EXHIBIT NO.



DOCKET ITEM #4 Special Use Permit #2009-0033 504 John Carlyle Street – 7-Eleven Convenience Store

Application	General Data		
Request:	Planning Commission		
Consideration of a request to	Hearing:	October 6, 2009	
operate a convenience store.	City Council		
-	Hearing:	October 17, 2009	
Address:	Zone:	CDD#1	
504 John Carlyle St.			
Applicant:	Small Area Plan:	Eisenhower East	
7-Eleven, Inc.			

Staff Recommendation: APPROVAL subject to compliance with all applicable codes and ordinances and the recommended permit conditions found in Section III of this report. Staff Reviewers: Nathan Randall <u>nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov</u>

<u>PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION, OCTOBER 6, 2009</u>: On a motion by Mr. Jennings, seconded by Ms. Lyman, the Planning Commission voted to <u>recommend approval</u> of the request subject to compliance with all applicable codes, ordinances and staff recommendations. The motion carried on a vote of 5 to 1, with Mr. Dunn voting against and Mr. Wagner absent.

<u>Reason:</u> The Planning Commission agreed with the staff analysis.

Speakers:

Michael Vanderpool, attorney representing the applicant, spoke in favor of the request. He described the urban concept for the 7-Eleven store proposed in this location and discussed the urban nature of the Carlyle neighborhood. He noted that 7-Eleven operates other stores in Alexandria as a respectful corporate neighbor. He listed several additional conditions that the applicant has agreed to in response to community concerns, including two "emergency button" conditions.

Cathy Puskar, attorney representing the property owner, spoke in favor of the request. She stated that Post Properties has worked with the City over many years to build an urban, mixed-use community. She noted that Post Properties has marketed retail space in Carlyle Block L but has met with limited success. She believes that 7-Eleven will serve as an anchor to increase activity in Carlyle and attract additional businesses to occupy vacant space.

Steve Womack, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He stated that people in the neighborhood don't believe this is the right use for this location. If the Planning Commission wished to recommend approval, he asked that reduced hours of operation and reduced hours for alcohol sales be considered.

Elizabeth Smith, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. She stated that she is 100% against the request and believes it will destroy the community. She stated that crime is an issue and that the proposal is not consistent with the Carlyle Master Plan.

Robert Harris, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He stated that crime is an issue noting that he will need to take additional measures to protect his family if the convenience store is allowed to operate so close to his home. He also stated that he wanted to see additional data to verify statements in the staff report.

Kristen Moran, neighborhood resident, spoke in favor of the proposal. She stated that the neighborhood is urban, mixed-use, and currently under-served. She stated that the community needs to overcome its perception issues and noted that the convenience store may make the neighborhood safer instead of less safe.

Patrick Via, attorney representing the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association, stated that the Association has not taken an official position on the proposal since some building residents oppose it and others are in favor. He stated that the Association would like to see the hours of operation reduced from 24 hours/day, and asked for the applicant to provide yearly reports to the Association and the Planning Commission.

Pam Denison, neighborhood resident, spoke in favor of the proposal. She noted that she moved to Alexandria and this location for reasons of convenience. She also read two letters of support from neighbors James Dana Adams and Natasha & Duncan Cronwell.

Lori Geftic, neighborhood resident, spoke about the survey she prepared and submitted to City staff regarding the subject proposal.

Joseph Zabel, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He noted that he didn't feel comfortable walking near another 7-Eleven store in the City.

<u>PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION, SEPTEMBER 1, 2009</u>: The Planning Commission noted the deferral of the request. The applicant requested the deferral prior to the hearing.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

SUP #2009-0033 504 John Carlyle St.



I. DISCUSSION

<u>Request</u>

The applicant, 7-Eleven, Inc. requests special use permit approval for the operation of a convenience store located at 504 John Carlyle St.

SITE DESCRIPTION

The subject property is one lot of record with 277 feet of frontage on John Carlyle Street, approximately 279 feet of frontage each on Ballenger Avenue and Emerson Avenue, and a total lot area of 77,228 square feet. The site is developed with a multi-story condominium building known as Carlyle Square that includes a mix of residential and retail uses.

The surrounding area is occupied by a mix of residential, office, and commercial uses. Immediately to the north are residential townhouses and to the north and west are two office buildings, one of which contains office uses and a Starbucks on the ground floor on the opposite corner from the proposed convenience

store. The other office building is currently unoccupied. To the south is the vacant Carlyle Block O, which has received site plan approval (DSP#2005-0013) for multi-story residential buildings with ground-level retail. To the east is the African American Heritage Park.

BACKGROUND

On April 18, 1990, City Council granted Special Use Permit #2253, which was the first development plan for Carlyle. It has been amended many times since, including SUP#2004-

0028 which was approved for expanded definitions of retail uses, and SUP2007-0094, which is the current Special Use Permit for Carlyle. In 2001, staff administratively approved site plan DSP#2001-0003 for Carlyle Block L, which includes the proposed convenience store, other ground-level retail space, and the condominium buildings on the subject property.

The applicant originally applied in mid-June for administrative approval for the convenience store, pursuant to the most recent Special Use Permit for Carlyle (SUP#2007-0094.) Based on comments received from the neighborhood, staff determined that this request necessitated a full Special Use Permit with public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.

This Special Use Permit request was originally docketed for the September hearings but the applicant requested a deferral for additional time to discuss the proposal with the community and to discuss proposed condition language with staff.





PROPOSAL

The applicant proposes to operate a convenience store on the ground level of a residential condominium building at 504 John Carlyle Street in Carlyle. The convenience store is proposed for the 3,328 square-foot unit located at the corner of John Carlyle Street and Ballenger Ave. The applicant proposes to be open 24 hours/day and sell alcohol for off-premises consumption in accordance with Virginia ABC regulations.

Hours:	24 Hours/Day, 365 days/year
Customers:	Approximately 1,100-1,500 per day
Employees:	Expected to have three per shift, seven total
Alcohol:	Off-premises alcohol sales between 6am and midnight daily pursuant to Virginia ABC regulations
<u>Noise:</u>	No significant noise is expected beyond that generated by typical retail businesses. No significant noise from patrons is anticipated.
<u>Trash:</u>	Typical solid waste from small retail establishment expected – largely paper, cardboard, and plastic. Trash will be collected daily.
Litter:	Applicant will require employees to pick up litter twice/day on the property and within 75' of the store entrance.

<u>Parking</u>

The parking requirements for the subject building were specified in the Transportation Management Plan (Special Use Permit #2254) for the Carlyle development. The applicant has access to five spaces in the on-site garage which will satisfy the employee vanpool/carpool requirement specified in SUP#2254 and in Condition #9 of this report. Staff notes that public parking is not available in this garage since it is restricted by access card. Public parking is available in the Patent & Trademark Office parking garage across John Carlyle Street during limited hours.

ZONING/MASTER PLAN DESIGNATION

The subject property is located in the CDD#1/Coordinated Development District zone. Section 5-602(A) of the Zoning Ordinance identifies OC/Office Commercial zone as the underlying zone which allows a convenience store only with a special use permit.

The proposed use is consistent with the Eisenhower East Small Area Plan chapter of the Master Plan which designates the property for uses consistent with the CDD #1 zone.

II. STAFF ANALYSIS

<u>Overview</u>

Staff does not object to the applicant's proposal to locate a 7-Eleven convenience store at 504 John Carlyle Street. In reaching this conclusion, staff recognizes the potential for neighborhood impacts from the operation of this use and acknowledges that the proposed location in close proximity to residential units presents the potential for special issues. Staff has received a significant number of comments from the neighborhood regarding their concerns with the proposed use.

Staff believes that the proposal presents a number of opportunities, however. The proposed use would be neighborhood-serving, providing a greater level of convenience to residents and employees working in the vicinity. It is consistent with the urban, mixed-use vision for the Carlyle neighborhood. In addition, the proposed convenience store would occupy vacant commercial space and contribute to the vitality of ground-level retail in Carlyle. Staff has therefore recommended approval but included a number of significant conditions, many of which are not standard and have been either proffered by the applicant or specifically crafted for the proposed use, that should sufficiently mitigate these potential impacts.

<u>Citizen Input</u>

Staff has received considerable input from residents of the Carlyle Square condominium building and from residents living elsewhere in the vicinity of the proposed convenience store. Staff has received a letter of opposition from the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association dated August 17, 2009. Staff has received 18 emails with comments from 16 individual citizens as of September 22, 2009. These emails are attached to this report. Of the 16 individuals, three expressed support or qualified support of the 7-Eleven proposal and 12 expressed opposition. Another individual wrote concerning the applicant's deferral from the September docket to October. Staff has also received comments via telephone and heard neighborhood concerns at two community meetings, arranged by the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association and the applicants, on June 30, 2009 and August 27, 2009.

Staff has also received information from a citizen who designed and conducted two online surveys of residents in the vicinity of the proposed convenience store. The resident also submitted two petitions from citizens living in the vicinity who are opposed to the 7-Eleven proposal. Both surveys and petitions are attached to this report. The August survey results show that a considerable majority of the 68 respondents opposed the 7-Eleven SUP request as known to them at the time. In addition, 54 of the 68 people who replied to the online survey also signed the petition. The September survey was sent only to 54 people who indicated they opposed the 7-Eleven proposal previously. All of those who responded still opposed the proposal, and all of those who responded also signed the September petition against the proposal.

The most common neighborhood concerns are understood to be as follows: hours of operation being 24 hours, increased crime, increased loitering, increased noise from patrons or deliveries, a reduction in property values in the vicinity, and concern that the 7-Eleven as proposed was not

consistent with the more upscale character of the Carlyle neighborhood. Some neighbors also raised concerns specifically about alcohol sales, and how sales could create or exacerbate some of the problems mentioned above.

Opportunities

Staff believes that the proposed convenience store presents a number of opportunities for the neighborhood. The store will provide neighborhood residents with a greater level of convenience for purchasing food and sundry items close to their residences. Residents can walk to the proposed store without needing to use their vehicles, which supports the mixed-use, walkable neighborhood envisioned in the Carlyle Master Plan.

Staff believes that the convenience store will significantly contribute to retail vitality along John Carlyle Street in at least three ways. First, the store will occupy vacant space, which is positive since there are currently at least three vacant storefronts in this building, including the former Bruegger's Bagels which closed in June. Second, the foot traffic generated from the use will help contribute to the vitality of the existing businesses in this area as well. Third, the convenience store will contribute to a balance of uses in this portion of Carlyle that further promotes a livable, walkable, urban neighborhood.

Potential Impacts & Solutions

As mentioned previously, staff recognizes the potential for neighborhood impacts from the convenience store operation. Potential neighborhood impacts could include: crime, loitering, noise from patrons or deliveries, and signage or other design elements that are not compatible with the Carlyle neighborhood. Staff has included multiple conditions of approval in Section III of this report that it believes sufficiently mitigates these potential impacts.

Crime and 24-Hour Operation

With regard to crime, and loitering specifically, staff acknowledges that some potential exists for neighborhood impacts from the proposed convenience store, either generally speaking or as a result of the 24-hour operation. Staff believes that the conditions it recommends to prevent these issues need to be flexible and targeted toward the underlying concern or area of impact. For instance, staff is recommending that the owner be required to sign an annual agreement with the Police Department that will help the Police more effectively enforce loitering laws. Staff has included a condition requiring that this Special Use Permit be docketed for review if securityrelated problems persist after staff gives the applicant the opportunity to solve any such problems. Staff also recommends requiring conditions regarding five additional crime prevention tools that the applicant has proffered. The applicant proposes to:

- 1. Not provide seats or tables outside for the use of store patrons,
- 2. Conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to emphasize the company's policy to aggressively report loitering and any other inappropriate activities,
- 3. Offer a police work station to the Police Department,
- 4. Install interior and, and if approved by the Carlyle Square Condominium Board, exterior closed-circuit television cameras, and

5. Post signage prohibiting loitering and informing customers of the presence of closedcircuit television cameras.

With these conditions related to crime prevention in place, staff concern about the convenience store being open 24-hours per day is reduced. Staff has also researched all 14 known 7-Eleven locations in Alexandria as well as 11 other 7-Eleven stores in Arlington and Washington, DC to determine whether the 24-hour aspect of the proposal is unusual. Of these 25 stores surveyed, only two have reduced hours, one each in Alexandria and Arlington. Staff believes that the applicant's 24-hour proposal is not unusual compared to other such stores in the area, many of which operate in close proximity to residential uses in neighborhoods such as Georgetown, Woodley Park, Clarendon, and Ballston. Therefore, staff has recommended Condition #2 that permits the 24-hour operation of the store but should an pattern of problems arise at any time from the 24-hour operation, the applicant is required to work with City staff to resolve such problems. If the problems persist, the condition language also states that this Special Use Permit may be docketed for review before the Planning Commission and City Council.

<u>Noise</u>

Staff recognizes the potential for noise issues generally at this location given that residential uses are located above retail uses. Staff believes that many of the crime-prevention tools previously mentioned which will prevent loitering and other inappropriate behavior should also have a considerable impact on noise issues. Staff has also included a condition, suggested by the Carlyle Square Condominium Owner's Association and proffered by the applicant in its revisions dated August 14, 2009, to limit deliveries to between 10:00am and 9:00pm daily. This condition should further limit noise that could be disruptive to neighborhood residents.

Alcohol Sales

Some residents have raised concerns about off-premises alcohol sales at the convenience store, and specifically about late-night sales. While many of the underlying concerns about alcohol sales may be related to crime and loitering and have been addressed previously, staff would like to point out two additional regulations that should help reduce neighborhood impacts. The first is that Virginia ABC regulations prohibit off-premises alcohol sales between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00am, no matter if the retailer has later hours. Second, staff has included a standard condition that will prohibit the sale of single cans of beer ("singles") and prohibit the sale of fortified wine at the convenience store.

Exterior Signage

In considering whether the proposal is appropriate for the neighborhood, another element that staff and some neighbors have concern about is exterior signage. Staff believes that that applicant should provide appropriate, high-quality signage that will contribute positively to the overall character of the building, the prominent corner the tenant space faces, and the neighborhood in general. In illustrations submitted on August 14, 2009 and updated on September 22, 2009, the applicant proposes one back-lit, brass or metallic wall-mounted sign flanked by two externally-lit projecting signs each with the traditional 7-Eleven logo. Staff supports this concept sign program for the convenience store. The back-lit wall sign is an improvement from the traditional internally-lit 7-Eleven signs in terms of both materials and lighting. With regard to the projecting signs, staff does not object to these signs especially since

SUP #2009-0033 504 John Carlyle St.

they will be required to be externally lit to enhance their overall appearance. Staff notes that Condition #8 will require the applicant to obtain final approval from Planning & Zoning for the exact size and placement of the signs. Condition #8 also requires that all signage must be consistent with the concept plan submitted as updated on September 22, 2009 and meet all Zoning Ordinance requirements and the Carlyle Coordinated Sign Program guidelines. All in all, staff believes that the proposed signage generally represents an appropriate and attractive approach.

Interior Design Improvements

Staff had some initial concern that the interior design of the 7-Eleven store could be incompatible with the character for the Carlyle neighborhood. However, the applicant states in its revisions dated August 14, 2009 that 7-Eleven proposes an "urban concept" store for this location that is more pedestrian-oriented and includes an enhanced interior design. Staff agrees that the applicant's proposal for interior layout is appropriate, with the cashier area not located against the front windows, and with the front windows not being obscured by large displays. The proposed interior finishes are also generally appropriate and an improvement from its typical stores. Therefore staff recommends three design-related conditions specifying these elements. One requires that the layout of the convenience store be generally consistent with the floor plan submitted on August 14, 2009. Another requires that store windows not be covered or otherwise obstructed with the exception of minor, low-level, and well-maintained displays such as newspaper racks. The third condition requires that the applicant provide specific interior finishes such as pendant lighting in part of the store and ceramic tile finishes on the walls and floors.

Conclusion

Staff does not object to the applicant's Special Use Permit request. The addition of a convenience store at this location will fill vacant commercial space and contribute to the viability of Carlyle as a walkable, mixed-use neighborhood. Although staff recognizes that the proposed use presents the potential for neighborhood impacts, the recommended conditions of approval should sufficiently mitigate these potential impacts. Furthermore, specific condition language and the requirement of an annual review for the first three years will allow the imposition of additional restrictions on store operations should they become necessary. Subject to the conditions contained in Section III of this report, staff recommends approval of this Special Use Permit request.

III. RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

Staff recommends **approval** subject to compliance with all applicable codes and ordinances and the following conditions:

- 1. The special use permit shall be granted to the applicant only or to any corporation in which the applicant has a controlling interest. (P&Z)
- 2. The convenience store may operate 24 hours per day. The Director of Planning & Zoning shall review these hours of operation annually for the first three years that the convenience store is operational in conjunction with Condition #28. Should a pattern of problems arise at any time as a result of the 24-hour operation, the applicant will meet with City staff to resolve such problems. If said problems persist, this Special Use Permit may be docketed for consideration by the Planning Commission and City Council, regardless of whether it is part of an annual review.
- 3. No tables or seats shall be provided for customers either inside or outside of the convenience store. (P&Z)
- 4. The applicant shall establish a store employee to work as a liaison with the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association and any other members of the community to resolve concerns that may arise from the operation of the convenience store. The name of the liaison shall be posted inside the store in a conspicuous location and contact information for this individual shall be available upon request. (P&Z)
- 5. Windows shall provide open views into the tenant space and not be opaque or otherwise obstructed except with minor, low-level, well-maintained and regularly updated window displays. (P&Z)
- 6. The floor plan for the convenience store shall be generally consistent with the applicant's plan dated August 14, 2009 to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning & Zoning. (P&Z)
- 7. The applicant shall provide enhanced, high-quality interior finishes consistent with the proposal submitted to the Department of Planning & Zoning dated August 14, 2009 and subject to the review and approval of the Director of Planning & Zoning. The applicant shall provide ceramic tile floor coverings and ceramic tile wall coverings generally to the height of wall displays. The applicant shall provide pendant accent lighting fixtures at the store front window area and the cashier area. The applicant shall keep these enhanced design elements in good repair to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning & Zoning. (P&Z)
- 8. All signage at the site shall be generally consistent with concept illustrations submitted to the Department of Planning & Zoning dated September 22, 2009. All signs shall be externally lit and the applicant shall work with staff on a design for appropriate external lighting. All signage shall comply with the Zoning Ordinance and *Carlyle Coordinated*

Sign Program provisions and shall be subject to the review and approval of the Director of Planning & Zoning. Any lighted signage facing and in close proximity to land zoned for residential use shall not be illuminated between 10:30 pm and 6:30 am. (P&Z)

- 9. Employees who carpool to work shall be offered use of free garage parking in designated employee carpool/vanpool spaces. (P&Z)
- 10. No food, beverages, or other material or products shall be stored outside. (P&Z)
- 11. Trash and garbage shall be placed in sealed containers which do not allow odors to escape and shall be stored inside or in closed containers which do not allow invasion by animals. No trash or debris shall be allowed to accumulate on site outside of those containers. (P&Z)
- 12. The applicant shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis, including as part of any employee orientation, to discuss all SUP provisions and requirements, and on how to prevent crime, loitering, noise and the underage sales of alcohol. (P&Z)
- 13. The applicant shall contribute \$500 to the SUP Streetscape Improvement Fund for street trees and trash cans. In addition to this monetary contribution, the applicant may be required to provide up to two city standard model trash cans in the vicinity of the convenience store if the Directors of Planning & Zoning and Transportation & Environmental Services determine that additional trash cans are required to prevent an unsanitary or unsightly accumulation of trash. Monetary contribution shall be submitted to the Department of Transportation & Environmental Services, Room 4130, 301 King Street prior to the issuance of the Special Use Permit Certificate. Reference SUP number and condition number on all correspondence. (P&Z, T&ES, RP&CA)
- 14. Kitchen equipment shall not be cleaned outside, nor shall any cooking residue be washed into the streets, alleys or storm sewers. (T&ES)
- 15. The applicant shall control cooking odors, smoke and any other air pollution from operations at the site and prevent them from leaving the property or becoming a nuisance to neighboring properties, as determined by the Department of Transportation & Environmental Services. (T&ES)
- 16. All loudspeakers shall be prohibited from the exterior of the building, and no amplified sounds shall be audible at the property line.(T&ES)
- 17. Supply deliveries, loading, and unloading activities shall not occur between the hours of 9:00pm and 10:00am. (T&ES)(P&Z)
- The applicant shall provide a completed Recycling Implementation Plan (RIP) Form within 60 days of City Council approval. Contact the City's Recycling Program Coordinator at 703-519-3486 or via email at commercialrecycling@alexandriava.gov, for information about completing this form. (T&ES)

- 19. Litter on the site and on public rights-of-way and spaces adjacent to or within 75 feet of the premises shall be picked up at least twice a day and at the close of business, and more often if necessary, to prevent an unsightly or unsanitary accumulation, on each day that the business is open to the public. (T&ES)
- 20. The applicant shall require its employees who drive to use off-street parking and provide employees who use mass transit with subsidized bus and rail fare media. The applicant shall also post DASH and Metrobus schedules on-site for employees. (T&ES)
- 21. The applicant shall execute an annual agreement with the Alexandria Police Department (APD) that allows APD to enforce no trespassing and no loitering on the property. (P&Z)
- 22. The applicant shall offer space inside the store to the Alexandria Police Department for a work station to the satisfaction of the Chief of Police and the Director of Planning & Zoning. (P&Z)
- 23. The establishment of a police work station notwithstanding, if a pattern of security problems develops, the applicant will meet with City staff to resolve such problems. If said problems persist, this Special Use Permit may be docketed for review by the Planning Commission and City Council, regardless of whether it is a part of an annual review. (P&Z)
- 24. The applicant shall install interior and, if permitted by the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association, exterior closed-circuit television cameras for the security of patrons, employees, and community residents. The installation of such equipment shall be subject to the review and approval of the Director of Planning & Zoning and the Chief of Police. (P&Z)
- 25. The applicant shall post signage prohibiting trespassing and loitering and informing the public of the presence of closed-circuit security cameras. Such signage shall be subject to the review and approval of the Director of Planning & Zoning. (P&Z)
- 26. The applicant shall contact the Community Relations Unit of the Alexandria Police Department at 703-838-4520 regarding a security survey for the business and a robbery readiness program for all employees. (Police)
- 27. Beer or wine coolers may be sold only in 4-packs, 6-packs or bottles of more than 40 fluid ounces. Wine may be sold only in bottles of at least 750 ml or 25.4 ounces. Fortified wine (wine with an alcohol content of 14% or more by volume) may not be sold. (Police)
- 28. The Director of Planning and Zoning shall review the special use permit annually for the first three consecutive years after the convenience store has been operational, and shall docket the matter for consideration by the Planning Commission and City Council if (a) there have been documented violations of the permit conditions which were not corrected immediately, constitute repeat violations or which create a direct and immediate adverse zoning impact on the surrounding community; (b) the director has received a request

from any person to docket the permit for review as the result of a complaint that rises to the level of a violation of the permit conditions, or (c) the director has determined that there are problems with the operation of the use and that new or revised conditions are needed. (P&Z) (Police)

STAFF: Gwen Wright, Division Chief, Department of Planning and Zoning; Nathan Randall, Urban Planner.

