director, Department of Planning and Zoning

Alexandria Planning Commission Members

FROM: Councilman Rob Krupicka

SUBJECT: Community Planning and Commercial Development

Community Planning: As I mentioned at the budget work session the other night, it is time for us to get our house in order with regard to how we do city planning. Each small area plan and related project over the past 10 years has followed a different process. Different ways to engage stakeholders have been used. Different expectations for what goes into a plan have been developed. Different ways to listen to the community and to analyze options have been utilized. Different consultants have been used. The lack of any clear or consistent process has been a disservice to the community. It makes things take longer and cost more. Braddock Road, Beauregard, Landmark and now the Waterfront have all required a "re-set" to modify what we were doing. As we begin discussing the planning department work-plan for the next few years, I suggest the following:

- 1) No more small area plans until we document the standard process, the "Alexandria Way" so to speak, that we will follow to engage the community, listen to and respond to different points of view and make decisions.
- 2) No more consultants leading the community process. It is clear that we will always have some type of community planning work going on. While we may need consultants for specialized knowledge from project to project, they should not be used to manage a process. We should have a team of professionals in the planning office that can be tasked with managing community planning, ensuring our process is consistent and making sure all stakeholders are included.

I am not comfortable moving forward with or spending money on any more small area planning projects until we get this fixed.

Commercial Development: Alexandria's commercial base is at risk right now. If we don't respond, we'll be setting a course for higher taxes and lower services for residents. There is a waterfront commercial building, the Iron Workers building, which is being converted from commercial to residential. This is allowed under the current city code. We are starting to hear rumblings of other development projects in the city that would put residential development in areas that should be commercial. What we are told is that the residential market is stronger than commercial, so that is what developers want to build. The problem for us is that residential property, whether we like it or not, typically costs more dollars in city services than it pays in taxes. And commercial property pays more in taxes than it uses in services. True mixed use is not just having a few small retail shops near residential projects. True mixed use has to include commercial projects in order to provide the balance of land-use that encourages people to live near work, to get around without a car and that supports retail business viability by providing customers during work and non-work hours.

If we let the down economy erode our commercial options or if we approve too much residential without a plan to preserve options for commercial as the market improves, we are in essence cutting city services and reducing revenues available to support our schools, roads, public safety and overall quality of life. A careful balance of residential and commercial is important for the affordability of housing, the sustainability and quality of city services and much more.

Adding to these concerns, the fiscal challenges facing the federal government mean our commercial base can't rely on federal tenants. Right now, federal tenants are the primary focus of our commercial leasing efforts.

I suggest we consider the following:

- 1) We need to expand our economic development strategy to go beyond just federal tenants. We need a niche that will distinguish us from Tysons and Reston, that is compatible with our residential neighborhoods and that that will keep our commercial base vibrant. I'd like to ask the Alexandria Economic Development Partnership (AEDP) to work with the City and community to help us adjust our strategy.
- 2) We need to review our planning tools. As we have discussed at recent Council meetings, we need to make sure we have adequate tools to protect commercial sites from residential conversion, to encourage commercial development in the proper locations and ensure a clear set of guidelines for when the Council should say "no" to residential projects. Not every residential project is bad, but we have to be sure we are considering the larger mixed-use vision for the city in everything we do that will provide the balance we need.