<u>Staff Note:</u> In accordance with section 11-506(c) of the zoning ordinance, construction or operation shall be commenced and diligently and substantially pursued within 18 months of the date of granting of a special use permit by City Council or the special use permit shall become void.

IV. CITY DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

Legend: C - code requirement R - recommendation S - suggestion F - finding

Transportation & Environmental Services:

- R-1 The applicant shall contribute \$500 to the SUP Streetscape Improvement Fund for street trees and trash cans. Monetary contribution shall be submitted to the Department of Transportation & Environmental Services, Room 4130, 301 King Street prior to the issuance of the Special Use Permit Certificate. Reference SUP number and condition number on all correspondence. (T&ES, RP&CA)
- R-2 Kitchen equipment shall not be cleaned outside, nor shall any cooking residue be washed into the streets, alleys or storm sewers. (T&ES)
- R-3 The applicant shall control cooking odors, smoke and any other air pollution from operations at the site and prevent them from leaving the property or becoming a nuisance to neighboring properties, as determined by the Department of Transportation & Environmental Services. (T&ES)
- R-4 All loudspeakers shall be prohibited from the exterior of the building, and no amplified sounds shall be audible at the property line.(T&ES)
- R-5 Supply deliveries, loading, and unloading activities shall not occur between the hours of 11:00pm and 7:00am. (T&ES)
- R-6 The applicant shall provide a completed Recycling Implementation Plan (RIP) Form within 60 days of City Council approval. Contact the City's Recycling Program Coordinator at 703-519-3486 or via email at commercialrecycling@alexandriava.gov, for information about completing this form. (T&ES)
- R-7 Litter on the site and on public rights-of-way and spaces adjacent to or within 75 feet of the premises shall be picked up at least twice a day and at the close of business, and more often if necessary, to prevent an unsightly or unsanitary accumulation, on each day that the business is open to the public. (T&ES)
- R-8 The applicant shall require its employees who drive to use off-street parking and provide employees who use mass transit with subsidized bus and rail fare media. The applicant shall also post DASH and Metrobus schedules on-site for employees. (T&ES)
- C-1 The applicant shall comply with the City of Alexandria's Solid Waste Control, Title 5, Chapter 1, which sets forth the requirements for the recycling of materials (Sec. 5-1-99). (T&ES)

C-2 The applicant shall comply with the City of Alexandria's Noise Control Code, Title 11, Chapter 5, which sets the maximum permissible noise level as measured at the property line. (T&ES)

Code Enforcement:

- C-1 Alterations to the existing structure must comply with the current edition of the Uniform Statewide Building Code (USBC).
- C-2 Construction permits are required for this project. Plans shall accompany the permit application that fully details the construction as well as layouts and schematics of the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems.
- C-3 A rodent control plan shall be submitted to this office for review and approval prior to occupancy. This plan shall consist of the following:
 - (a) Measures to be taken to control the placement of litter on site and the trash storage and pickup schedule.
 - (b) How food stuffs will be stored on site.
 - (c) Rodent baiting plan.

Health Department:

F-1 No Comment

Parks and Recreation:

- R-1 The applicant shall contribute \$500 to the SUP Streetscape Improvement Fund for street trees and trash cans. Monetary contribution to be submitted to the Department of Transportation & Environmental Services, Room 4130, 301 King Street prior to the issuance of the Special Use Permit Certificate. Reference SUP number and condition number on all correspondence. (TES, RP&CA)
- C-1 Property owner shall control weeds along public sidewalks, curb lines and within tree wells which are within 12 feet of the owner's front property line. (City Ord. No. 2698, 6/12/82, Sec. 2; Ord. No. 2878, 11/12/83, Sec. 1)

Police Department:

- R-1 The applicant is to contact the Community Relations Unit of the Alexandria Police Department at 703-838-4520 regarding a security survey for the business.
- R-2 The applicant is to contact the Community Relations Unit of the Alexandria Police Department at 703-838-4520 regarding a robbery readiness program for all employees.
- F-1 The applicant is seeking an "ABC On" and "ABC Off" license. The Police

.

Department has no objections to either license subject to the following conditions for alcohol sold off premise:

- 1. Beer or wine coolers may be sold only in 4-packs, 6-packs or bottles of more than 40 fluid ounces. Wine may be sold only in bottles of at least 750 ml or 25.4 ounces. Fortified wine (wine with an alcohol content of 14% or more by volume) may not be sold.
- 2. That the SUP is reviewed after one year.

.

S. N. A	APPLICATION		
	SPECIAL USE PERMIT		
ACT	SPECIAL USE PERMI	T # <u>2009-0033</u>	
PROPERTY LOC	ATION: 504 John Carlyle Str	eet	

TAX MAP REFERENCE: 073-04-0C-00					ZONE:				
APPLIC/ Name:		n, Inc.							
Address:	5300	Shawnee	Road,	Alexandria,	VA	22312			
PROPOS	SED USE:	Conven	ience	Store					

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, hereby applies for a Special Use Permit in accordance with the provisions of Article XI, Section 4-11-500 of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, having obtained permission from the property owner, hereby grants permission to the City of Alexandria staff and Commission Members to visit, inspect, and photograph the building premises, land etc., connected with the application.

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, having obtained permission from the property owner, hereby grants permission to the City of Alexandria to post placard notice on the property for which this application is requested, pursuant to Article IV, Section 4-1404(D)(7) of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, hereby attests that all of the information herein provided and specifically including all surveys, drawings, etc., required to be furnished by the applicant are true, correct and accurate to the best of their knowledge and belief. The applicant is hereby notified that any written materials, drawings or illustrations submitted in support of this application and any specific oral representations made to the Director of Planning and Zoning on this application will be binding on the applicant unless those materials or representations are clearly stated to be non-binding or illustrative of general plans and intentions, subject to substantial revision, pursuant to Article XI, Section 11-207(A)(10), of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

City and State	Zip Code	Ema	il address
Manassas, Vir	ginia 20110	mvanderpool@	vfnlaw.com
Mailing/Street Address		Telephone #	Fax #
	Street. Suite 400	(703) 369-4738	(703)369-3653
Print Name of Applicant	torAgent tick, & Nishanian, P.C.	Signature	Date
	inderpool, Esquire		5/26/09

ACTION-PLANNING COMMISSION: DATE:
ACTION-CITY COUNCIL: DATE:

PROPERTY OWNER'S AUTHORIZATION	
As the property owner of John Carlyle Street	, I hereby
(Property Address) grant the applicant authorization to apply for the <u>AUP/SUP</u>	use as
grant the applicant authorization to apply for the (use)	use as
described in this application.	
Name: MACTIN HOWLE, EXEC. V. P. Please Print in POSTE OTTS, INC. Address: 1921 MA HAVDOWS RO, VIENNA VIA 72157	Phone 703 · 448 - 4330
Address: 1921 MA HALPOWS 20, VIENNAVA 22152	Email: MHV13 Ge C PUST PROPERTY .
Signature:	Date: (/// / 5~)

SUP # 2009-0033

1. Floor Plan and Plot Plan. As a part of this application, the applicant is required to submit a floor plan and plot or site plan with the parking layout of the proposed use. The SUP application checklist lists the requirements of the floor and site plans. The Planning Director may waive requirements for plan submission upon receipt of a written request which adequately justifies a waiver.

[x] Required floor plan and plot/site plan attached.

[] Requesting a waiver. See attached written request.

- 2. The applicant is the (check one):
 - [] Owner
 - [] Contract Purchaser
 - [X] Lessee or
 - [] Other: ______ of the subject property.

State the name, address and percent of ownership of any person or entity owning an interest in the applicant or owner, unless the entity is a corporation or partnership, in which case identify each owner of more than ten percent.

IYG Holding Co.	
4-1-4 Shibakoen	
Minato-Ku, Tokyo	
Japan 105	
72.51% of common stock	

SUP # 2009-0033

.

If property owner or applicant is being represented by an authorized agent such as an attorney, realtor, or other person for which there is some form of compensation, does this agent or the business in which the agent is employed have a business license to operate in the City of Alexandria, Virginia?

[] Yes. Provide proof of current City business license

[] No. The agent shall obtain a business license prior to filing application, if required by the City Code.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION

3. The applicant shall describe below the nature of the request **in detail** so that the Planning Commission and City Council can understand the nature of the operation and the use. The description should fully discuss the nature of the activity. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

See Attached.

FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE APPLICATION #2009-0033

7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009

7-Eleven, Inc. ("7-Eleven" or "Applicant"), hereby revises and amends its previously submitted Special Use Permit ("SUP") application currently under review by the City of Alexandria, Virginia. To the extent that this First Revision To Special Use Application ("Revision") conflicts with the original application submitted June 2, 2009 ("Application"), this Revision shall prevail and in all other matters the Application shall remain as submitted.

7-Eleven, Inc. is the Applicant

7-Eleven, Inc. ("7-Eleven" or "Applicant"), is the lessee of a portion of the property identified as "THE CONDOMINIUMS AT CARLYLE SQUARE," City of Alexandria Tax Map Parcel Number 073.04.0C.34. The area leased by 7-Eleven has the street address of 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria, Virginia (hereinafter "Store") and is located in a planned urban mixed use community.

7-Eleven, Inc. proposes a new model store

7-Eleven, Inc. proposes to operate an "urban model" store in this location. The 7-Eleven, Inc. new urban model store is significantly different from the typical suburban store, because the urban store is specifically designed with a pedestrian orientation rather than a vehicular orientation. The urban model store is intended to blend into, and enhance the mixed use community by featuring interior design with enhanced finishes, lighting fixtures, floor layout, and limited window displays. Innovative signage is proposed to fit, within the community FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION#2009-0033 7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009 Page 2 of 5

design and to attract the neighborhood pedestrian rather than the by-passing vehicular customer.

The Store is planned, and intends to provide, a needed community service while addressing the

sensitivities of the neighborhood and the urban environment.

Orientation to the pedestrian customer

Consistent with City planning guidance for the pedestrian nature of the mixed use Carlyle neighborhood, the entrance for the Store shall opens directly onto the sidewalk at the northwest corner of the condominium building. There are no designated street parking spaces for the store.

Enhanced, community oriented, interior

Enhanced finishes

To provide the inviting appearance that will brighten the interior spaces and attract the passing by pedestrian, the interior wall, ceiling, and floor colors will be near white. Counter tops, cabinets and displays will also be light colored. 7-Eleven, Inc. agrees to provide Store interior colors similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

In keeping with the neighborhood aesthetic and to maintain the brightness of the interior, the wall coverings will generally be ceramic tile to the height of the wall displays with wallboard above. Floor coverings will be ceramic type tile and the ceiling will be a commercial suspended ceiling system. 7-Eleven, Inc. agrees to provide Store interior finishes similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

Lighting fixtures

To accentuate the urban nature of the Store, accent pendant lighting fixtures will be provided in addition to the lighting fixtures integrated into the suspended ceiling grid. All lighting fixture placement shall be coordinated with the display layout. Pendant lighting fixtures will be provided at the store front window area, consistent with the floor and display layout. 7-Eleven, Inc. agrees to provide Store interior lighting fixtures similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

Floor layout

As part of the strategy to create an inviting interior space, the Store floor layout will maintain an open layout adjacent to the front windows to allow clear view from the store exterior into the Store. Product displays shall be situated similar to those shown in attached exhibits. FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION#2009-0033 7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009 Page 3 of 5

Well maintained window displays

The salient design feature of the Store is the curvilinear building façade and windows at the Store front. 7-Eleven, Inc. intends to maintain the existing building façade, doors, and windows. Consistent with the City planning guidance for the mixed use Carlyle neighborhood, 7-Eleven, Inc. agrees that the widows shall not be opaque or otherwise obstructed and that window displays will be limited in nature and well maintained so that open views into the Store space will be provided.

Innovative signage

Pursuing the urban nature of the Store 7-Eleven, Inc. is proposing innovative signage that is specifically designed, within the Carlyle community aesthetic, to attract the neighborhood pedestrian rather than the by-passing vehicular customer. Signage will be two reduced scale, internally illuminated, colored, trademark blade or projecting signs and one reduced scale, backlit, brass colored, individual reverse channel letter, wall sign similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

Sensitivities of the neighborhood and the urban environment

7-Eleven, Inc. is actively seeking the patronage of the neighborhood, both day time employees and full time residents. 7-Eleven, Inc. is fully aware that customers will not patronize a pedestrian oriented store that does not actively promote a reassuring walk-up environment. It is in the best interest of 7-Eleven, Inc. for the economic success of the Store, to promote the needed walk-up environment by aggressively pursuing an operational program with the following goals and implementation strategies.

Prevention of inappropriate activities

Police work station

7-Eleven, Inc. will offer to the Alexandria Police Department a police work station within the Store to promote police presence in the neighborhood.

Prevention training

7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to emphasize prevention of inappropriate activities

Aggressive reporting

7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an

FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION#2009-0033 7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009 Page 4 of 5

> ongoing basis to insure that all employees are aware that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively report inappropriate activities.

Interior and exterior CCTV monitoring

7-Eleven, Inc. shall install interior and, if permitted by the Condominium Association, exterior closed circuit TV monitoring to discourage inappropriate activities.

Signage

7-Eleven, Inc. shall install signage notifying patrons and passerbys that closed circuit TV cameras are monitoring interior and exterior activities and that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively report all inappropriate activities.

Prevention of loitering

No tables or chairs

7-Eleven, Inc. shall not provide seats or tables for use of the store patrons.

Prevention training

7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to insure that all employees are aware that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively prevent loitering offenses.

Interior and exterior CCTV monitoring

7-Eleven, Inc. shall install interior and if permitted by the Condominium Association, exterior closed circuit TV monitoring to discourage loitering.

Signage

7-Eleven, Inc. shall install signage notifying patrons and passerbys that closed circuit TV cameras are monitoring interior and exterior activities and that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to prevent loitering.

Reduction of noise

Loading

7-Eleven, Inc. shall restrict all loading and unloading activities to the hours between 10 am to 9 pm daily.

Signage

7-Eleven, Inc. shall install signage notifying patrons and passer-

FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION#2009-0033 7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009 Page 5 of 5

bys that the Store is located in a residential area and that it is7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively limit noise incidents.

Aggressive reporting

7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to insure that all employees are aware that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively limit noise incidents.

Management of litter

Responsibility for pickup

7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide litter pickup on the Store premises, Condominium common area at the Store entrance, and on the near side of adjacent public rights-of-way within 75' feet of the premises at least twice a day, to prevent unsightly or unsanitary accumulation of litter. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall empty all its privately owned litter receptacles when necessary and shall maintain all its privately owned litter receptacles in good working condition.

Provide 2 extra trash receptacles

7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide two litter receptacles, if permitted by the Condominium Association consistent with the Carlyle streetscape motif, for installation in the vicinity of the store entrance.

Trash management

Trash and garbage shall be placed in sealed containers which do not allow odors to escape and which do not allow invasion by animals. All trash and garbage containers shall be stored inside and no trash, garbage or debris shall be allowed to accumulate outside of the sealed containers.

Respectfully submitted.

Michael R/Vanderpool Attorney at Law Vanderpool, Frostick & Nishanian, P.C. 9200 Church Street, Suite 400 Manassas, VA 20110 703-369-4738 703-369-3063 (Fax)

V:\Company\7-Eleven\504 John Carlyle Rd - Alexandria City\2009_0812_1st Revison to SUP_PJG.doc

23







FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE APPLICATION #2009-0033

7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009

Proposed Development Conditions

(Enhanced finishes)

1. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide near white colors for interior walls, ceiling, floors, counter tops, cabinet fronts, and displays similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

2. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide ceramic tile wall coverings, generally to the height of the wall displays with wallboard above. Floor coverings will be generally be ceramic type tile and the ceiling will be a commercial suspended ceiling system similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

(Lighting fixtures)

3. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide pendant accent lighting fixtures in addition to the lighting fixtures integrated into the suspended ceiling grid. All lighting fixture placement shall be coordinated with the display layout. Pendant lighting fixtures will be provided at the store front window area, consistent with the floor and display layout. Store interior lighting fixtures shall similar to those shown in attached exhibits.

(Floor layout)

4. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide an open layout adjacent to the front windows. Product displays in the vicinity of the front widows will be oriented to allow view from the store exterior to the extent commercially feasible. Layout shall be similar to that shown in the attached exhibits.

(Well maintained window displays)

5. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall maintain the existing building façade, doors, and windows. Widows shall not be made opaque or otherwise obstructed. No more than two window signs shall be displayed in total. Window displays shall be well maintained so that open views into the Store interior space will be provided.

(Innovative signage)

6. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide two 18" x 18" internally illuminated, colored,

FIRST REVISION SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION#2009-0033 Proposed Development Conditions 7-Eleven, Inc. 504 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria August 14, 2009 Page 2 of 3

trademark blade or projecting signs and one $18" \times 7'-6"$ backlit, brass colored, individual reverse channel letter, wall sign. The blade signs shall be located one on either side of the Store frontage, place for visibility and the wall sign shall be centered over the Store entrance. Signage shall be similar to that shown in the attached exhibits.

(Prevention of inappropriate activities)

7. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall speak to the Alexandria Police Department for a police work station within the Store.

8. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to emphasize prevention of inappropriate activities. This training may be combined with other 7-Eleven, Inc. training activities.

9. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to insure that all employees are aware that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively report inappropriate activities. This training may be combined with other 7-Eleven, Inc. training activities.

10. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall install interior and, if permitted by the Condominium Association, exterior closed circuit TV monitoring cameras to discourage inappropriate activities. TV monitoring cameras installed under this condition may be used for any security purpose.

11. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall install signage notifying patrons and passer-bys that closed circuit TV cameras are monitoring interior and exterior activities and that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively report all inappropriate activities. This notice may be combined with other notices required under this special use permit.

(Prevention of loitering)

12. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall not provide seats or tables for use of the store patrons.

13. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to insure that all employees are aware that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively prevent loitering offenses. This training may be combined with other 7-Eleven, Inc. training activities.

14. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall install interior, and if permitted by the Condominium Association, exterior closed circuit TV monitoring to discourage loitering. TV monitoring cameras installed under this condition may be used for any security purpose. 15. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall install signage notifying patrons and passer-bys that closed circuit TV cameras are monitoring interior and exterior activities and that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to prevent loitering. This notice may be combined with other notices required under this special use permit.

(Reduction of noise)

16. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall restrict all loading and unloading activities to the hours between 10 am to 9 pm daily.

17. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall install signage notifying patrons and passer-bys that the Store is located in a residential area and that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively limit noise incidents. This notice may be combined with other notices required under this special use permit.

18. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall conduct employee training sessions on an ongoing basis to insure that all employees are aware that it is 7-Eleven, Inc. policy to aggressively limit noise incidents. This training may be combined with other 7-Eleven, Inc. training activities.

(Management of litter)

19. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide litter pickup on the Store premises, Condominium common area at the Store entrance, and on the near side of adjacent public rights-of-way within 75' feet of the premises at least twice a day, to prevent unsightly or unsanitary accumulation of litter. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall empty all its privately owned litter receptacles when necessary and shall maintain all its privately owned litter receptacles in good working condition.

20. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall provide, if permitted by the Condominium Association, two litter receptacles, consistent with the Carlyle streetscape motif, for installation in the vicinity of the store entrance.

21. 7-Eleven, Inc. shall place trash and garbage in sealed containers which do not allow odors to escape and which do not allow invasion by animals. All trash and garbage containers shall be stored inside and no trash, garbage or debris shall be allowed to accumulate outside of the sealed containers.

Note that the exhibits are illustrative only and not to be construed for makes, models, style, or arrangements.

V:\Company\7-Eleven\504 John Carlyle Rd - Alexandria City\2009_0814_Develpmment Conditions 1st Rev SUP_PJG.doc

SUP # 209-0033

USE CHARACTERISTICS

4. The proposed special use permit request is for (check one):

[X] a new use requiring a special use permit,

- [] an expansion or change to an existing use without a special use permit,
- [] an expansion or change to an existing use with a special use permit,
- [] other. Please describe:_____
- 5. Please describe the capacity of the proposed use:
 - A. How many patrons, clients, pupils and other such users do you expect? Specify time period (i.e., day, hour, or shift).

Approximately 1,100 to 1,500 customers per day.

B. How many employees, staff and other personnel do you expect?
 Specify time period (i.e., day, hour, or shift).

Maximum of three employees per shift

approximately seven employees total

6. Please describe the proposed hours and days of operation of the proposed use:

Day: 365 Days per year

Hours: 24 Hours a day

- 7. Please describe any potential noise emanating from the proposed use.
 - A. Describe the noise levels anticipated from all mechanical equipment and patrons.

No significant noise beyond that generated by typical retail business

activities.

.

B. How will the noise be controlled?

No significant noise from patrons anticipated.

SUP # 2009-00.33

8. Describe any potential odors emanating from the proposed use and plans to control them:

No significant odors generated by use.

9. Please provide information regarding trash and litter generated by the use.

A. What type of trash and garbage will be generated by the use? (i.e. office paper, food wrappers)

Typical solid waste from small retail establishment (consisting largely of

paper, cardboard and plastic).

B. How much trash and garbage will be generated by the use? (i.e. # of bags or pounds per day or per week)

Approximately 32 yards of trash and 16 cubic yards of recyclables per week.

C. How often will trash be collected?

Once a day pick ups

D. How will you prevent littering on the property, streets and nearby properties?

Applicant will have employees pick up litter twice a day on property, within 75"

of the store front entrance.

10. Will any hazardous materials, as defined by the state or federal government, be handled, stored, or generated on the property?

[] Yes. [x] No.

If yes, provide the name, monthly quantity, and specific disposal method below:

					SUP	1009-00
	iny organic comp nt, be handled, s		-	•	hinner, or a	cleaning or degre
[} Y	es. [k] N	No.				
lf yes	, provide the nar	me, monthly q	luantity, and	specific dispo	sal method	i below:
What	methods are pro	oposed to ens	sure the safe	y of nearby r	esidents, e	mployees and pa
Sto	re will have	closed cir	cuit TV an	d monitored	l alarm s	ystem
	L SALES					
			·			
 DHO A.		osed use inclu	ide the sale o	of beer, wine,	or mixed d	lrinks?
		osed use inclu [] No		of beer, wine,	or mixed d	lrinks?

Г

Alcohol sales from 6AM to 12 Midnight

ABC License for off premises sales.

SUP # 2009-0033

PARKING AND ACCESS REQUIREMENTS

A.	How many parking spaces of each type are provided for the proposed use:				
	5 Standard spaces (In Garage, underneath building)				
	Compact spaces				
	Handicapped accessible spaces.				
	Other.				
Remi	Planning and Zoning Staff Only ired number of spaces for use per Zoning Ordinance Section 8-200A				
	the application meet the requirement?				

- [x] on-site
- [] off-site

If the required parking will be located off-site, where will it be located?

PLEASE NOTE: Pursuant to Section 8-200 (C) of the Zoning Ordinance, commercial and industrial uses may provide off-site parking within 500 feet of the proposed use, provided that the off-site parking is located on land zoned for commercial or industrial uses. All other uses must provide parking on-site, except that off-street parking may be provided within 300 feet of the use with a special use permit.

C. If a reduction in the required parking is requested, pursuant to Section 8-100 (A) (4) or (5) of the Zoning Ordinance, complete the PARKING REDUCTION SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION.

[] Parking reduction requested; see attached supplemental form

- 15. Please provide information regarding loading and unloading facilities for the use:
 - A. How many loading spaces are available for the use? ______

Pla	mning and Zoning Staff Only
	業 가장이 가지 않는 것 같은 것이 가지 않는 것 것 같은 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있다. 같은 것은
Required number of loading spaces.	for use per Zoning Ordinance Section 8-200
Does the application meet the requir	rement?
	[]Yes []No

SUP# 2094033

B. Where are off-street loading facilities located? ______ Loading zone adjacent to store

C. During what hours of the day do you expect loading/unloading operations to occur?

10AM to !0PM

Large truck deliveries are scheduled to comply with the City's noise ordinance.

D. How frequently are loading/unloading operations expected to occur, per day or per week, as appropriate?

Once a day

16. Is street access to the subject property adequate or are any street improvements, such as a new turning lane, necessary to minimize impacts on traffic flow?

Existing access is adequate.

SITE CHARACTERISTICS

17.	Will the proposed uses be located in an existing building?	M Yes	[] No
	Do you propose to construct an addition to the building?	[] Yes	M No
	How large will the addition be? square feet.		
18.	What will the total area occupied by the proposed use be?		
Appro	ox. 3328_sq. ft. (existing) + sq. ft. (addition if any)	= ³³²⁸ sq.	ft. (total)
19.	The proposed use is located in: (check one)		
	[] a stand alone building		
	[] a house located in a residential zone		
	[] a warehouse		
	[] a shopping center. Please provide name of the center:	one	
	[] an office building. Please provide name of the building:		
	[x] other. Please describe: The Condominiums at Carlyle S	quare	

End of Application




City of Alexandria

Date Created: 6/10/2009



Admin SUP - 1 placard
Date Posted:____ Posted by:___

31



David Mandt <davidmandt@yahoo.com> 06/15/2009 06:48 PM To nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov cc bcc

Subject 7-Eleven in Carlyle Area

History:

A This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Dear Mr. Randall,

I am writing to express support for the proposed 7-Eleven location in the Carlyle area of Alexandria. (I live in the area at 501 Holland Lane. I was walking my dog and saw the zoning announcement on the door of the proposed location.) I think 7-Eleven will be a nice complement to the other retail locations in the area and offer goods not conveniently provided elsewhere.

I do have one concern I'd like to share. I recently moved from DC to Alexandria. There is a 7-Eleven in DC on 14th Street NW near Rhode Island I walked by on a regular basis. My complaint with that location had to do with their storefront appearance. It looked as if they built display windows, but didn't use them. The result was a glass window storefront with an unattractive white wall built three feet behind the glass. There was very little in it other than a poster that explained the building was a historical preservation project.

I imagine they did this for several reasons, including:

1) Loss prevention

2) Maximize the usable square footage in the store (the cash registers are behind the glass window white walls)

3) Reduce maintenance costs ... the display never changed

Unfortunately, this design is not visually appealing and didn't help the look and feel of the neighborhood. I hope the plans for the store in Carlyle will not have this same issue.

Again, I fully support the proposed addition to the neighborhood. I just wanted to share my concern about making sure the storefront looks good. I hope to be a resident in the Carlyle area for some time to come and I feel like the area has great potential. I just hope the city will continue to focus on the asthetics of the developments to ensure this remains such a disirable location.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Many thanks!

Best regards, -David Mandt

\frown	canadahusker@yahoo.com	То	nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov
	06/18/2009 01:18 PM	с с	
	Please respond to canadahusker@yahoo.com	bcc	
		Subject	Opposition to 7-eleven on John Carlyle St
History:	😝 This message has b	een replied	to and forwarded.

Mr. Randall,

Good morning, I am a unit owner in Carlyle Square on John Carlyle St. We spoke on Monday regarding the Special Use Permit for the 7-eleven. I apologize for not writing sooner but I wanted to collect my thoughts before writing.

I have a number of reasons I object to a 7-eleven going into the Carlyle Community. One of my primary concerns is their proposed hours of operation being 24/7. These hours, combined with the sale of alcohol and tobacco, will no doubt attract people to loiter around the building causing significant disruption for area residents. With the Lebanese restaurant Zikaret and the John Carlyle Square Park soon to open, this 7-eleven will be a 'one-stop shop' for people who will frequent these places. For example, the Zikaret already attracts loud and noisy patrons who, after the restaurant closes at 2am, choose to loiter in the street playing their loud music. It is very likely that with a 24-hour 7-eleven, these people will take their operation three doors down to the 7-eleven and loiter further. On top of this, many of the people are under heavy alcohol influence, and although the 7-eleven would stop selling at midnight, they still will be in the building for food, tobacco, etc.

On top of the aforementioned issues, this increased presence of people will disturb what is a very quiet residential neighborhood community. There will be a significant increase of trash from littering in front of the building as many of those who will frequent the 7-eleven will be 'outsiders' who care not about our neighborhood. This will also put a strain on the Alexandria police. I foresee multiple noise complaints emanating from the 7-eleven; this is not fair to the police and it's not fair to residence who may be forced to police their own building.

Finally, though someone of a generalization, 7-eleven does not fit the style and attitude of Carlyle Square and the Carlyle Community as a whole. This neighborhood markets itself as a sophisticated, modern living experience. Regardless of 7-eleven's proposal to build an "urban" store, 7-eleven will always be associated with quick, easy, and cheap; IE. \$.99 hot dogs and big gulps. This does not bode well for a homeowner who may be trying to sell in this already difficult market nor does it bode well for other retail stores who may consider moving into this neighborhood.

The Carlyle Community can do so much better than 7-eleven. I request that their permit be denied or it at least be pushed to the City Council for a vote. At a minimum please do not allow them to operate a store with 24/7 hours; limiting their hours to 10:00pm would be a small victory for residents.

Please let me know what else I can do regarding this issue. Thank you for your time,

John Mohr



Alvin Chusuei <achusuei@hotmail.com> 06/19/2009 08:18 PM To Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov

cc bcc

Subject FW: Meeting with 7-Eleven Representatives

History: A This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Mr. Randall,

I am a current owner/resident at the Carlyle Square condominiums and would like you to know I support the planned 7 Eleven in our building.

It will hopefully bring more pedestrian traffic and other businesses to our community.

Thank**s**, Alvin

----- Message from Carlyle Square Condominiums <hoa@carlylesquarecondos.org> on Fri, 19 Jun 2009 20:18:02 -0400 ----

To: achusuei@hotmail.com

Subject: Meeting with 7-Eleven Representatives

7-Eleven is planning on opening a store in our building. There will be an informal meeting on Tuesday, June 23. Please be aware that whatever issues or concerns discussed in this venue are with the representatives of 7-Eleven and not with the City of Alexandria.

The Application for a Special Use Permit (SUP) filed by 7-Eleven with the City of Alexandria is available for viewing on our web site at www.carlylesquarecondos.org. Please read this important document and provide your comments to Nathan Randall, City of Alexandria Planning and Zoning, Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov or 703-838-4666. Comments must be filed no later than July 9, 2009.

A letter will be sent to the City of Alexandria Planning and Zoning Office by the Board's legal representation voicing the communal concerns of the Board.

Thank You, The Board, The Condominiums at Carlyle Square



"Marsh, Alan G Mr CIV USA HQDA DCS G-4" <alan.marsh@us.army.mil> 06/22/2009 08:25 AM To <Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov>

с**с**

bcc

Subject The 7/11 at John Carlyle Street

History: A This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Sir,

I am not in favor of the proposed 7/11. These types of facilities attract late night/early morning crime, and are also a target for bums loitering out front hoping to bum enough money to buy alcohol. Prefer that proposed businesses close no later than midnight, and stop alcohol purchases at 11 pm. The streets in the John Carlyle area are fairly tight, and don't need additional traffic/truck deliveries. The neighborhood is currently fairly quiet and safe, and I prefer to keep it that way.

A. Grey Marsh



Jennifer O'Brien <O'Brien@aacu.org> 06/22/2009 01:00 PM To "Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov" <Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov> cc

bcc

Subject concerns regarding 7-eleven at Carlyle Condos SUP#2009-0033

History:

A This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Nathan,

Thank you for receiving what I can only assume are going to be numerous comments and concerns regarding the SUP for a 7-Eleven store at the Carlyle Condos on John Carlyle St. in Alexandria.

I've read through the SUP, and do have a number of concerns. My concerns are partially addressed on the SUP, as the answers given by the applicant are obviously going to be what they need to be in order to receive special permit usage.

I am an owner of just over a year at the Carlyle Condos. My fiancé and I are 25 and 26 years old, and are very much AGAINST the acceptance of the 7-Eleven SUP. The community and neighborhood as is are the highlight of owning property at the condos. It is, for the most part, a quiet, safe area to live. As classist as it might seem, a 7-Eleven would definitely disrupt the quiet and peaceful existence our neighborhood currently enjoys.

Our concerns are the following:

- 1. It will reduce the property value of the Carlyle Condos
- 2. The neon sign will ruin the aesthetic of the architecture of the building

3. The alcohol sales and 24 hr opening policies will increase unwanted late night noise and car/foot traffic

4. Trash and deliveries will also add unwanted noise and traffic

5. 5 more retail parking spaces will only increase unwarranted traffic in our garage (we' ve already had multiple theft cases because of those in the retail spaces being unaware of the garage policies/informal rules and concerns.

6. Those that are only renting in the building will not speak out against this (or will speak for it) because they have no reason to care about the long-term value of the property.

7. Crime would be an issue. Crime rates surrounding 7-Eleven stores (even if they have decreased over 20 years due to prevention measures) are still grounds enough to warrant the denial of a store in a currently safe and quiet neighborhood.

I hope that as an owner, you (and the review committee) take these concerns seriously. There has been much outspoken concern about the 7-Eleven and the ramifications for our neighborhood and community.

Thank you very much for your time and consideration, Jennifer

Jennifer O'Brien and Timothy Brannon

Jennifer O'Brien Project Coordinator & Assistant to the Director Bringing Theory to Practice Project

-

Executive Assistant S. Engelhard Center s.engelhardcenter@gmail.com

http://www.aacu.org/bringing_theory/index.cfm

Questions about the recent RFP? Find more information at "About the RFP" on our website: http://www.aacu.org/bringing_theory/aboutrfp.cfm *RFP 2007-2010 is still in effect through June, 2010. The 2010-2012 RFP does not have grant deadlines until 2010.*

.



Steven McCune <steven @rnccune.ws> 06/22/2009 03:28 PM To "nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov" <nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov> cc

bcc

Subject Support of 7-Eleven at The Condominiums at Carlyle Square

History:

🖓 This message has been replied to.

Hi, I just wanted to write to you in support of the 7-Eleven being planned for our community. (Actually in the building I live in.)

I hope you are not getting completely inundated with phone calls and emails (thus the email instead of a call, I thought this might be a little less annoying.)

I believe the community desperately needs to grow. With the recession, construction has pretty much stopped. Until we get the critical mass of people these buildings need to be successful they will never be built and our community and property values will suffer.

Thanks, Steven McCune



Susan Norwitch <susan_norwitch@comcast.n et>

CC

06/29/2009 04:55 PM

bcc

Subject 711 Convenience store special exception application 502 John Carlyle St.

To Nathan.Randall@alexandnava.gov

History:

A This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Dear Mr. Randall,

This email is in response to the request for comments on the above application before July 9. I am a resident of the Carlyle Square Condominiums, where this 711 wants to locate. The commercial space in the building is NOT part of the Condominium, so this lease was agreed to by the developer and owner of the commercial units, with no input from the Condominium board or residents. Indeed, we had no knowledge prior to the notice from the City of Alexandria being posted.

It is hard to understand what possible rationale there can be for a 24-hour round-the-clock convenience story selling alcohol until midnight to be placed in our residential building, in a neighborhood of residences and offices. The office personnel are not at work in the evening; John Carlyle Street is not a major thoroughfare (like Duke Street, where another 24 hour 711 is located). Where are the customers going to come from after 10 pm? One can only assume that the lure of alcohol sales will draw persons from other areas, bringing late night traffic, as well as loitering, trash and perhaps crime.

Apart from the concerns of the residents of our Condominium, I think the City of Alexandria should be concerned about loitering and drinking in the beautiful new park, John Carlyle Square, nearing completion one block north. The character of this park could certainly be affected, to the detriment of the neighborhood and the City. In no case, should this store be open past 10 pm in the evening.

Thank you for considering these views,

Sincerely,

Susan Norwitch

Susan_Norwitch@comcast.net



Olia Legoshina <legoshinaov@gmail.com> 07/02/2009 08:45 AM To Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov cc bcc

Subject Carlyle Square Condos - 7-11 Issue

History:

🖼 This message has been forwarded.

Dear Nathan,

I'm writing to express my extreme concern about a possible 7-11 in our building.

I have several reasons for my concern:

1) NOISE - a 7-11 is NOT a friendly neighbor. It is already not the quietest neighborhood, where we live. The last thing we need in our building is a 24/7 operation, no matter what type of establishment it is.

2) CRIME/SAFETY - 7-11s, especially 24/7 7-11s attract crime. I used to live in a neighborhood, where there were 2 7-11s nearby, and it was not a safe place to go out and walk around there at night. I don't want the same fate for our neighborhood. The reason I moved here is because of the kind of neighborhood that it currently is, SAFE.

3) ALCOHOL SALES - Any establishment, which sells alcohol from 6 a.m. until midnight is not welcome in our neighborhood.

I have a baby, and there are other people in the building, who have very young children, and I want them to grow up in a safe neighborhood.

The above are my major concerns, and I wanted to make sure and express them to you. I believe that those of us, who live in the building should have the major voice in this matter, as we're the ones, who will be stuck with whatever ends up in that retail space.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter and lease let me know if you have any questions.

Also, please let me know what else I can do to openly protest this 7-11 taking over our retail space.

--Kind re

Kind regards,

Olia Legoshina

	C E Bohn	То	Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov
	<cebohn@mindspring.com> 07/02/2009 05:06 PM</cebohn@mindspring.com>	с с	
A second	Please respond to	bcc	
	C E Bohn <cebohn@mindspring.com></cebohn@mindspring.com>	Subject	7-11 permit for Carlyle Square
History:	This message has t	een replied	to and forwarded.

Dear Mr. Randall:

It has come to my attention that Seven-Eleven is planning to move into a commercial unit at the Carlyle Square Condominiums and has applied to the City for a permit.

As a two-year resident of the property (and an 11-year resident of Alexandria), I am writing to express my vehement opposition to Seven-Eleven, or any convenience store, from moving into Carlyle Square. My concerns include: increased car and foot traffic, greater difficulty finding street parking, increased noise levels that would be especially intolerable at night, more litter in the immediate vicinity and beyond, and not least, the implications of selling take-away alcoholic products to the public. I am further concerned that the long-term impact would be a decline in property values, not only for the building, but possibly for the Carlyle community as a whole. Instead, I would very much like to see a restaurant or café move into the building that keeps reasonable business hours (i.e., opens about 7 or 8AM and closes by 9 or 10PM).

Accordingly, I respectfully ask that Seven-Eleven's request for a permit be declined.

Thank you very much in advance. Please do not hesitate to contact me if further information is needed.

Sincerely,

Christopher E. Bohn



"Steve & Gus" <ChristmasSpirit @verizon.net > 07/09/2009 09:37 AM To <Nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov> cc bcc

Subject 7 Eleven on John Carlyle Street

History:

🥪 This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Nathan,

I am Stephen Womack, owner and resident at John Carlyle Street. Please relay my strong opposition to a 24/7 7 Eleven on John Carlyle Street. My primary concern is increased crime in the area as is the case around several of the existing 7 Elevens in Alexandria (see City crime reports.) Of particular concern is the nearness of this 7 Eleven to the City Jail. Two City policeman I talked to last week agreed crime may very well increase (now almost non existant) and they said they believed it would bring persons just released from short term lock up (including the so called drunk tank.) These are many times folks looking for some place nearby to hang out.

I am legally blind with almost no night vision and a visual field of only 10 to 15 degrees. I am more dependent than most to having a safe environment as I do not see suspicious people approaching so I can avoid them. This is even more critical at night. I do not drive and my world on a day to day basis is in this immediate neighborhood. The safety of the neighborhood is paramount to me and I believe having the proposed 7 Eleven a hundred feet from my doorstep will significantly degrade my safety.

If the Planning Board and City Council decide to approve the 7 Eleven anyway I request that they as a minimun significantly reduce their hours of operation and eliminate or significantly reduce the hours of beer and wine sales. My primary desire is that their permit not be approved at all.

Sincerely, Steve Womack



esmith@hrtec.net 07/09/2009 11:24 AM Please respond to esmith@hrtec.net To "Nathan Randall" <nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov>

cc elizabethsmith44@yahoo.com

bcc

Subject Opposition to 7-Eleven on John Carlyle Street

History:

This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Greetings Nathan,

I'm not sure if you ever received my original email. We did speak on the phone and I relayed my opposition to a 7-Eleven on John Carlyle Street.

I'd like to request a copy of any and all paperwork submitted by or on behalf of the 7-Eleven. Additionally, I'd like to obtain a copy of the original plans that were approved by the City of Alexandria for the building including any drawings.

Can you tell me what type of commercial zone does the building have? Has a crime study been conducted by your office or the City of Alexandria?

I am opposing the 7-Eleven because I am concerned about the crime it will bring to our area and believe it will destroy the character of this community.

There are six sets of tables and chairs directly outside the entrance to the 7-Eleven. Within 75 feet there are also two other establishments with tables and chairs outside. With the sale of alcohol there is nothing to stop people from sitting outside and drinking or worse. We have a lovely community with no crime and a 7-Eleven just does not fit.

Thank you, Elizabeth L. Smith



george newsome <albertsdad @hotmail.com> 07/12/2009 07:04 PM

- To <nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov>
- cc <grns@stone-associates.com>, Harris Robert Civ HAF/RMX <robert.harris@pentagon.af.mil>, <richard@liebhaber.net>

bcc

Subject 7/11 near Carlyle City Residences (CCR)

History: 📪 This message has been forwarded.

Mr. Randall,

As a resident of CCR I would like to express my opposition to a 7/11 store in our neighborhood.

CCR provides two pocket parks which we the residents maintain for our neighborhood, guests and selves. We have installed appropriated waste receptacles and industrial cigarette butt containers to keep the area clean. Since we are surrounded with sandwich shops we have a daily load of trash which we keep picked up...the problem we have is at night when people sit in the pocket parks and drink wine and beer and trash the areaa 7/11 next door to these pocket parks is only going to aggravate the problem.

George Washington University has moved their adult night school to the corner directly across the street from the proposed 7/11. So, as difficult as it was before with parking and PTO employees the University has increased the problem....placing a 7/11 here with no off street parking would result in increased congestion. We both know some joker will double park or someone will sit in a car blocking traffic while their friend runs into the store ...I see cars stopped in the road now waiting for other cars to pull out...blocking traffic...Since we cannot teach manners to adults, lets just eliminate the opportunity and say no to 7/11.

There are other locations within the Carlyle area that provide for off street parking and still within easy foot traffic for 7/11s customers.

Thank You

George Newsome/Patricia Campbell Esq

Insert movie times and more without leaving Hotmail®. See how.



Richard Liebhaber <richard@liebhaber.net> 07/14/2009 09:59 AM To <nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Southland Corp Application for 7-Eleven on John Carlyle Street

History:

This message has been forwarded.

Mr. Randall,

I reside at 400 John Carlyle Street. It has come to my attention that the Southland Corporation has applied to open a 7-Eleven convenience store nearby. I am writing you to express my extreme displeasure with this, and to voice my opinion that their application should be denied.

First, our neighborhood has a small convenience store within walking distance (in the Meridian), so the need is already being met at some level. Second, there are already several other 7-Elevens within a 1-mile radius of this potential site, so there would seem to be no urgent need for yet another. Third, the PTO has convenience stores within their facilities, so PTO employees will not likely even use an off-site option during normal business hours. Thus, I very much doubt there exists a legitimate or substantial need for yet another convenience store. So, while there might be some marginal benefit to having a 7-Eleven in the neighborhood, let us consider what the negative effects and costs of one might be.

When 7-Elevens move into a neighborhood, they often bring trouble. You can check the crime statistics with the Alexandria PD or on www.spotcrime.com, if you enter in the addresses of local 7-Elevens (there are 5, btw). If you need the addresses, I will gladly provide them. I urge you to do so. There may be a number of reasons that explain this phenomena, but I think it's fair to say that good things don't happen at 7-Elevens. Especially late at night. I would prefer to keep our neighborhood relatively safe and crime free. We do not need a light for the moths in the night. Of note, in the Carlyle City vicinity there is a drug rehabilitation clinic on Jamieson Avenue, and the Alexandria PD's detention center is also nearby. While it's not a regular occurrence, I do see people walking through and around our neighborhood who don't appear to belong. Moreover, they may be passing through at odd hours. There's absolutely no justification for glving them a place to stop, loiter, panhandle, urinate or do whatever it is they may do. While I have never been in fear for my life, I can say that I have been wary of some passers-by. I am also aware that many female residents exercise and walk their dogs early in the morning or late at night. This could easily and irresponsibly create a "crime of opportunity" condition. This is extremely troubling to me.

Also, Carlyle City was conceived to be a relatively up-scale neighborhood. While I mean no disrespect to Southland Corporation, let me speak candidly and suggest that 7-Elevens are certainly not up-scale. Thus, a 7-Eleven does not fit the image intended for Carlyle City. Period. I am reminded of the City's approval of a Subway sandwich shop on King Street. The proprietor was required to make extensive design changes so that it "fit in". However, to be blunt, you can't put lipstick on a pig and convince people it's a supermodel. Unfortunately, it is my opinion that King Street is not healthy. This, I believe, is mainly due to the City's failure to adhere to the studies they have commissioned for King Street's retail district. So, I would hope that the City has learned from their past mistakes and will not now make the same mistakes in other neighborhoods. A 7-Eleven is decidedly a move in the wrong direction in Carlyle City. Regrettably, the City has approved many businesses that have detracted from the up-scale nature of Carlyle City so far. I fear that the City will approve yet another.

Finally, as things stand now, Carlyle City becomes reasonably quiet in the evenings. For those of us who live there, that means that we can sleep somewhat peacefully. If 7-Eleven were to move in and operate 24/7, that could have a significant effect on us. Also, the City should not forget the logistical problems of deliveries, and the lack of parking for delivery trucks as well as passenger vehicles. Furthermore, the new John Carlyle Park will shortly be opened. The very last thing we need is for a

bunch of skateboarders to figure out that there's a 7-Eleven and a park where they can hang out and skate all day and night. I fear that this alone could make our neighborhood unlivable. We do have the right of quiet enjoyment. If the City approves this, what compensation will it give me if I cannot sleep? What compensation will the City give me when property values fall? Will the City provide increased trash pick up when receptacles become overflowing regularly? What about litter pick up in the streets and on the sidewalks? And, if food is improperly discarded or not removed in a timely manner, it will likely create a pest problem for us. Not City Hall.

<u>.</u>

Sincerely,

Richard Liebhaber



thebestdawns @aol.com 07/17/2009 09:02 AM To Nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov cc bcc

Subject 7 Eleven in the Carlyle Area

History:

AP This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Dear Mr. Randall,

We live at 1857 Ballenger Avenue in the Carlyle Residences and we are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed opening of a 7 Eleven on John Carlyle Street. The area already has a Whole Foods and a convenience market along with numerous food and beverage establishments. There is absolutely no need for the 7 Eleven.

7 Elevens throughout Northern Virginia attract large groups of people who tend to linger and loiter; this is NOT what we want in what was planned to be a high-end corporate and residential area. It is inconsistent with the entire city vision for West End development. The park at John Carlyle Square is going to be a beautiful addition to the area, clearly intended to draw the public for concerts, strolls, relaxation in a lovely urban setting. Placing a 7 Eleven within one block of the park will serve as a severe detriment to full enjoyment of the park.

The proposal to keep the 7 Eleven open 24/7 with extended hours for selling alcohol is an open invitation for trouble, and again is totally out of line with the atmosphere of the neighborhood. Nobody who lives or works here is likely to be purchasing alcohol in the middle of the night. Such a proposal is patently absurd. Our primary concern is the potential for increased criminal activity. Such concern was confirmed during conversations we had with Alexandria Policemen. As you kno w, we are quite close to the City Jail. We are extremely worried that a 7 Eleven serving alcohol will become a magnet for those being released from what the cops refer to as the Drunk Tank.

We urge you to pass this email along to any and all decisionmakers who are working on the 7 Eleven application.

Please feel free to contact us if we can provide any further input. Also, please keep us informed if there are going to be any public hearings; we will definitely attend to express our views.

Sincerely, Ralph and Kathleen Dawn

An Excellent Credit Score is 750. See Yours in Just 2 Easy Steps!



martymccord 2@aoi.com 07/26/2009 11:09 AM To Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov

cc ThebestDawns@aol.com

bcc

Subject 7 Eleven in the Carlyle Area

History:

P This message has been replied to.

Dear Mr. Randall,

I would like to add my strong opposition to that of my neighbors, Ralph and Kathleen Dawn, regarding the proposed 7 Eleven.

I agree with everything that Ralph and Kathleen have already said about the need for a store selling alcohol 24 hours a day. Currently it is a very quite neighborhood, with few people on the streets after 11:00 PM. I can attest to that, since I get up to walk my dogs at 3:00 AM. every morning. I suspect that would change if the 7 Eleven operated on a 24 hour basis and I would no longer feel safe walking them alone.

I intend to go to the Public Hearing schedule on 1 September and voice my views there as well.

Sincerely, Martha D. McCord

-----Original Message-----From: thebestdawns@aol.com To: Nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov Sent: Fri, Jul 17, 2009 9:02 am Subject: 7 Eleven in the Carlyle Area

Dear Mr. Randall,

We live at 1857 Ballenger Avenue in the Carlyle Residences and we are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed opening of a 7 Eleven on John Carlyle Street. The area already has a Whole Foods and a convenience market along with numerous food and beverage establishments. There is absolutely no need for the 7 Eleven.

7 Elevens throughout Northern Virginia attract large groups of people who tend to linger and loiter; this is NOT what we want in what was planned to be a high-end corporate and residential area. It is inconsistent with the entire city vision for West End development. The park at John Carlyle Square is going to be a beautiful addition to the area, clearly intended to draw the public for concerts, strolls, relaxation in a lovely urban setting. Placing a 7 Eleven within one block of the park will serve as a severe detriment to full enjoyment of the park.

The proposal to keep the 7 Eleven open 24/7 with extended hours for selling alcohol is an open invitation for trouble, and again is totally out of line with the atmosphere of the neighborho od. Nobody who lives or works here is likely to be purchasing alcohol in the middle of the night. Such a proposal is patently absurd. Our primary concern is the potential for increased criminal activity. Such concern was confirmed during conversations we had with Alexandria Policemen. As you know, we are quite close to the City Jail. We are extremely worried that a 7 Eleven serving alcohol will become a magnet for those being released from what the cops refer to as the Drunk Tank.

We urge you to pass this email along to any and all decisionmakers who are working on the 7 Eleven application.

Please feel free to contact us if we can provide any further input. Also, please keep us informed if there are going to be any public hearings; we will definitely attend to express our views.

Sincerely, Ralph and Kathleen Dawn



Lori Geftic <lageftic @yahoo.com> 08/06/2009 10:54 PM To Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov

с**с**

bcc

Subject Carlyle Square 7-Eleven Opposition Petition

History:

G This message has been forwarded.

Hi Nathan,

Please find attached a copy of the petition opposing the 7-Eleven SUP in Carlyle Square. We received 54 signatures out of the 68 responses to the survey.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

I

Thanks you, Lori Geftic

Carlyle 7-Eleven Petition.pdf

August 6, 2009

To Whom It May Concern,

Please find attached a petition for your consideration regarding SUP 2009-0033. This information was collected in conjunction with a survey on residents' opinions of the proposed SUP. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Regards,

Lori Geftic

The undersigned request that the Alexandria Planning & Zoning Commission and the City Council deny the Special Use Permit number 2009-0033 on the basis that the proposed 24 hour convenience store is incompatible with the neighborhood.

Name	Address	Unit #	City	State	Zìp	Email	Phone	Ownership status in Carlyle Square Condominiums
1 Lori Geftic								Owner, living on site
2 Hope Collins								Owner, living on site
3 Carlyle Lowe								I live in a neighboring community.
4 Rodrigo								Owner, living on site
5 REGINA BOHN								Owner, living off site
6 Christopher E. Bohn								Owner, living on site
7 Tim Brannon								Owner, living on site
8 Andrew Warren								Owner, living on site
9 Denise L. Tennant								I live in a neighboring community.
10 Julie Hall								l live in a neighboring community.
11 Harris Robert								Llive in a neighboring community.
12 Jayme Delano								Owner, living on site
13 Christina Como								I live in a neighboring community.
14 Hadi Saadat								I live in a neighboring community.
15 Melissa Price								Hive in a neighboring community.
16 Carol Dodd								l live in a neighboring community.
17 ALAN CRUPI								I live in a neighboring community.
18 Jorge Kulionis								I live in a neighboring community.
19 Gina Jackson								I live in a neighboring community.
20 Carlton Lewis								I live in a neighboring community.
21 Bruce B Cox								Owner, living on site
22 Grfegory M. Stone								I live in a neighboring community.
23 Albina Giron								Owner, living on site
24 Katharina Frasure								I live in a neighboring community.
25 David Hackney								Renter
26 Michael and Amy Mortimer								Owner, living on site
27 iea allen								Llive in a neighboring community.
28 Dante Paragas								Owner, living off site
29 StephenDildine								Owner, living on site
30 Chanda Fields								Owner, living on site
31 R. Jack Chapman								t live in a neighboring community.
32 Richard Liebhaber								I live in a neighboring community.
33 Vivian Keller								Owner, living on site

Name		Unit # City	Cuty	State	State Zip	Email	Phone	Square Condominiums
34 Adam Kelinsky								Owner, living on site
35 Pauline M. Mulligan								Owner, living an site
Vexandra Warren								Owner, living on site
37 Windy & David Rudd								Owner , living on site
38 Jody Pettibone								Owner, living on site
39 Jahn Mahr								Owner, living on site
40 Carol Pelkofski								Owner, living on site
41 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubi	B. Dubi							Owner, living off site
42 Lewis Goldstone								Owner, living on site
43 Cameron Saadat								Owner, living off site
44 Susan Norwitch								Owner, living on site
45 Aaron Mihalik								Owner, living on site
46 Joseph Lanasa								Owner , living on site
47 Olga Legoshina								Owner, living on site
48 Tomoko E. Coles								Owner, living on site
49 Russell Keller								Owner, living an site
dan G. Marsh								Owner, living on site
51 Steven Shearer								Owner, living on site
52 Joseph Zabeł								Owner, living on site
53 Elizabeth Lebedeker								Owner, living on site
54 Seth Geftic								Owner, living an site

•



Lori Geftic <lageftic @yahoo.com> 08/06/2009 10:50 PM

bcc

cc

Subject Results of the Carlyle Square 7-Eleven resident survey

To Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov

History:

🥪 This message has been replied to and forwarded.

Hi Nathan,

Please find attached my letter including an analysis of the survey results, as previously discussed. The document includes attachments with the survey raw data and a copy of the survey itself. I will send a copy of the petition in a separate email.

Feel free to contact me with any questions you may have about survey or its findings. I hope this is a helpful tool.

Thank you,

Carlyle 7-Eleven Survey Results.pdf

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to share the results of a survey regarding the Special Use Permit number 2009-0033 for a 24 hour 7-Eleven convenience store at the corner of Ballenger and John Carlyle St. As a concerned citizen and resident of the Carlyle Square Condominiums (CSC), the building in which the proposed store would reside, I created a neutral on-line survey to allow other residents in the Carlyle Square neighborhood to provide positive and/or negative feedback on the permit. I hope that the City Planning and Zoning Commission and the City Council will find the information I gathered useful input into their rulings.

Collection Information:

The survey was posted on-line beginning in late June and responses were collected through August 2. Various approaches were used to distribute the web link to the survey to residents in the neighborhood. At CSC, residents who had posted their email information on the on-line resident directory were emailed a link to the survey. Residents were also able to request the survey link via a sign-up sheet left at the condo front desk. In other neighborhood developments either the Board of Directors emailed residents the link to the survey or residents were made aware of the survey via a community blog post and word of mouth. In all, 71 responses were received. Any response that did not include a name, address, and either a phone number or email address was filtered out, leaving 68 valid responses. Of these, 45 responses were from residents living in CSC, representing approximately 25% of all residents in the building.

Survey Format:

The survey was designed to be neutral. It requested identification information, and included both yes/no and ranking type questions. The ranking questions were based on concerns raised by residents in a CSC HOA Board meeting, which 7-Eleven representatives had attended. Respondents were informed that their information would be provided to the City Planning and Zoning representative. In addition to the close ended questions, the survey provided a comment area where residents could list concerns or comments. The survey also provided an opportunity for residents to sign a petition opposing the permit in its proposed form. Please see Attachment D for a copy of the survey.

Resuits:

The results show that 81% of all respondents are opposed to the SUP in its proposed format. When broken into those living in CSC and those in the surrounding neighborhoods, the results were comparable (see Figure 1). This indicates that not only the residents living in the building in which the proposed store would be located but also those living in surrounding neighborhoods are opposed to the proposed store location.

The concern which residents were most likely to "Strongly Agree" with was that the proposed



store would be open 24 hours a day, although the majority of residents also at least "Somewhat Agree" that they are concerned about alcohol sales, noise levels and the appearance of 7-Eleven window displays (see Figure 2).



EXCLUSE 2. Number of responses based on a siding scale of agreement to each of the below statements

Although the open ended comments varied greatly, reoccurring issues raised included the 24 hour nature of the store, noise from patrons, an increase in crime generally associated with 24 hour convenience stores, loitering, an increase in traffic, and the incompatibility of the store with the neighborhood's general character (see Table 1). Please see Attachment A for each respondent's contact information. Attachment B provides the respondents' open ended comments and Attachment C includes the results of the closeended questions. TABLE 1: Open-ended comments - topics

most frequently mentioned	
Торіс	References
hours	38
noise	31
crime	21
loitering	21
traffic	20
neighborhood character	19
alcohol	15
property value	12
trash	10
parking	8

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding the survey and its results. The signed petition is being provided in a separate letter.

Regards,

Lori Geftic

About the researcher:

Lori Geftic has a BSBA in Finance and Accounting from Washington University in St. Louis. She is currently completing her Masters Thesis in Design. Her background includes extensive training in Environmental Behavior research, econometrics, and statistical analysis.

ATTACHMENT A - SURVEY RESPONDENT CONTACT INFORMATION

Cwner, living off site							segered arned ecologia
Owner, Hving on site							7/2009 Steven McCune
Owner, living on site							9/3009 Some with a second
Vinumoo ganodigion e ni evil i							1002/52
Owner, living on site	1						namizroM ymA bris Iasrizim e005/25
าอากรม							Vanatash bived eoos (06
Ilve in a neighboring community.	,						01/2000 Designing Erasure
atis no Brivil , termo							nonia Gridia 6005/10
t live in a neighboring community.							01/2009 Griegory M. Stone
Vinummos gninodrigion e ni ovil l							nemthan enumer economic
Owner, living on site	1						03/3009 Brace 8 Cox
Vinummos Brindrigien s ni evil	,						03/3000 Cartton Lewis
tive in a neighboring community.	•						348hW IT 6002/20
Owner, living on site	,						2003/3000 With the Werking
Vinumos grindrigien s ni evil i							03/3009 Cina Jackson
Vinumos grinodigien s ni evil i							02/2009 K#\$#A
Annumos Brinde so in the line of the solution							02/2009 Jorge Kulionis
Vinummos gnindigian s ni vit							03/2009 Carolyn Roman
Vinumos grinodrigian e ni evil i							1903/2009 ALAN CRUPI
tinummos gninodigian a ni evit t							03/2009 Carol Dodd
Vinummop Brihodilsjan E ni evil)							03/2009 Melitsa Price
Vinummos Brinoddaian e ni evil							Jepees ipen 6002/00
Vinummos Brindagian e ni evil							04/2009 Christina Como
Owner, living on site							onsie0 amyst 8002/20
Vinumos grindalien e ni evil i							00\2009 1 2fore
Vinumno Banodagian e ni evil							119doß zinsH 6002/90
Owner, living on site							07/2009 Patricia D. Peck
l live in a neighboring community.							lleH 회까지 6002/70
I live in a neighboring community.							ziavi9 not 6005/80
Vinummos annodraisen e ni evil							105/2009 Denise L. Tenson
Owner, living on site							nameW wathin 8005/EI
Owner, living on site							nonneuß miT 6002/A1
Owner, living on site							T2\5000 Christopher E. Bohn
Owner, living off site							UHOR WIESTN 6002/LT
Owner, living on site							oginbog 8002\02
							21/2009 Cartyle Lowe
							31/2009 Hope Collins
Owner, living on site							01/2009 Virgil Cook
Owner, living on site						,	03/2009 Lori Gefäc
topuos		 					
esistio					nadmuð		
Tened tions of the Council Cou	Phone Number:	 :2201bbA lisen.)	ste: Zip Code:	2 :nwoT\yiD	opuoj		

ATTACHMENT A - SURVEY RESPONDENT CONTACT INFORMATION

.

lesponse Date	Name:	Address:	Condo Number:	City/Town:	State:	Zip Code:	Email Address:	Phone Number:	Relationship to CSC	Owner offsite condo #
06/26/2009	StephenDildine								Owner, living on site	
06/25/2009	Jennifer Sincavage	-							Owner, living on site	
06/25/2009) Chanda Fields	_							Owner, living on site	
06/25/2009	R. Jack Chapman	-							I live in a neighboring community.	
06/25/2009	Richard Liebhaber	-							Hive in a neighboring community.	
06/24/2009	Vivian Keller	-							Owner, living on site	
06/24/2009	Adam Kelinsky	-							Owner, living on site	·
06/24/2009	Pauline M. Mulligan	-							Owner, living on site	
06/24/2009	Alexandra Warren	•							Owner, living on site	
06/24/2009	Windy & David Rudd								Owner, living on site	
06/24/2009	Jody Pettibone								Owner, living on site	
06/23/2009	John Mohr								Owner, living on site	
06/23/2009	Carol Pelkofski								Owner, living on site	
06/23/2009	Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubac								Owner, living off site	2
06/23/2009	Lewis Goldstone								Owner, living on site	
06/23/2009	Cameron Saadat								Owner, living off site	
06/22/2009	Susan Norwitch								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Aaron Mihalik								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Joseph Lanasa								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Olga Legoshina								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Tomoko E. Coles								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Russell Keller								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Kristen Moran								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Alan G. Marsh								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Robert A. Denson								Owner, living on site	- <u> </u>
	Steven Shearer								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Joseph Zabei								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Elizabeth Lebedeker								Owner, living on site	
06/22/2009	Seth Geftic								Owner, living on site	

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
08/02/2009 Lori Geftic	I do not think that this is an appropriate use for the retail space. When we purchased our unit, the developer indicated that a Sushiko and a Moe's would be going into the retail space. These are much more appropriate establishments for the area. We certainly would have reconsidered buying here if we thought a 24 hour 7-Eleven would be right outside our door. I have concerns about crime, traffic, limited parking, no loading dock area, noise, and the diminished appearance of the exterior of our building through the typical 7-Eleven window advertisements. I'm sure new prospective buyers will share these concerns, and as I result I believe that all property values in the building and neighboring town home community will be hurt by the presence of any type of 24 hour convenience store in this location. Other locations with parking along Eisenhower avenue would be much more appropriate.
08/01/2009 Virgil Cook	Limit hours Police monitored Responsible to clean up in front of property - cigarette buds, gum, trash etc.
07/31/2009 Hope Collins	 It does not fit the style of the community. This is not a 24-hour community - it is a mixed residential and office/retail. Most people on the streets during the day are office workers who are recreating or having a meal. They are not looking to purchase take-out foods/beverages. The residents already have access to plenty of places for these items (Whole Foods, Giant). A 24-hour facility will likely attract people into the neighbor who can get there needs met just as well in areas such as Duke Street.
07/21/2009 Cariyle Lowe	Increased trash since the SUP says they will only pick up trash to 6 1/2 feet of door and that does not go halfway to the curb. Despite what is in the SUP, there will be an increase in noise from patrons since no other business is open at 3 in the morning. 7-11 doesn't fit the community given the hours. The blocks which have 7-11 stores in Alexandria have a higher crime rate than other blocks in the same neighborhood. The SUP only addresses the safety of the store, but not the nearby residents. Unlike most 7-11s this is directly in a residential area which will lead to a higher crime rate for the entire area. SUP does not say where loading/unloading will occur.
07/20/2009 Rodrigo	None
07/17/2009 REGINA BOHN	I am shocked that Carlyle Square would consider allowing 7-Eleven to occupy space in our building. The street traffic is going to be a huge problem – it is almost impossible now to drop off and pick up laundry from the dry cleaners. The trash/litter that will be generated by the patrons of 7-Eleven will be terrible for our neighborhood. I thoroughly disagree and object to the sale of alcoholic beverages on the premises I am very concerned about the 7-Eleven advertisements in the windows; it will definitely detract from our beautiful building. The foot traffic and increased noise will absolutely be intolerable for our neighborhood. I am concerned about the long-term impact on our neighborhood, o our building, on the property value. I strongly recommend that 7 Eleven's request for a permit is denied. I encourage the Board of Directors and the City of Alexandria to seek a retail business/restaurant/café that keeps reasonable business hours. Regina Bohn, (703)751-5440 Email: gina.bohn@amgeneral.com
07/15/2009 Christopher E. Bohn	My concerns include: increased car and foot traffic, greater difficulty finding street parking, increased noise levels (especially intolerable at night), more litter in the immediate vicinity and beyond, and not least, the implications of selling alcoholic products to the public. I am further concerned that the long-term impact will be a decline in property values, not only for the building, but the Carlyle community as a whole. Instead, I would very much like to see a restaurant or café move into the building that keeps reasonable business hours (i.e., opens about 7 or 8AM and closes by 9 or 10PM).
07/14/2009 Tim Brannon	It dramatically increases foot traffic in a quiet neighborhood. Moreover, 7-11's bring with them an increased chance of crime in the neighborhood as they will be one of the few places around here selling alcohol until 12pm. Also, we live in a beautiful building that will be tarnished if a neon green and orange sign is place on the front of the building. Real estate values are already low enough without agreeing to anything that will decrease values further.

esponse Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
07/13/2009 Andrew Warren	I have two main concerns. First and foremost is the the increased pedestrian traffic that will occur after hours as a result of a 24-7 convenience store. People who do not live in the neighborhood will be coming into the neighborhood late at night, especially because the 7-11 will be selling liquor and cigarettes until midnight. This creates several problems, such as noise complaints potential criminal activity, and
	litter (especially the cigarette butts sure to be discarded on the ground). The fact that there is outdoor seating at Jerry's Subs (although it's closed late at night, people still sit in the area) which will lead to loitering, which is a safety concern among others. Second, the reputation of 7-11 is not consistent with the area and in particular, this building. This is a new, upscale, quiet, residential neighborhood, whereas 7-11s are
	commonly associated whether justifiably or not with lesser neighborhoods. This will likely adversely affect property values for homeowners.
07/09/2009 Denise L. Tennant	While I welcome new retailers to the community, I am concerned that a 24-hour business like 7-Eleven will disturb the quite nature of the neighborhood.
07/08/2009 Jon Rivers	I am in favor but I don't think the place needs to be 24hrs maybe a 6am-11am opening hourse would be better for the area.
07/07/2009 Julie Hall	We have very little space in this community. There are NO parking spaces and we already have a problem with trash and people with no place to sit. This 7/11 will increase the trash and encourage someone to sit on my door stoop because they don't have anyplace else to go. I don't want a drunk or even a non-drunk sitting in my doorway.
07/07/2009 Patricia D. Peck	late night traffic into the area. trash, noise, crime, Hours of operation-24hrs. sale of alcohol, porn & cigarettes. Loss of property value. Not attractive to Old Town. All convenience stores should be banned from Old town. Crime, crime, Crime
07/06/2009 Harris Robert	Hours of operations and noise levels. Especially during early morning hours. The lack of customer parking and traffic control. Time of deliveries. Deliveries will not practical during normal work hours because of auto traffic and pedestrian traffic. Litter control. Twice a day will not be enough. Hours of alcohol sales. Security, and Loitering. Will 7-Eleven post security guards during the evening hours? If not, does the Alexandria Police have a crime mitigation plan in the works to handle potiential increase in crime? Signage. Will the 7-Eleven sign be illuminated 24/7 and impact sleep patterns of neighboring homes.
07/06/2009 J Stone	Where will customers park? There is currently no parking as it is. I can understand people can walk from their condos or apartments but others driving through the area will have no parking since it is always full in that area.
07/05/2009 Jayme Delano	I support the SUP business hours 6 am to 8 pm. There is no need for a 24 hour store in our community. Delivery also should be restricted to no later than 8 pm.
07/04/2009 Christina Como	Hive in the Carlyle City Residences across from the proposed 7-11. I am totally against such a business moving to the location. We live at street level and this will totally disrupt life in our neighborhood. We already have problems with people loitering in the evening and this will only attract more. In addition there is no parking available for people "running in" and I see a lot of double parked cars and traffic problems. This proposed 7-11 location is unbelievably inappropriate for this area.
07/04/2009 Hadi Saadat	We live directly across the corner of John Carlyle and Ballenger. We are astonished and extremely concerned about the remote possibility of a 7-Eleven store at this location. We are already tolerating the noise and rowdiness from the Zikrayet restaurant at 540 John Carlyle Street with the unbearable smell of hookahs smoke especially during the weekends. We hope the City of Alexandria Planning & Zoning representatives are prudent enough not to allow the crime to befall in this area.
07/03/2009 Melissa Price	The image and brand of 7-11 is not the brand of Carlyle Square. A 7-11, especially one selling alcohol, will bring in late night loiterers, which will increase noise levels and possibly threaten the safety of the Carlyle residents. Even during the day, it just doesn't fit with the chic, urban feel of the Carlyle area.

Response Date Name:

Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.

07/03/2009 Carol Dodd	I would not mind it on Eisenhower Avenue somewhere, but not within the Carlyle Community bounded by Duke, Holland, Eisenhower and Metro tracks.
07/03/2009 ALAN CRUPI	Do not feel the store (7-11) is needed for this area. Very concerned about crime that the store may bring to this area. Alcohol sales another concern with the health and rehab building so close.
07/02/2009 Carolyn Roman	I don't see the need to have it be 24 hours. Why not have it close at midnight or no later than 1 a.m.?
07/02/2009 Jorge Kulionis	We need local business not a franchise
07/02/2009 Kathy	I have a feeling when people think "7-Eleven", they think of some of the current nearby stores that look like they were built in the 70s. Over
	the few years, 7-Eleven has built a number of very "nice" stores in suburban and downtown urban areas across the country. By accessing
	the link below, residence can learn more about the company's growth plan. http://www.7-
	eleven.com/NewsRoom/7ElevenIncPlansAggressiveExpansion/tabid/307/Default.aspx Given that Rite Aid isn't opening any time soon, I'm
	for welcoming 7-Eleven to Carlyle.
07/02/2009 Gina Jackson	no additional comments
07/02/2009 Matthew Merkling	Finally a store in the area where a person can get random household items and random food items that are not available at Whole Foods (d
	soda, toothpaste, etc.). And being open 24 hours is a HUGE benefit. I very much look forward to 7-11 opening up shop in our building. The
	noise issue does not seem like it would be anything more than what we already have with the assorted shops in our building. As far as
	appearance as long as 7-11 keeps their displays in the unit (as opposed to out on the sidewalk), i dont see any problems with the appeara
	of the 'brand advertising' that is seen in other 7-11 shops.
07/02/2009 TJ Wright	I can't wait for it to open!
07/02/2009 Carlton Lewis	A 7-11 that is open 24 HR goes against the character of this community,. It will increase crime and doesn't add to the neighborhood.
07/02/2009 Bruce B Cox	I have no need for it. I'm concerned that any shop opened 24 hours a day, seven days a week, will create noise and activity at times that wi
	seriously degrade the quality of life in a residential building. I'm concerned about adverse effects on property values, as well as a possible
	increase in insurance. There is commercial property a short walk from my home that I understand will contain a drugstore that will also be
	opened all day and night, so there is no need for that service in my home.
07/01/2009 Lauren Pachman	My concern is about it being open 24 hours a day, mainly because of crime.
07/01/2009 Grfegory M. Stone	The 7-11 is inconsistent with the neighborhood. This is a residential neighborhood after 6PM or so. The proposed 24 hr operation will be to
	noisy. There is no parking lot for its patrons, and they will have to compete with residents for limited street parking. Finally, we are oppose
	to off premisis sale of beer and wine.
07/01/2009 Albina Giron	The reputation of the 7-Eleven is not all that good (cheap store). The condo property value may decrease because 7-Eleven is located in the
	same building, it just doesn't look good no matter what level it operates at. The crowd that is attracted to the store is usually low income. S
	of alcohol 24/7 would bring a variety not so local neighbors. The neighborhood is currently quiet at night and we would like for it to stay that
	way. 24/7 traffic is unacceptable and increases the noise level. The typical amount of advertisement on the store windows is also a huge
	concern. Historically, area around 7-Eleven contain a lot of trash outside as people do not really care and try to rush out. There are crowdin

60

esponse Date	Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
07/01/2009) Katharina Frasure	I am absolutely opposed to the 7 - Eleven moving into our neighborhood, as proposed. In other neighborhoods the presence of a 7-Eleven store has added crime activity, noise, littering, and this kind of convenience store is totally inappropriate for our neighborhood. In addition, we have a Wholefoods store around the corner and we already have a convenience store around the corner in Holland Lane in the Meridian Apartment building. PLEASE do not allow the 7-Eleven application. Katharina Frasure
06/30/2009) David Hackney	I don't want to hear any loud noises outside my window at night nor do I want to have to worry about getting robbed, stabbed, beaten, shot, raped, murdered, etc. I live right above the bagel shop which is close to the proposed 7-Eleven store and will formally complain to the Alexandria Police if I hear any noises late at night coming from the 7-Eleven or anyone screaming. I am a renter and if I were to move out, I can't image how hard it would be for the owner to re-rent this place due to the outrageous price of the rent and then having some 7-Eleven ir the neighborhood. I'm sure once anyone sees that place downstairs, they may consider other places to live.
06/29/2009) Michael and Amy Mortimer	The fact that 7Eleven is applying for an SUP raises the presumption that it is the wrong type of business for this neighborhood. The application it filed does nothing to dispel that presumption. Unless and until 7-Eleven can provide convincing evidence that its presence, its operating hours, and its sale of alcohol will NOT lead to an increase in crime, noise, and other negative externalities, then the SUP should be denied. Also, the Carlyle Square Condo Board should be asking itself the same questions. The Board has a fiduciary obligation to look out for the welfare and value of this building, and it mystifies us how the presence of a 7-Eleven could contribute to either.
06/29/2009) lea allen	I find the nature of Seven Eleven customers, facilities and product of a character not compatable to our neighborhood. It is a quiet area, ever during business hours, and the urban pace is set by only the day traffic. To increase the night traffic and the type of night traffic drawn by this particular venue would not be an asset to the neighborhood.
06/29/2009) John Wilwerding	Businesses like 7-11 are essential to establishing a vibrant urban community. It will attract other services in addition to providing its own. It is in the best interest of the community as a whole to fill the street level retail space in order to sustain and increase the value of our units when we are ready to sell. The empty facades we currently have detract from the value of the community and provide no services for owners. I concur with worries about street noise. Perhaps we can work with the vendor to establish different delivery hours and store hours. I have seen 7-11s that are not open 24/7. I suspect the street noise will be less than I experience Thurs-Sat from Zikrayet. On those evenings street noise runs until 12PM-1AM especially on Fri/Sat
06/27/2009) Steven McCune	I really have no comments, other than that fact that I would like to see more businesses in the neighborhood to foster the urban environment that thi: development was designed as.
06/26/2009	Dante Paragas	7-Eleven will be a nuisance and a blight to the condo community. The condo community is meant for quiet and safe living, not a foot traffic area at 24-hours a day.
06/26/2009	StephenDildine	Crime Night traffic Non-residents (of the neighborhood) in the neighborhood Loss of value of my condo Noise especially after 7 pm

۰. ۲

sponse Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/25/2009 Jennifer Sincavage	The proposed space that the 7-11 will occupy has been empty for two years. Considering the state of the economy, I think it is a positive development that we have a business that wants to come in and occupy that space. Our closest grocery store and restaurants/fast food establishments all close by 10:00 each night and we do not have a drugstore within walking distance. The 7-11 will provide a level of convenience that is lacking in our community. There are a number of 7-11s in the surrounding area (with parking lots and greater ease of ingress/egress), so I doubt this 7-11 will service more than the immediate community and surrounding businesses (during the daytime hours) As a result, I don't share the concerns of some that the business will bring unwanted and unwelcome traffic/noise in the late night hours. Ou community has, since its inception, been slated as a mixed-use neighborhood. Having moved into a building with first floor retail in a neighborhood that has always been meant to serve as a vibrant, active, lively community, I do not personally feel that the 7-11 is outside of the plan for our area. My only wish is that the signage be in keeping with the architectural structure and class of our building (i.e. a brass sign rather than the typical neon sign), that window advertisements be kept to a minimum, and that the area immediately outside of the 7-11 be kept clean and free of trash. The other businesses in our building have easily met these conditions, so I see no reason why the future managers of 7-11 could not do the same.
06/25/2009 Chanda Fields	I fear that a 7-11 will bring unwanted and lingering foot-traffic to this building. My unit faces John Carlyle and already experiences loud noise, smoking, and unwanted after-hours foot-traffic due to the Lebanese nightclub (posing as a restaurant) on the corner. I suspect that the 7-11 will be more of a hindrance than a help/convenience. I do not have noise-proof windows (as far as I know) and already cannot open my windows at night on the weekends - due to the loud chatter, music, and smoking provided by the drunken/tipsy party-goes visiting the so- called Lebanese restaurant. Thus, I am certain that a liquor and cigarette selling 7-11 will increase the presence of trash around the building, will increase the amount of smoking, drinking, and loitering around the building. Lastly, and overall, I believe that a 7-11 will decrease the overall resale values of our condominiums.
06/25/2009 R. Jack Chapman	I' m extremely concerned about the close proximity of the 7-Eleven in terms of the 24-hour, 7-day a week nature of the business. This will result in a number of problems for the local residents, in that the facility has absolutely NO dedicated parking (meaning large numbers of automobiles in the late hours of the evening and the early hours of the morning with the consequent noise and parking congestion). Add to this the transitory nature of business's customers, and the sales of alcohol from 6-am - 12-pm, and the residents can expect a substantial increase in vagrancy, petty crime, litter, and noise. The end result of the issuing business permit to this business will be a reduced quality of life to the owner/occupants of the near-by dwellings, as well as an impact to the security of our property and persons.

esponse Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/25/2009 Richard Liebhaber	I am 110% against a 7-Eleven coming into our community. While it's "nice" to have convenience, there are at least 2 7-Elevens within a 1-mile radius that I can think of. So, I don't see the need. 7-Elevens also can attract a bad element and all the problems associated with that. Noise loitering, littering, and violence all have become synonymous with 7-Eleven's name. Is the City going to post a Police Officer to prevent this
	from happening 24x7? I doubt it will. This will absolutely and negatively affect our property values. Who's going to compensate us for that? When I purchased my townhouse in 2002, I had the sense that this neighborhood would become something akin to the Reston Town Center. Boy was I wrong. And, adding a 7-Eleven into the mix is going in the wrong direction. It's low-class. The City has a history of doing things that don't improve its image. King Street has been in a slow, but steady, decline. The City ignored consultants advice to build a vibrant shopping and dining destination, instead choosing to approve restaurants like Subway and Popeye's. The shopping is not unique, and people won't come where they're not safe and comfortable. In our own neighborhood, we often have people "drifters" coming through from the drug treatment facility on Jamieson and the nearby APD detention center. We don't need to provide them any excuses or opportunity to hang around, panhandle, publicly urinate or put people in further danger of being assaulted. Many people walk their dogs late at night. There are plenty of places to lay in waiting if one were so inclined. Let's not make an open invitation in the form of a 7-Eleven for them to start hanging around this neighborhood.
06/24/2009 Vivian Keller	Absolutely not if it is to be a 24 hr store. I would be for it if it closed at 10pm, if it closed at midnight I would have to think about it.
06/24/2009 Adam Kelinsky	Listed below in Survey.
06/24/2009 Pauline M. Mulligan	The hours of operation are too late for a retail business over residential units. I feel that the late deliveries would also be a disturbance to myself and my neighbors. I'm already experiencing late night noise level and sleep disruption from a retail space (Zikrayet) under my unit. I'm also concerned about the availability of alcohol sales from 6 am to midnight.
06/24/2009 Alexandra Warren	I strongly oppose the 7-Eleven moving into the building. I am concerned about noise, safety, increased crime, increased congestion and traff etc.
06/24/2009 Windy & David Rudd	Ali have been addressed here
06/24/2009 Jody Pettibone	This is NOT a suitable establishment for a quiet residential community. I frequently arrive home late at night and need to walk my dog. As a single woman, I am already surprised by people walking to the Lebanese restaurant, I do not want to encounter people who have just been t the 7-11 to buy alcohol who are probably already drinking. The noise, extra trash (I'm always picking up trash, including disgusting cigarette butts), traffic at all hours of the day, especially at night, is NOT what I expected when I bought my condo 2 years ago. I love this area but par of what I love about it is that it is quiet. 7-11 will ruin it and any hope for any of us to retain our all ready falling property values. Wake up Ci of Alexandria and protect your citizens.
06/23/2009 John Mohr	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed 7-Eleven. All of the concerns noted below in this survey are extremely valid and should be taken into serious consideration by the city. In addition, I am concerned about the name 7-Eleven being a part of the Carlyle Squar community and the Carlyle Community as a whole. This condominium complex and neighborhood markets itself as sophisticated, comtemporary, luxury, and tranquility, amongst other things. The name 7-Eleven represents the complete opposite 7-Eleven represents cheap and quick - a place where one can buy 99 cent hotdogs and big gulps. This is not the image we want to portray to future buyers in this complex I can think of 100 other businesses that I would love to see occupy that space and businesses who would stand to be very profitable Thank you for setting up this survey.

1
ponse Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/23/2009 Carol Pelkofski	I am troubled by the proposed opening of a 7-Eleven. Having any convenience store and especially one requesting to be open 24 hours a consistent of the store of
06/23/2009 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubac	In addition to the items that are contained in the survey below, we are concerned that the SUP doesn't indicate that the premises will control public restrooms even though the SUP acknowledges that they anticipated only walk in customer traffic. Since there is apparently no day of night street parking reserved for the store, not having public restrooms in the business where food and drink articles are available for take may create a sanitation problem especially for late night customers. The litter problem is also of great concern two litter pickups a day seems inadequate for a business where take out food and drink is available 24 hour a day. BTW, even though we do not live on site at the Condo, we intent to move upon retirement. We currently use the condo whenever work at the Headquarter's of a company located in Alexandria,VA requires my presence.
06/23/2009 Lewis Goldstone	I do not want a 24/7 business and have concerns about this kind of business (safety, crime, noise, etc.) operating in this condo community.
06/23/2009 Cameron Saadat	I think this is the worst possible tenant you could find for this building.
06/22/2009 Susan Norwitch	Concerns not covered by the survey- trash and crime The city of Alexandria is just completing a very elaborate park called CARLYLE SQUA one block up the street, at Jamieson and John Carlyle. Offsite alcohal sales until midnight will lead to loitering and drinking in the new par could become a major nuisance for city. It is hard to understand why the city would allow a 24 hour store with alcohol sales until midnight John Carlyle Street- not a major thoroughfare. This is a residential and office neighborhood- most customers for the 711 would have to cor from outside the neighborhood. There is a 711 a couple miles west on Duke Street, a major thoroughfare.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builiding in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/22/2009 Aaron Mihalik	I am very excited at the prospect of our commercial space being utilized. However, any benefits brought by 7-Eleven would be overshadowed by the inconvenience to the residents of our building. I am concerned about patrons loitering around the building, creating noise, and leaving trash. The Special Use Permit (SUP) provided does not adequately address these concerns. It skirts any responsibility for noise and loitering. It notes that these attributes will similar to values generated by normal retail activities. The SUP does not concede that it is asking for unusual retail activities (24hr/day, alcohol sales, etc), nor does it describe how it will mitigate the effects of these activities on the community. As for trash, the SUP mentions that employees will perform trash pickup twice a day within a 75" radius. I think that trash generated by patrons will extend beyond 75". This includes litter on the streets and sidewalks and overburdening the few trash receptacles in the area. I am very concerned that this may cause a security issue for the residents of 520 John Carlyle. I find it particularly worrisome that the "google street view" photo the other 7-eleven in old town has two police cruisers parked in front [1]. [1] http://maps.google.com/maps?f=q&source=s_q&hl=en&geocode=&q=7-eleven&sll=38.790285,- 77.067976&sspn=0.029704,0.06609&ie=UTF8&t=h&radius=1.78&rq=1≪=38.79838,- 77.049952&spn=0.359.93391&z=15&layer=c&cbll=38.798367,-77.049776&panoid=9g5gsr54iMMTyQJOXxyD5g&cbp=12,167.62,,0,13.53
06/22/2009 Joseph Lanasa	I would like a 4 star restaurant. The 7 Eleven is not the best use of that premium space. The noise and late night activity is not conducive to a residential area. How about a nice sea food restaurant. That will be great for the area.
06/22/2009 Olga Legoshina	I'm very concerned about any 24/7 business in our building, however i'm especially concerned about a 7-11 being there. Plus, isn't it called 7- 11 because it's only supposed to be open from 7:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m. in the first place? Noise is one of my main concerns, especially since our Master bedroom window is facing Ballenger St., and I already cannot sleep without ear plugs, and everyone in our household still gets woken up by a motorcycle, which leaves the building at around 3:30-3:45 every morning. I don't want more motorcycles and traffic in general on our street especially at night. Not only am I concerned about the traffic, but also about the behavior of the patrons outside of the 7-11. Secondly, I'm concerned about crime, since alcohol would be sold in the 7-11 from 6:00 a.m. until midnight? I'm VERY concerned about that. What I would like to see in our building is something that doesn't open until about 7 and closes by 9 p.m. That would be the most reasonable hours not to disrupt the neighborhood.
06/22/2009 Tomoko E. Coles	I have purchased my unit at this specific location and building back in 2005 because it is a secure place to live. I have neighbors who are seniors and single women on my floor. Our home is a secure and quiet place for people like us who work long hours and just want a piece of mind when we are home. Thank you.

.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builiding in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/22/2009 Russell Keller	My daughter has worked in real estate law for a number of years. On her visit this weekend she was appalled that a 7-Eleven is coming and shared that the presence of a 7-eleven will most definitely affect the property values of our condominiums for the worse. This is not opinion, it is fact supported by hard data. And it does not only apply to those units unfortunate enough to be directly above the store; it applies to all, as does anything else that hurts property values. The 7-Eleven will be the first thing people see when they come to our building from Duke Street along John Carlyle Street. We will have to walk past the typical people who loiter outside 7-Elevens to enter out building (panhandlers, etc.). It will be a haven for cab drivers at 3, 4 and 5AM. It will be a stopping point for the rude, rowdy patrons who spill out of Zikrayet at 2:30 AM on Friday and Saturday nights. And 7-Eleven will do nothing. Post will do nothing. The clerk will do nothing. We, the residents will have to call the the police constantly, but it will change nothing. Realtors researching for the clients will be able to see how many times the police are called. With the restaurant that is already much higher than most people would want. The 7-Eleven will only magnify the problem. I have not even discussed the trash issue, which is already a problem along Emerson and which our cleaning crew will now be responsible to clean up. If there is an event in John Carlyle Square, we will be the recipient of all those attending who need a drink, cigarettes, food, whatever. We can be a "vibrant urban community" without prostituting ourselves in the name of "convenience."
06/22/2009 Kristen Moran	I believe that the addition of the 7-11 is truly a benefit to our community. It shows that this area is growing as an urban center. We should be privileged to receive the same model as Clarendona true urban hub. I also see no empirical data that our values will decreaseI believe that the real decrease is open, empty space. This is a true mixed use buildingit's the reason I bought here.
06/22/2009 Alan G. Marsh	Convenience stores are a target for late night/early morning crime. They also attract bums that loiter in front of the store hoping to bum enough money to buy liquor. If I need something quickly, I can always drive to convenience stores on Duke Street. The streets in the John Carlyle area are fairly tight and do not need additional traffic caused by a convenience store. Neighborhood is currently quiet at night and relatively safe in current condition - and prefer to keep it that way. Any businesses going in should not be open after midnight and should not cause significant additional traffic.
06/22/2009 Robert A. Denson	I think it is great! We need to encourage more businesses to open in our neighborhood to provide the goods, services and convenience we require.
06/22/2009 Steven Shearer	Simply put, I don't think a 24-7 store is appropriate for this neighborhood regardless of branding.
06/22/2009 Joseph Zabel	A 7-11 does not seem to be a good fit for our neighborhood, primarily because of the 24/7 operating hours. Our streets are generally very quiet after 9PM, and having an all-night convenience store will certainly attract people who would otherwise not be walking around in the middle of the night around our building. I have seen enough 7-11's to know what they entail. There is always loitering, obnoxious signage, and late-night alcohol sales. The question was posed as to who would be responsible for policing the area in front of the 7-11. The lawyer basically said that it was the residents' responsibility, but it was in 7-11's best interest to keep the local customers happy. So in the event there is loitering or loud noises from talking late at night, we will have to call the police or call 7-11 to take care of the problem. Who goes to a 7-11 after midnight? It will most certainly attract people leaving bars after closing time. Chances are they will be intoxicated and loud. It would seem that such a store will only invite an element of crime that does not exist in our peaceful neighborhood now.
06/22/2009 Elizabeth Lebedeker	I do not believe a twenty four hour business is a safe or desirable business to reside in a multi use building. As a resident, I worry about an increase in crime, noise, traffic congestion, loitering, and late night pedestrian traffic.

.

,

esponse Date N	ame:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/22/2009 5	eth Geftic	I am worried about people coming to the community late at night due to the 24 / 7 status of the store. i am also concerned about signage (in addition to the main 7/11 sign) that would make the building look cheap or unmaintained.

ATTACHMENT C - SURVEY CLOSE-ENDED RESPONSES

	Eleven moving into the	a day, 7 day a week nature of the	availability of alcohol vales	level of patrons outside the	level of deliveries and other	of the window displays at the	
	building in the form proposed in the SUP?	proposed 7-Ei tean .	between 6 am and midnight at the proposed 7-Eleven.	proposed 7-Eleven.	operations outside the proposed 7 - proposed 7-Eleven.	 proposed 7-Eleven. 	al the proposed 7-tieven.
06/02/2009 Lan Geftic	9	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongh agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree
08/01/2009 Virgil Cook	Yes	4. Somewhat avree	3. Neither zenee nor diszeree	4. Somewhat agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	5. Stronghy agree	4. Somewhat agree
07/31/2009 Hope Collins	£		S Gronely arree	< Gronely Arrae	5. Stronely adree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Stronghy agree
07/21/2009 Cartyle Lowe	£	S. Stronely, area	6 Gmosh area	6. Simoolu airee	5. Strongly arree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree
07/20/2009 Redrigo	ž	1. Strongly disarree	1. Strongly disagree	2. Somewhat disacree	1. Strongly disagree	1 Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree
07/17/2009 REGIMA BOHN	£		5. Strongly agree	5. Stronely agree	5. Strongh wree	S Stronghy agree	5 Strongly agree
07/15/2009 Christopher E. Bohn	2	5. Stronaly Arree	5. Stronely arree	5. Stronely adree	5. Strongly acree	5. Strongh agree	5. Strongly agree
07/14/2009 Tim Brannon	2	5 Strondy atree	5. Simosh arres	4. Comendial adree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	5. Strongly agree	5 Strongly agree
07/13/2009 Andrew Warten	£	5 Stronety Arree	 Stronely atree 	6 Strongh anne	A Summittatree	4 Somewhat Arree	4. Somewhat agree
07/09/2009 Denise L. Tennant	2	E Stronghy survey	1. Channels of canada	A. Sumply agree		Ctronaly agree	4 Contembra Arree
07/08/2009 Jan Rivers	Yes	and a here	T. MONTH CAMPAGE	4. Somewiak ajrec	4. JUNEWINA ALCC	and a fidework of	
M2/202/2006	1	5. Suronery agree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagnee	1. Strongly duagree	1. Strongly disagree	L. Strongly atsagree
the formation and the formatio		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
U//U//ZUUS FRAKE L. FECK	2	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
07/06/2009 Harris Robert	2	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/06/2009 J Stone	Yes	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree
07/05/2009 Jayme Delano	91	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/04/2009 Christina Como	¥	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
Jehees Wert 9002/100/00	2	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Stronety auree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/03/2009 Metissa Price	2	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly auree	5. Stronely arree	5. Strongh agree	5. Strongly agree	4 Somewhat auree
07/03/2009 Carol Dodd	2	5. Stronghy agree	4. Somewhat arree	5. Stronely arree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat arree	4. Somewhat agree
07/03/2009 ALAN CRUPI	2	5. Stronghy agree	5. Stronely arree	5. Stronely afree	5. Strongly arres	5. Stronaly agree	5. Stronely agree
07/02/2009 Carolyn Roman	Yes	3. Neither agree nor disagree	2. Somewhat distance	2 Comendiat dicarne	2 Comential disartee) Stronely disagree	0 Undecided
07/02/2009 Jorge Kulitonis	÷	5. Stronety agree	5. Stronely wree	5 Stroneh afree	S. Strongly arree	5 Strongly agree	
07/02/2009 Kathy	Yes	4. Somewhat asree	3. Nother area nor disaras	 Maither serve nor discurse 	1 Structures	Community distances	1 Stronghy disagram
07/02/2009 Gina Jackson	£	5 Stronety Jerne	 A Contradu annes 	. Chroneby serves	to allow the second	a automatic artes and distant	a bistical account of the
07/02/2009 Matthew Merkling	Yes	1. Strandb disarree	1 Strongly deserves	J. Stronghy apres	1. Strengt dense	 Network appendix that was pre- Encoded dimension 	3. Incluici agree illo uisagree
07/02/2009 TI Wright	Yes		aniferen Liberary			to survey of the	1. arrongly unagree
07/02/2009 Cartion Lewis	2	L. SUMPY USAUCE	L. Mrongly orcagree	1. Mrongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1 Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree
07/02/2009 Bruce B Con	£		ar strong of the	o. autonery agree	3. Skronupy autor	4 Somewhat all ree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/01/2009 Lauren Pachman	2	5. Storedy apres	a atronity agree	5. Mrongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhal agree
07/01/2009 Griegory M. Stone	2	a succession of the	4. Somewhal ajree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree
07/01/2009 Albina Giron	2	3. MUMPY Agree	3. Minutly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Mrongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
07/01/2009 Katharina Frasure	2	3. Suronly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	0. Undecided
06/30/2009 David Hactmey	1	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree
and had been been and the been been and the been been and had been and ha	2	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	0. Undecided	0. Undecided
	2	5. Strongty agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree
and and the set court of the	2	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
	<u>c</u>	1. Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree
06/21/2009 Slaven McCune		1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree
Ub/ X6/ 2009 Dente Paragas	2	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
06/26/2009 StephenDildine	2	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
06/25/2009 Jennifer Sincavage	Yes	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	1. Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree	1. Strongly disagree
06/25/2009 Chanda Fields	8	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Skrongby agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree
06/25/2009 R. Jack Chapman	No.	5. Surongt/ agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Skronghy agree	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
06/25/2009 Richard Liebhaber	2	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
06/24/2009 Vivian Keller	£	5. Strongty agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhal agree
06/24/2009 Adam Kelinsky	2	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongh agree	5. Skronghy agree	5. Strongty agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
OC/24/DODE Burking M. Michigan	1						

76

.

Attachment C

Page 1 of 2

STACHMENT C - SURVEY CLOSE-ENDED RESPONSES

.

aastitesiip Aphronijs -1	aaste Ajtuosis is	asige termemo2 .b	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat tehwamo2. S	ange Vanner it		2112-2100 State
3. Neither agree nor disagree	aaute Aptuous is	5. Strongh Agnee	aardie Aphronys 's	aalde Aduuns is	aadle Agluons 's		variabada i Atadasii 3 2005/22/80
aaste Afluoss 's	aoste Aducus; -s	3. Neither agree nor disagree	aante Aphonys - g	3. Neither agree nor disagree	auge Vignouit .2	PN	06/22/2009 Joseph Zahel
aasille Ajiluusig :g	aeste Afluous 's	aavaa varinee	aarge Vignonik	ange Vannik i 2	ande Advoirs 's		Jereans Revent Constraints 2002/22/30
aestlesip Ajtuosis -T	antalesib yanna 1.	1. Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagnee	3- Strough disagree	an itemp Aphons -1		normed .A headon! 8005\55\a0
2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	5. Strongly agree	erige terhenno? .A	authe Adhrons is	ange tenhemoù .b		
1. Strongy disagree	T. Strongly disagree	j: 2000kk gizebae	angest Verning - F	า: อระบบริหุ ซู่องชินดะ	T. Strongly disagram	591	08/33/2008 Kristen Moran
4. Somewhat agree		5. Strongly agree	aaste Ajbucuts 's	3. Neither agree nor disagree	aante Aduons 's		
aaulle Ajiluouss -s	aaute Altonis is	aante Apluonis is	5. Strongly agree	seale Approach 2	ande Aduons 15		26/22/2009 Tomolia E. Coles
4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	aeuthe Aphronys : s	aardie Apluorijs 's	สมชิย Apluo.ns 15		0e/33/3008 Offe reforme
se the Advants 'S	aaste Agluosis 's	5. Strongy agree	authe Aphronys - s	aaste Apluong_s	ande Aduons 's	ON	seaneJ rigatol. 2005/22/30
sealle Albuotis :s	aante Altuonis is	aautile Apliuoung 15	aautie Apluous 's	2: Strongs allow	aaille Aduoins is		Histim noneA 2005/22/a0
3. Neither agree nor disagree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	a-she Aphrone - S	aastite Apluozas rs	auge Vinouit .č	ange Vitnowa		00/222/2008 Susan new
5. Strongh agree	5. Strongh agree	3. Nether agree nor disagree	5. Strough agree	angeob ton same ration .E	aange Vignonië. 2		Jepers unitality 6002/EZ/90
3. Neither agree nor disagree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	aange Vitinnik . č	ande Aduons 's	aautte Aptuouns 's	ON	anotablo 2 iwas 6005/25/80
aa <i>d</i> ie Aplicons 's	audie Aduosis is	5. Strouthy atree	aaste Apluons 's	5. Strongly allree	aauthe Aphuouss 's	ON	06/23/2009 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubac
aaste Aptuons 's	5. Strongy allies	ange Vignong/	5. Strongly agree	aaste Apbuorgs is	aude Aduons 's	ON	06/23/2009 Cash Pelkolski
aasille Aplicosis; 's	aasile Agluosis is	antle Aphronys -s	aauthe Aphuonys -s	ange vignorik a	andle Agencies is	0 N	Now who sold the second s
aaste Apbuoss 's	aaute Aptions 's	seitle Apuolits : S	autie Advorrs - s	ange Vignonit	aanille Aphurous 's	9N	00/34/3008 104/ Betteoue
5. Stronghy agree	aasille Aphuosis is	aastie Ajikuusis 's	aaviter Aptuorits 's	ange vignonit. 2	sade Advans :s		bhuð biveð & ybnilw 2005\\$5/30
aariite Ajiluosis 's	aante Apluons 's	5. Strongly agree	2: Strough stree	aaste Aptuuris 15	ange Vinning		namew sibnexala 8002/a2/a0
		Eleven.		proposed 7-Eleven.		Froposed in the SUP?	
of the proposed 7-Eleven.	proposed /-Eleven.	operations outside the proposed 7-	-uavai3-7 bazogong	between 6 am and midnight at the	Navel3-C basedone	much ant in gribling	
appearance of the interior finishes	of the working with the	JEAGI OL DEILAGUES SUD OLUGL	aut aprono succes to pasa	sales jouosie jo Appoenene	anti to anuten Asaw e yeb ? , yeb e	Eleven moving into the	
	i am concerned about the appearance	I am concerned about the noise	I am concerned about the noise	i am concerned about the	I am concerned about the 24 hour	-7 and to rover in usy and	smail also arrouge

ATTACHMENT D - Carlyle Square Community Survey

1. 7-Eleven

7-Eleven has applied for a Special Usage Permit (SUP) with the City of Alexandria to open a 24 hour a day convenience store in the retail space located at the corner of Ballenger and John Carlyle St. The City is accepting comments from community members on the proposed 7-Eleven until the first week in August.

THIS SURVEY WILL BE CLOSED SUNDAY, AUGUST 2.

Hightlights from the permit application include:

- 1. The store will be open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
- 2. They expect between 1,100 and 1,500 customers per day.
- 3. Alcohol sales will be available between 6AM to midnight.
- 4. Deliveries to the facility will be allowed between 10 am and 10 pm.

You may review the full SUP for more information on the store's operations. A link to the SUP is provided on the community website.

Please fill out the following survey on your opinion of the proposed store. Please note that this information, including your name and personal information, may be provided to the City of Alexandria's Planning and Zoning representative. Anonymous results (i.e. without your name and personal information) may be shared with the Carlyle Square Condominium's Board of Directors.

1. Please provide the following information.

Name:	
Address:	
Condo Number:	
City/Town:	
State:	
ZIP/Postal Code:	
Email Address:	
Phone Number:	

2. Please indicate which of the follow best describes your ownership status at Carlyle Square Condominiums.

Owner, living on site
Owner, living off site
Renter
I live in a neighboring community.
If you are an owner living off site please provide your unit number:

ATTACHMENT D - Carlyle Square Community Survey

3. Are you in favor of the 7-Eleven moving into the building in the form proposed in the SUP?

O Yes

4. Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the building in the form proposed in the SUP.



5. Please describe your level of agreement with each of the following statements.

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Undecided
I am concerned about the 24 hour a day, 7 day a week nature of the proposed 7-Eleven.	0	Õ	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	0
I am concerned about the availability of alcohol sales between 6 am and midnight at the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the noise level of patrons outside the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the noise level of deliveries and other operations outside the proposed 7-Eleven.	0	0	0	O ·	0	0
I am concerned about the appearance of the window displays at the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the appearance of the interior finishes of the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I support the 7-Eleven SUP in the form it has been proposed.	0	0	0	0	0	0

ATTACHMENT D - Carlyle Square Community Survey

6. Would you like to add your name to a petition requesting that the City of Alexandria deny 7-Eleven's SUP application on the basis that the store in its proposed form is incompatible with the neighborhood?

O Yes

-ATTORNEYS AT LAW

8133 Leesburg Pike, Ninth Floor Vienna, Virginia 22182-2706 Phone: (703) 790-1911 Fax: (703) 790-7261 www.reesbroome.com

7430 Heritage Village Plaza, Suite 202 Gainesville, Virginia 20155 Phone: (571) 261-1970 Fax: (571) 261-5873

JOEL M. BIRKEN JONATHAN J. BROOME, JR. JOHN F. BOLAND JUAN R. CARDENAS BRUCE E. TITUS++ PETER S. PHILBIN+ WILLIAM P. DALY, JR. ANDREW B. GOLKOWS SUSAN RICHARDS SALEN*+ NARK P. GRAMAM TODO A. SINKINS NARK A. MOORSTEIN* ROBERT J. CUNNINGHAM, JR. LELLA AMISS E. PAPE++ KIMBERLEY M. O'HALLORAN-CORDRAY+ PATRICK M. VIA JAMES N. LEWIS

DANIEL R. GROPPER, OF COUNSEL+ RICHARD M. WARE, JR., OF COUNSEL+

ALIO ADMITTED IN DC ALIO ADMITTED IN MARYLAND ALIO ADMITTED TO PATENT GAR ALGO ADMITTED TO PATENT GAR AUgust 17, 2009

URSULA KOENIG BURGESS+ RORY K. NUGENT LESLIE S. BROWN DOUGLAS S. LEVY. COURTNEY B. HARDEN STEPHEN D. CHARNOFF* ERIK W. FOX TIFFANY L. BURTON+ KELLY C. ZOOK KELLIE M. L. BUDD MAUREEN E. CARRS WINTA MENGISTEAR+* KATHLEEN N. MACHADON LIBANDRA E. SANTIAGO+* ELIZABETH D. CRANSTON NICHOLE D. FLIPPEN* JULIE A. CHASE MARIAM W. TADROS

SENIOR COUNSEL: ROBERT W. WOOLDRIDGE, JR.

JAMES M. REES (1941-1996)

VIA E-MAIL (NATHAN.RANDALL @ALEXANDRIAVA.GOV)

Mr. Nathan Randall Urban Planner II Department of Planning and Zoning City of Alexandria, Virginia

> Re: Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association Applications for Special Use Permit Filed by 7-11, Incorporated

Dear Mr. Randall:

I have prepared this letter on behalf of the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association. The Board of Directors has requested that we place your office on notice of several concerns that the Association has regarding the 7-Eleven corporation's application for an amendment to the special use permit governing Carlyle Square to permit 7-Eleven to operate a convenience store out of a commercial condominium unit located within the Association. We place 7-Eleven's counsel on notice of these issues seven weeks ago, but are not aware that 7-Eleven has taken any meaningful action to resolve these issues. A copy of our letter to 7-Eleven is attached for your reference.

In general, the Association has grave concerns that the proposed 7-Eleven will have a negative effect on the residents' enjoyment of the property. As we advised 7-Eleven, the Board is concerned that a 24 hour store will be very disruptive to the residents of Carlyle Square. Moreover, we have been advised by counsel for 7-Eleven that a very small percentage of 7-Eleven's business was anticipated to be conducted between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. each day. Accordingly, the Association suggested that the appropriate hours of operation for the 7-Eleven would be from 5:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and requested that 7-Eleven revise their application accordingly. Unfortunately, to date, the Association has not been advised that 7-Eleven has so modified its application.

-ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Mr. Nathan Randall Urban Planner II Department of Planning and Zoning August 17, 2009 Page 2

We also advised 7-Eleven that the Association is concerned about additional crime that may arise from the operation of a 7-Eleven. The Board is concerned that the presence of the convenience store on the premises will attract a criminal element to the property.

The Board of Directors is also concerned about the delivery of merchandise to 7-Eleven. Currently, the proposed SUP amendment stipulates that deliveries can only be made between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. each day. The Association would like these hours to be limited to reduce the level of disturbance during night time hours when a number of residents are sleeping.

A more complete description of the Association's concerns is set forth in the enclosed letter. We are not aware that these concerns have been addressed to date. Accordingly, the Association is left with little choice but to oppose the application at this time.

The Board of Directors continues to look at the relationship with 7-Eleven as being potentially a long term benefit for both parties. The Board is certainly committed to cooperating with 7-Eleven to ensure that both parties are able to operate in a way that meets their needs. If you have any questions regarding the Association's position or any concerns regarding any of the requests set forth in this letter, please contact me at (703) 790-1911.

With best regards, I am

Sincerely,

REES BROOME, PC

By: Todel A.S.C.

Todd A. Sinkins

R

TAS:aab

cc: Robert Azola, President Justin Webb, Director Lynn House, Community Manager

K:\31\31145\00001\CORR\090817 Ltr. to randall.doc

-ATTORNEYS AT LAW

8133 Leesburg Pike, Ninth Floor Vienna, Virginia 22182-2706 Phone: (703) 790-1911 Faz: (703) 790-7261 www.reesbroome.com

7430 Heritage Village Plaza, Suite 202 Gainesville, Virginia 20155 Phone: (571) 261-1970 Fax: (571) 261-5873

JOEL M. BIRKEN* JONATHAN J. BROOME, JR. JOHN F. BOLAND JUAN R. GARDENAS SRUCE E. TITUS++ PETERS. PHILBIN+ WILLIAM P. DALY, JR. ANDREW B. GOLKOW SUSAN RICHARDS SALEN*+ MARK P. GRAMAM TODD A. SINKINS" MARK A. MOORSTEIN* ROBERT J. CUNNINGHAN, JR. RICHARD M. WARE, JR.+ LELLA AMIDS E. PAPE*+ KINDERLEY M. O'HALLORAN-CORDRAY+ PATRICK M. VIA JANES M. LEWIS

SENIOR COUNSEL: ROBERT W. WOGLDRIDGE, JR. DANIEL R. GROPPER, OF COUNSEL-

July 2, 2009

RICHARDJ. HEBERT. URBULA KOENIG BURGESS+ RORY K. NUGENT LESLIE S. BROWN .. DOUGLAS S. LEVY* COURTNEY B. HARDEN STEPHEN D. CHARNOFF. ERIX W. FOX TIFFANY L. BURTON+ KELLY C. ZOOK KELLIE M. L. SUDO MAUREEN E. CARR. WINTA MENGISTEAS+* KATHLEEN N. MACHADO. LISANDRA E. SANTIAGO++ ELIZABETH D. CRANETON JULIE A. CHASE HARIAM W. TADROS JAMES M. BEES (1841-1964)

ALSO ADDITTED IN DC
 ALSO ADDITTED IN MARTLAND

* ALSO ADDITTED IN MARTLAND * ALSO ADDITTED IN WEST VINGINIA * ALSO ADDITTED TO PATENT DAR

🗂 FILE

<u>CERTIFIED MAIL - 7008 0150 0003 5792 5662</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED</u> <u>AND FIRST CLASS MAIL</u>

Michael R. Vanderpool, Esquire Vanderpool, Frostick & Nishanian, PC 9200 Church Street, Suite 400 Manassas, Virginia 20110

> Re: Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association Applications for Special Use Permit Filed by 7-11, Incorporated

Dear Michael:

I have prepared this letter on behalf of the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association. The Board of Directors has requested that we advise the 7-11 Corporation of certain concerns that the Association currently has regarding 7-Eleven's application for an administrative amendment to the special use permit governing Carlyle Square to permit 7-Eleven to operate a convenience store out of a commercial condominium unit located within the Association.

As I am sure that you appreciate from the meeting that you attended on site, the Association has the following concerns with respect to the 7-Eleven and would like to have 7-Eleven provide assurances that they are taking affirmative and definitive steps to comprehensively address each of the following issues so that there is no interference with the residents of the Association's enjoyment of their property as a result of the operation of the 7-Eleven out of the commercial unit located within Carlyle Square. The Association's concerns relate to the impact that the operation of the 7-Eleven will have upon the community as a whole.

For instance, the Association is very concerned about the proposed hours of operation of the 7-Eleven. The special use permit application reflects that 7-Eleven's intention is to operate the store 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. The Board is concerned that those hours of operation will result in significant disruption to the residents of Carlyle Square. At the recent Board

Michael R. Vanderpool, Esquire July 2, 2009 Page 2

meeting that you attended, you yourself represented that a very small percentage of 7-Eleven's business was anticipated to be conducted between 10:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. each day. The Association would like to suggest that the appropriate hours of operation for the 7-Eleven would be from 5:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Those hours would permit the 7-Eleven to be open as early as the Starbucks which is located in the same vicinity and as late as the restaurant that is located within one of the Association's other commercial units. These hours of operation would allow 7-Eleven to operate profitably with a significant reduction in the impact upon the enjoyment of the area by the Association's residents.

The Association is also concerned about potential additional crime that might result from the operation of a 7-Eleven on the premises, particularly on weekend evenings. Currently, patrons of the Z's Restaurant often loiter in front of the proposed location for the 7-Eleven located after Z's closes on the weekends. The Association would like 7-Eleven to increase their security operations, including security personnel during weekend evenings to protect the neighborhood against an additional criminal threat.

The Board of Directors is also concerned about the delivery of merchandise to 7-Eleven. Currently, the SUP stipulates that deliveries can only be made between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. each day. The Association would like these hours to be reduced to 10:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. each day to reduce the level of disturbance that might occur to residents during night time hours when a number of residents potentially attempting to sleep. Additionally, the Board would like 7-Eleven to commit to attempting to coordinate deliveries with other local businesses. For instance, Starbucks often delivers at night which results in blocking the entire westbound lane of Ballinger Avenue. If 7-Eleven were to have deliveries at exactly the same time on eastbound Ballinger, it could result in the street being blocked or at least creating a dangerous condition for pedestrians and vehicular traffic in the area.

Additionally, the Association is concerned that 7-Eleven properly clean and maintain the sidewalk area in front of the unit in which the 7-Eleven will be located. Specifically, the Association would like the SUP to require 7-Eleven to clean any trash located from the face of the building all the way to the curb every four hours that the 7-Eleven is open for operation. Moreover, due to the nature of the food preparation in the store, the Association would like assurances that 7-Eleven will have a contract with an exterminating company to ensure that no bugs or vermin are present on the property.

The residents of the building have expressed a number of concerns regarding the possibility of food odors originating from the 7-Eleven. 7-Eleven's application for a SUP denies that any food odors will originate from the store. The Association would like to see any evidence that 7-Eleven may evidence that no such odors will originate from the store. Could you please provide the Association with some analysis or review of the ventilation system that reflects that the ventilation system will handle any food odors and to ensure that the odors do not leave the premises?

-ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Michael R. Vanderpool, Esquire July 2, 2009 Page 3

The Condominium Association's restrictive covenants set forth in the recorded Condominium Bylaws prohibit any retailers from selling any adult books, videos and magazines. The Association would like to receive assurances from 7-Eleven that they do not intend to sell any adult books, videos or magazines within the 7-Eleven.

With respect to security, the Association has experienced a number of concerns regarding the security of the area surrounding Carlyle Square. The Association would like 7-Eleven to provide 6:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. security seven days a week as part of the SUP requirements.

The Board of Directors continues to look at the relationship with 7-Eleven as being potentially a long term benefit for both parties. The Board is certainly committed to cooperating with 7-Eleven to ensure that both parties are able to operate in a way that meets their needs. If you have any questions regarding the Association's position or any concerns regarding any of the requests set forth in this letter, please contact me at (703) 790-1911.

With best regards, I am

Sincerely,

REES BROOME, PC

By:

TAS:aab cc: Robert Argola, President Justin Webb, Director Lynn House, Community Manager.

K:\31\31145\00001\CORR\090630 Ltr. to Michael R. Vanderpool.doc

In the matter of 7-Eleven, Inc's application for approval to operate a retail 7-Eleven store at 504 John Carlyle Street, after having thoroughly read and considered the Revised SUP of August 14, 2009 and the Staff's Recommendation of September 1, 2009, I continue to believe granting an approval will have an adverse effect on the Carlyle City neighborhood, in general, and on the Carlyle City Residences, in particular. I therefore urge the Council to reject this revised application for the following reasons:

PROPOSED HOURS OF OPERATION. First, I still do not believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City neighborhood in this immediate vicinity essentially "shuts down" after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally close at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dine do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what patrons of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their vehicles, a significant nuisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) operating later than 9pm, would likely impact the quality of life in the immediate neighborhood. As do I, many of my neighbors retire and rise early due to their commute and work hours. If this 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carlyle City as early as 4pm. I suggest that hours of operation beyond that time, even, is entirely unnecessary, and would likely bring more harm than benefit to the neighborhood and its residents.

While the Staff suggests that the majority of customers will be pedestrians, I challenge that assertion. In reality, neither Staff nor applicant is able to predict who will be patronizing a 7-Eleven at 4pm or later. While those within walking distance may well choose to walk, it is likely that people beyond walking distance will drive to this 7-Eleven if it is closer than those at other locations. This 7-Eleven will not serve our neighborhood exclusively and will be a relatively short detour for those coming and going to Eisenhower Avenue through or by Carlyle City. People driving or passing through may well be a significant portion of the petitioner's revenues. Drivers of these cars will not be concerned with whether they impact the sleeping residents. The Staff and City Council will not be impacted by the presence of this proposed 7-Eleven. Only those of us who live in the nearby properties will be severely impacted. We have restrictions on the hours during which construction can be executed (which, at times, even these restrictions are conveniently ignored and go un-enforced). What, exactly, will the Alexandria Police Department do about noisy vehicles from 9pm to 7am? The noise from vehicular and pedestrian traffic is no different than the noise created by construction and should be handled in the same fashion. In fact, construction eventually ends, as it is temporary. This establishment would be permanent. Every night residents of properties nearby and on any path to and from would be adversely and severely impacted.

Further, Staff's investigation of the operating hours of 7-Elevens in surrounding areas shows that of the sites "researched", the only ones with reduced hours are those in Alexandria and Arlington. Yet, Staff then states that 24-hour operation isn't "unusual". This reflects an obvious inconsistency. So, to Staff: if the other 7-Eleven(s) in Alexandria don't operate 24x7x365, wouldn't it therefore be "unusual" to grant this 7-Eleven which is also located in Alexandria permission to operate at all hours? Hopefully, Staff's logic flaw is obvious to the Council.

PROPOSED HOURS OF ALCOHOL SALES. The proposed hours of alcohol sales are equally concerning to me. People will be purchasing alcohol and consuming such alcohol during legal hours, which is a large concern. We have already unfortunately experienced a number of inebriated passers-by over the nearly eight years that I have resided in Carlyle City. In those instances some have involved altercations, vandalism and even assaults. Some have merely vomited in our driveways. I can assure you that I have no interest in an establishment in our neighborhood that promotes, encourages or facilitates those circumstances. Such circumstances may prompt the necessity of our hiring security guards because 7-Eleven would only police their own immediate property. What about our properties across the street or down the block? Who's making sure the PTO's parking garage doesn't fill up with violent drunks after hours wandering around looking for trouble? I'm sure applicant's solution of shuffling their clientele off their own property solves its problem, but it merely shifts applicant's problems to the surrounding neighborhood. Will applicant also pick up the litter and debris that blows across the street onto our property? Even assuming applicant agrees to address these concerns, applicant provides no mechanism by which to enforce such agreement. It is unlikely that the applicant will agree to come and clean up vomit on our property. I am unpleasantly reminded of the construction debris that blew across the street for several years. We cleaned it up. The construction company didn't. So, I am highly concerned that applicant will do much, despite their limited assertions otherwise.

LITTER. As I've previously addressed this issue above, I won't repeat the point. But, it does bear reminding that it is a legitimate concern. This is true, because we, as neighbors, will be required to increase our own efforts (and costs) to maintain our property due to the applicant's retail business. Generally, I think it is inappropriate to burden neighboring properties, especially those that pre-existed the petitioner's application with such an imposition. Under the legal doctrine of coming to the nuisance, I would be pre-empted from bringing any claim against a pre-existing condition of a neighboring property. The same doctrine can be applied differently such that we who pre-exist should not be burdened by applicant. The applicant has evidenced their refusal to address any litter stemming from their business on my property by their proposed 75' limit. If you approve their application, their customers are free to litter beyond 75', and applicant is free from any obligation to address consequences of their customer's actions.

DELIVERIES. The issue of delivery vehicles did not appear to be addressed in the revised application, which seems to be a calculated omission. If the deliveries are received from street-level parking spaces, the level of traffic issues will likely increase dramatically, including double parking and blocked vehicles. There will be increased noise from large vehicles, including full-size, and noisy 18-wheel delivery trucks driving through late at night and early in the morning. While the revised application discussed the use of several underground spaces to be used by the tenant, I assume they would be for the tenant's employees as opposed to for deliveries. Perhaps the issue has been

resolved in some other way, but that resolution has never been disclosed. In addition, Staff mistakenly states that the public can access the PTO's garage. It is our understanding that the PTO garage is now off-limits to the public and for PTO employees only. Even if it were available, the only realistically available parking for the public is on the street. It is highly unlikely that customers would park, pay and walk a block or two for a convenience store item. Generally, it appears that these issues have not been thoroughly examined and addressed.

RETAIL VITALITY. Staff has totally missed the opportunity to create something special at Carlyle City. When I first heard of the plans for Carlyle City, I eagerly purchased my unit. I envisioned a neighborhood not un-similar to the Reston Town Center. I have been sorely disappointed. The Staff, through a series of predictably poor approvals, has burdened Carlyle City with numerous deli sandwich shops and brokerages, a few restaurants, and even fewer service businesses, some of which are already failing or failed. While I understand that the City doesn't pick and choose who applies, the City can, and should, create an environment, through planning, that achieves a vibrant retail environment. A 7-Eleven would never be described as "vibrant." Should it even be a part of a "vibrant" plan? It is decidedly not "upscale" and can never be made to be so.

When I look at the asset that is King Street, I see it as poorly planned and severely underutilized. I recall the application for the Subway sandwich shop on the Western end. The petitioner spent a considerable sum of money to construct an "upscale" Subway shop. However, it remains a Subway shop and nothing more than a relatively nice looking one. Despite the City's King Street retail plan and Staff's involvement, there remains little diversity on King Street in retail usage and considerable empty space. I would be very unhappy to see the same pattern develop in Carlyle City, but that's exactly the path Staff and petitioner are leading us down. While applicant may spend all the money in the world to make this the nicest 7-Eleven they have ever built, it's still just a 7-Eleven offering the same basic convenience store inventory and clientele.

CRIME AND LOITERING. To address the likely problems of crime and loitering, Petitioner proposes employee training. How frequently does petitioner expect its employees to "shoo" skateboarders and other miscreants? Removing seating is woefully naïve as a solution to loitering. There are steps and planters directly in front of 504 John Carlyle Street and nearby (Starbucks, for example). People will most certainly use them for seating. Furthermore, petitioner says it will "offer" space in the store to the APD. What if APD chooses not to staff the offered "work station"? While I can't speak for the APD, it is undoubtedly a lower priority item for them. In addition, there has been no indication that the APD is willing to staff this endeavor. Applicant's "offer" seems completely geared to giving the impression of solving the problem, but in reality doing nothing. Petitioner also offers to install CCTV and post signage to dissuade bad behavior. This would only allow the potential to track down skateboarders who kept people up all night after the fact. The suggestion that anyone will actually heed the posted signage is also woefully naïve. I have lived in Carlyle City for nearly 8 years. Despite posting signage to deposit trash and cigarettes in the provided receptacles in our community (at our own expense), such signage is repeatedly and blatantly ignored.

Rather litter is discarded in complete disregard of the posted signs, and we must pick them up at our own expense and inconvenience. I've witnessed repeated behavior such as littering, discarding cigarette butts, gum spitting, spitting, public intoxication, late night "conversations" and the loud yelling of expletives directly in front of my house. Such occurrences are not what I expected when I purchased my property in this "upscale" neighborhood. Allowing a 7-Eleven would present an additional burden that we should not also have to bear.

CONCLUSION

There is no dispute that there are several other 7-Eleven establishments and sources of alcohol within a few miles of the proposed location. I remain completely unconvinced that the presence of yet another 7-Eleven at the proposed location is an invaluable and necessary convenience to our community. Rather, I feel it proffers a modest benefit, at best, yet it presents a serious cost to those who reside in the area. I feel it will draw people in from elsewhere who have little vested interest in, or concern for, our neighborhood.

I make no apologies for harshly pointing out why I feel neither applicant nor Staff has adequately or appropriately addressed the issues set forth above. While Staff acknowledges the potential for "neighborhood impacts", it declares that, "the recommended conditions of approval should sufficiently mitigate these potential impacts." I cannot state more vehemently or forcefully that I don't think the "recommended conditions" will adequately address these issues. I do not see how the applicant has addressed our concerns in any way other than superficially. Moreover, it attempts to limit its own liability and responsibility to within 75' of their store. Yet, its' customers, their noise, their trash, and their behavior will carry far beyond 75'. Thus, applicant's efforts to "dress up" the interior of the store and exterior signage, do not begin to address many of the fundamental issues whatsoever. Nor do I believe that a 7-Eleven, no matter how "dressed up" it is, is "upscale" or befitting of a "premier" neighborhood. Allowing this establishment would undermine the value and image that was projected and anticipated when Carlyle City was planned and marketed. For the reasons cited, I respectfully request the Council to reject applicant's application and revision.

With Concern,

Richard L. Liebhaber

To Whom it May Concern,

I am writing to share the results of a follow-up survey regarding the Special Use Permit number 2009-0033 for a 24 hour 7-Eleven convenience store at the corner of Ballenger and John Carlyle St. As a concerned citizen and resident of the Carlyle Square Condominiums (CSC), the building in which the proposed store would reside, I created a neutral on-line survey to allow other residents in the Carlyle Square neighborhood to provide positive and/or negative feedback on the permit. The results of the survey were provided to the Planning & Zoning staff and were referenced in their initial recommendation to the Commission.

Purpose:

Since the original survey 7-Eleven has proposed some revisions to the original SUP, claiming that these revisions address the concerns of the neighborhood residents. I created a second on-line survey in order to determine if either the revisions proposed by 7-Eleven and/or the additional restrictions suggested in the Planning & Zoning staff's report impacted the residents opinions on the proposed store (see Attachment E to view the survey).

Distribution & Design:

The follow-up survey was sent specifically to the 54 residents who had responded that they were opposed to the 7-Eleven in the initial survey. The survey was designed to determine if the new information from 7-Eleven and the Planning & Zoning staff would cause these residents to change their minds about the proposal. The 54 respondents received an email containing the link to the survey and electronic copies of both the revisions to the SUP and the staff's recommendation paper. Respondents were asked to review the documents prior to taking the survey.

Results:

Typically researchers consider a 30% response rate to be the minimum required to get statistically significant survey results. In this case 27 out of 54, or 50%, of the residents contacted responded to the survey - a very strong result. Eight of the residents live in neighboring communities and the remaining 19 own units in CSC. Attachment A contains the contact information for these residents.

Reaction to SUP revisions: Twenty-six of the 27 respondents responded that they reviewed the revisions to the SUP. Of these, **100% were still opposed to the 7-Eleven in its proposed format**. Please see Attachment B for the detailed responses.

Reaction to the staff recommendations: Twenty-six of the 27 respondents had also reviewed the Planning & Zoning staff's recommendations. Of these, **100% were still opposed to the 7-Eleven even if the staff's recommendations were adopted.** Please see Attachment B for the detailed responses.

Respondents were also given the opportunity to comment on the staff's argument that a convenience store in the Carlyle area would provide a needed service. Eighty-five percent of the respondents did not agree with this argument. Of the four respondents who did agree, only one thought that the store would need to be open 24 hours a day. Please see Attachment C for the detailed responses.

In open-ended questions regarding resident's concerns about the SUP, various topics were discussed. The most often mentioned concern was the 24-hour nature of the store, followed by the store's negative impact on the character of the neighborhood, and the fact that other convenience stores already provided the same services as the 7-Eleven proposes (see Table 1). Attachments B, C, and D contain open ended responses listing the residents' concerns.

TABLE 1: Open-ended comments, most frequent topics

Торіс	References
Hours	24
Neighborhood Character	18
Other stores already in neighborhood	15
Noise	11
Crime	10
Loitering	9
Alcohol sales	9
Undesirable patrons from outside neighborhood	9
Property value	8
Parking	8
Long-term neighborhood development	8
Litter	7
Traffic	6

Finally, the survey provided residents with an opportunity to sign a new petition requesting that the Planning & Zoning commission and the City Council deny the SUP on the grounds that, even with the proposed changes, the proposed 7-Eleven is incompatible with the neighborhood. Twenty-six signatures were received.

Conclusion:

The proposed revisions to the SUP and the Planning & Zoning staff's recommendations have not sufficiently addressed the residents' concerns about a 24 hour convenience store located in the residential area of Carlyle Square. Both residents living in CSC and those in surrounding communities remain opposed to the proposed store.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding the survey and its results. The signed petition is being provided in a separate letter.

Regards, Lori Geftic

About the researcher:

Lori Geftic has a BSBA in Finance and Accounting from Washington University in St. Louis. She is currently completing her Masters Thesis in Design. Her background includes extensive training in Environmental Behavior research, econometrics, and statistical analysis.

ATTACHMENT A - Survey respondent contact information

Owner offsite	J2D of girlsnoidean	Phone Number:	:21P/Postal Kem3 Kate	:siei2	"UMO L/AND	Condo Number:	:ssarppy	:emeN
# OPU00			:əpo)					
	I live in a neighboring community.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		[nella 691
	I live in a neighboring community.	-					,	nembair1 meilliW
	I live in a neighboring community.	_					•	Richard Liebhaber
	Owner, living on site							Christopher E. Bohn
	Owner, living on site							Carol Pelkofski
	Owner, living on site							John Mohr
	Owner, living on site	_						CHANDA FIELDS
	.Vinummos prinodrípien e ni evil I	-						Katharina Frasure
	I live in a neighboring community.	_					—	Catyle Lowe
[]	Owner, living off site	-						nnoð snipað
*	Owner, living off site	-						Danke Paragas
	I live in a neighboring community.	-						R. Jack Chapman
	Owner, living on site						_	suitos equi
	Owner, living on site	-						Bruce b cox
	Owner, living on site	-					-	Lori Geltic
	Owner, living on site	-						Jody Pettibone
	Owner, living on site	-					_	TOTIMION Nesus
	I live in a neighboring community.	-						Greg and Brenda Stone
	Owner, living on site	_						Vivian Keller
	Owner, living on site	-					-	Michael and <u>with Mortimer</u>
	Owner, living on site	_					_	Jorge Kulionis
	I live in a neighboring community.	_					-	Steven Shearer
	Owner, living on site	_					_	Patricia D. Peck
	Siz no privil, 'nerwo	-					-	Jayme Delano
	Owner, living on site	_					_	iadez hgazot
	Since of the second sec	_	· ····································				_	Elizabeth Lebedeker

ATTACHMENT B - Questions 3 through 6

.

Name:	3. Given 4. Why?	Given the revisions 7-Eleven has made to it's SUP, are you in favor of the proposed store?Why?	If the Planning & Zoning Commission's staffs' additional proposed adjustments to the 7- Eleven SUP are adopted would you be in favor of the proposed store?Why?	proposed adjustments to the 7- sed store?
Lea Allen	£	Regulations and vigilance don't change the character of the commercial product, 7-11 is still 7-11. AND In the end the vigilance would be an addional responsibility of the local residents.	No See above	
William Friedman	ž	I do not believe a convenience store is an appropriate development for our neightorhood. There is no need for the products it distributes as they are all available at other locations. In addition, it detracts from the long item value of the area as such operations are not consistent with higher end retail offerings that will add long term value and diverse product offerings to the area. Finally, offering alcohol sales for most of the day directly across the street from a park will transform our quiet neighborhood into a tactical prublem for the Alexandria police and residents.	No The store is simply not appropriate for the neighborhood's character. It is not a question of whether buffing the project up can make it acceptable. The store is not appropriate and the City should hold out for better quality development. Across progressive communities in America the vitality of areas like ours is protected by thoughtful planning that requires decining some projects to preserve the better, long term outcomes that arise from maintaining the character of the area.	thorhood's character. It is not make it acceptable. The store r better quality development. vitality of areas like ours is declining some projects to rise from maintaining the
Richard Liebhaber	9	Please see my full comments below	No Please see my full comments below	
Christopher E. Bohn	2	My concerns have not been mitigated. A convenience store would not be providing "a needed community service" as stated. There simply should not be a business that necessitates such things as closed circuit TV surveillance, special staff training, and an increased police preserve to prevent "inappropriate" (and illegal) activities (i.e., orime).	No Again, my concerns have not been mitigated. The City is mistaken that a convenience store would provide a "greater level of convenience" for residents. have not patronizate a 7-11 in years, have no need to, and certainly do not want to live above one. The City also reasons that a convenience store would "contribute to the vitality of ground-level retail in Carlyle" given that it would occupy vacant commercial space. I strongly disagree with this reasoning. Something is not better than nothing. This is the preeminent commercial space in the Carlyle Square busiding, and should be reserved for a restaurant or calif that sets the tone for other prospective businesses. Such a business would: 1) keep normal business hours, 2) be able to offer sessio unside for patrons. 3] draw patrons to the Carlyle as a destination, and 4) be bruly embraced by the community. Furthermore, there already is a min-convenience store in the Meridian apartment building one block away on Holland Lane. As such, I urge the City to think langer term and save this space for the right type of business.	The City is mistaken that a el of convenience "for residents eed to, and certainy do not wa convenience store would agree with this reasoning. The preeminent commercial spaces served for a restaurant or café sess: Such a business would: 1) sess: Such a business would: 1) d 4) be truly embraced by the n-convenience store in the Holland Lane. As such, 1 urge & for the right type of business.
Carol Pelkofski	£	I believe a 24 hours a day operation is not in harmony with the neighborhood.	No Once again, 24 hours a day is still my biodest concern.	oncem.

ATTACHMENT B

Page 1 of 4

and an		"Wholefoods" just a block away. This is a very high-end real-estate-tax neighborhood, and a store like the 7-Eleven should not be located here.		
 converience stores within two blocks, as well as the Duke Street Wholefoods - within VERY easy walking distance. 		the morning. We already have two neighborhood convenience stores and a large		
inappropriate. Also, I would like to state again, that we already have at least to		alcohol late at night, is very undesirable, and potentially draws orime into the neighborhood. It is particularly undesirable for those of us who have to walk dogs late at night and early in		
listot zi boorhodrigian nuo ni anotz naval3-7 6 - 4 * tabnu za znossan amez anT	ON	This is a quier, safe community, and a store like a 7-Eleven, with late opening hours, selling	ON	shuzeri eninente
Song to cause problems.				onitional contraction
zirt - sperso yotisan is in gridned to beatant and a base thort art in theo		this IS going to cause problems.		
There is a better-than-average chance that many visitors to the store will "dout		- speneg yohean a ni graining to beatizhi andis and to save anoth an in "hing-alduod" live andis		
. Provide the second state of the second state		are NOT sound-proof. 4. There is a better-than-average chance that many visitors to the		
t to discrimination of the second level flows very easily to the Znd floor level of the LIPM of the second level of the LIPM to the Snort level of the second level of the		Very easily to the 2nd floor level of the building (even when windows are closed). The units		
(possibly after 11pm). 3. Nearby residents will be disturbed by visitors to the		11pm). 3. Nearby residents will be disturbed by visitors to the store (car music, loud talking, cigarette smoke, loitering) during after-hours (post 11pm). Noise from the ground level flows		
1. The store still have after-hours (after 11pm). 2. The store sell alcohol	ON	I. The store still have after-hours (after 11pm). 2. The store sell alcohol (possibly after 1. The store store sitter-hours (after 11pm). 2. The store sell alcohol (possibly after 1. The store store sitter and the store	041	C/1777 J 14/14/14
	-11	The store shill be and a first seles and a first seles and a first seles the seles and a first seles a	ON	RNDA FIELDS
		regative implications of 7-11 clearly outweigh any convenience benefics.		
		Cups. Does the Carlyle Neighborhood want to lower itself to these standards? The		
		Alexandria, all 7-11's displayed signs advertising World Wresting Entertainment collector's		
		ni zarota 11-7 ranto and ni vammuz 2009 summer, in the other 7-11 stores in		
		dogs. Again, not the image I want portrayed to future buyers as they visit the property for		
		restriction, 7-11 could post two oversized signs advertising cigarettes, beer, and cheap hot		
		be refined further to restrict them to a size and content restriction (ie. 12" x 12"). With no		
		patron, regardless of how disruptive they appear. In the updated SUP, 7-11 also made a concession to restrict the number of signs posted on windows to two. However, this needs		
		seems like a blacant conflict of interest. I do not see 7-11 turning away any cash-carrying		
		profit is made during those night hours where approximately 5% of business is done. This		
		that 7-11 will turn away disruptive customers. However, the attorney also stated that 7-11's		
		block and continue their loud music and loitering outside the 7-11. The 7-11 attorney dating		
		and mwob allow you will like the more than a stranged your of Zikayret will likely walk down the		
		nopul. Honor of the Zikayret partons are under significant influence of alcohol. Upon		
		concerns that the Zikayret restaurant and the new John Carlyle Square Park will draw people		
		have no problems now, why invite them into this great neighborhood! I have significant		
		these noisy customers will fall on the residents of Cartyle Square - this is unacceptable! We		
		complaints; they have much bigger problems to address. Thus, the responsibility to resolve		
		United States Military, I can assure you, if 7-11 calls the police every time someone is loud, the police will stop coming because they do not have the resources to support these		
		will simply call the police. As someone who has worked in law enforcement while in the United States Milliam 1 can assure you if 211 calls the police events from components is build		
		the latest meeting with residence, should someone become noisy outside the 7-11 store they		
		ni yamous 2'11-7 of philocock . philologi and bruone primatiol asseroni bris , amin asseroni		
		business will have severe negative impacts on the serenity of the Cartyle neighborhood, will		
		concern by our residents - the 24-hour operation. As previously stated to the city, a 24-hour		
		that were addressed by residents. However, they failed to address, by far, the number one		
		view the property. In the revised SUP, 7-11 made concessions on some of the minor items		
		the first impression I want a potential buyer to gain when they come to Carlyle Square to		
		negative effect on property value! 7-11 is associated with quick, easy, and cheap; that is not		
See comments above	<u>on</u>	I am still strongly opposed to the 7-11 store in the Carlyle Square building, as it will have a	ON	
	Ацм 9			
Sanda besogning and to novel in ad upy bluow besogne are 900	s navali3		Sydw .P	
- V and of sinand lenotation's staffs' additional proposed adjustments to the 7-	5. If the	ferencies of the properties of the second of the proposed stores and the proposed stores of the proposed stores	h newie) .E	:90.

		property values.		
		A 1-1 T WILL DEV SOLD TO THE PRODUCE OF A 1 TO THE POLICIES OF A 1-1 WILL SOLD TO THE POLICIES AND THE POLIC		
		within a mile or so. In addition, as there is no parting in Cartyle for residents and our guests		
		adversely impacted by a 7-11. Besides, we have whole Foods in the community and 7-11's		
		run and owned by local business people that serve the Cartyle Community and would be		
and shrand bread	ON	We do not find that a 7-11 is needed nor desirable in the area. There are convenience shops	+ se ames on	
ntatiwhold meaus	ON	I do not think the location is appropriate for a convenience store open 24 hours		do not think the location is appropriate for a convenience store open 24 hours
		nemco and no analustari and ritiw banaqqafi safi ybealla 11. amozinow yew		
		si trigin arti prinub yunaupani anom the prince amate and tue to been when you wight is		
		, vices very ensure and states 2 side 2 side 1, vicinity 1, vice of the very leader.		
		The center sign in gold is much more attractive than the usual 7-11 signs, and more in		х.
		I stready frequently pick up litter along Emerson, particularly on the weekends. While I think		
		. There will also definitely be more litering. A 75" area within with to clean liter is not enough.		
		note boose. It's bad enough the treaters are the corner being open part midnight.		
		ta any series of the method of the series		
		the street closes at 7:00 p.m. When I walk my dog late at night or very early in the minim		
		szoros alcohol. Who alcohol to so as a 6:00 a.m. or 7:00 alma gradient the source acceleration of the prelias to		
Jody Pettibone	ON	I do not approve of a an establishment open 24 hours a day even with the change in hours	No Same as abo	eme as above.
		comodified and outside the neighborhood.		
		midnight. Anthing past midnight is not really serving the community but is likely to bring	Thew ton ob	and to met to increase foot traffic in the neighborhood after midnight.
		- me 2 of bataultie and and see to see the hour store hour soft a fine hours adjusted to 5 am -		rigues that a store of this nature is needed to increase foot traffic. However,
Lori Geftic	ON	The primary concern I have is regarding the 24 hour nature of the store. I will not be		use the store should not be allowed to be open 24 hours a day. The City st
gunce p cox	ON	24/7 service is not needed in the building.	2/172 11:15 ON	
		.vew text verse of sbeen and hoomood being a single of the way.		
hope collins	ON	We don't need a 7-11. It will attract undesireable customers. We have Whole Foods to	s been i'nou ov	200't need a convenience store with Whole Foods 2 blocks away
		.annam avdagan a ni adata and agnarb iim (basoqonq as ,anda	oowoqufiau	eighborhood after dark.
		oriented during the day, it reverts to a small-town and non-urban feel after 7:00 pm. The		oods' on a 24-hour basis appeals to a less than desirable element in our
		the consequent likelihood of loitering by non-residents. While this area is rather business-		iul' gnillas at vinifia s'arate ant ,aromarthut (ziuori aldellieve gninib eagerava
		of the neighborhood. Furthermore, the store, as proposed, will support 24-hour access with		he 'true object' of the store! Specifically, the store exists to sell alcoholic
nemqerD vbet A	ON	I believe that the 24-hour nature of the store is incompatible with the general after-ours aura		The fact that the store changes the signage to a 'golden' sign does not change
		streets are one-lane and narrow. The store will be a big nuisance to the neighborhood.		and congestion to the streets.
segened stred	ON	The store will bring crime into the area. Also, it will create traffic in the streets, since the		und line the pig is still a pig. It will still be a nuisance that will bring chi
	9U2 541 03		D HIM LIBARIA	leven will create. I also am concerned with loritering issues, trash issues.
	SUOISIAAJ			cannot even begin to imagine the traffic issues that the proposed patrons of
		sale of alcohol on the premises.		he dry cleaners at Carlyle Square, there is no adequate street parking available
ndo8 enigeA		I absolutely object to the store to be open 7 days 24 hours and I wehemently object to the		arting is a huge issue; it is impossible to even drop off and pick up laundry at
		prind line 11-7 16th Strip		
		police are going to have to be pulled from other parts of the city to deal with an increase in		
		statement that 7-11 fits in this area. I think this will not help the Cartyle area and additional		
		teep dean does not even reach the curb. I disagree with the planning commisions'		
Cartyle Lowe	ON	The revised SUP does nothing to improve the trash concerns. The area they are required to	be basogory oN	roposed adjustments do little to improve the core issues.
			6. Why?	
				and an and a second
:9m6N	4' MUAS	e revisions 3-Eleven has be bit's SUP, are you in favor of the proposed stores	badqobe are 9U2 naval3	ning & Zoning Commission's staffs' additional proposed adjustments to the 7- re adopted would you be in favor of the proposed store?

.

.

Name:	3. Given t 4. Why?	the revisions 7-Eleven has made to it's SUP, are you in favor of the proposed store?	 If the Parning & coning commission s same auricontal proposed auricontage auricontage to the proposed store? Why?
Vivian Keller	£	Property values, mess on sidewalk, people hanging around our main entrance, people double. No partion as there is no partiting in front of store.	WS
Michael and Amy Mortimer	£	Regardless of the changes suggested by 7-Eleven and those suggested by the City, the fact No remains that the use is incompatible with the surrounding neighborhoods. Most telling is the fact that 7-Eleven did NOT propose any limitations on the hours during which alcohol would be sold in the store. The burden remains on 7-Eleven, if they are applying for a Special Use, to demonstrate that what they propose is not going to lead to what the residents primarily fearan increase in noise, itike, and crime. The fact that a police kick has been offered within the 7-Eleven appears to suggest that an increase in criminal activity SHOULD be expected.	See previous comment.
Seth Geftic	Ŷ		
Jorge Kultonis Steven Shearer	££	A 7-Eleven store will diminish the value of the neighborhood No. Far two "residential" of a neighborhood for a 14-7 store operation – that has not changed in No the SuP. Also, I prefer not to have a 7-Eleven branded store in my condo building.	Same as question 4 No amount of failoring will change the brand image of 7-Eleven. I simply don't welcome it in my condo building.
Patricia D. Peck	£	For same reasons as stated in earlier survey. 7-11 in neighborhod will decrease property No values, attract people to area who do not live in neighborhood, encourage people to hang out on street. Crime will increase. More trash will be produced & in need of collection. Parking is already insufficient & having a 7-11 will encourage doule parking. Delivery bucks will disruct taffic flow, disturb owners with needs from engines & netrigeration units. Added security & policing of area will prohibit fast food restaurants &/or convenience stores from needs to maintain zoning which will prohibit fast food restaurants &/or convenience stores from operating in neighborhoods. I could go on .	5ee above. We have Whole Foods less than 2 blocks away. There is a convenience store in the Meridan apartment building across the street.
Jayme Delario	£	I feel that a 7-11 store will negatively impact our property value. Mix use communities No surrounding our area such as Shirlington, Baliston, Pentagon Row and Clarendon do not have 7-11 stores. If we are too mpete with these neighborhoods then we need to kook into upscale stores to occupy the retail space. 7-11 is not an upscale store.	i It's still a 7-11 - see comment above.
losquh Zabel	£	The nature of the 7-11 is not appealing to me to have in our neighborhood. If I wanted to No kee above a 7-11 I would have bought a condo in a lower income area. I still believe the store will attract people from outside our area, because of the products and hours of operations. Convenience stores that sell alcohol diparettes, and lottery tockets cater to a very specific matter. That market is a poor market. We do not live in a poor market, so they are downly bying to draw people from other areas. The store does not fix in with the surrounding neighborhood, and Post Properties is selling out to whomever comes aborg to rent the gaze. I do not believe they considered the impact on our living environment, when they accepted 7-11 as a tanant.	No matter what adjustments they make, it will still be a 7-11.
Eirabeth Lebedeter	2	I still have concerns regarding street congestion from customers, lack of parturg, available I have no street parturg monopolized by 7-11 customers, and undesirable elements lottering around the read the building, as well as noise.	I have not read the Cay staffs recommen

ATTACHMENT B

Page 4 of 4

6 Approved Street Stre

		ON	Elizabeth Lebedeker
		ON	iade5 Agasol
		ON	onsiati amyst
			Patricia D. Peck
			Steven Shearer
	297	sək	Jorge Kulionis
socher location nearby, perhaps.			
I worry about the crime and noise it brings to the neighborhood. If it was in	ON	\$9),	Seth Genic
			Michael and Amy Mortimer
			Vivian Keller
			enois ebnaid bris para
			totimon nesus
Tr's a huge safety issue besides noise and littler.	ON		Jody Pettibone
			Lori Gettic
			Bruce b cox
			hope collins
			R. Jack Chapman
			Dante Paragas
		ON	Regina Bohn
			Cathyle Lowe
			auser annedex
			CHANDA FIELDS
		ON	John Mohr
neighborhood in the later hours.			
I am concerned of the criminal element that would be attracted to this quiet			
until 11pm would be more than adequate to meet the needs of the residents			
iado buives availad bne short seaniard helugan porte availad oper			
Not sure why 7-11 thinks there is a need to be open 24 hours. I would gues	ON	94.	identified for the second s
		ON	Christopher E. Bohn
		ON	Richard Liebhaber
			nemberni meilliw
		ON	nellA tes.
	residents 24 hours a day?	smenity needed by residents in our community?	-114
		assumption that a convenience store within walking distance is an	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8. If "yes", then do you think the		1 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 -
<u>6.</u> Why??	anti sininti unv ob nanti "sav" 1 8	2. Do you agree with the Manning & Zoning Commission staffs	:emeN

.

ATTACHMENT D - Question 10, Open ended responses

before the proceed ions of the exception of a few result which the result with the base of the base of Lipse of the control model of the control of the c	
before the groups down of the control for any real optication of the macking rough of the control optication optication of the control optication optication optication optication optication optication	
before the groups of the control of	
before the properties of the second of a low restancing server by restancing server hole young with the second of the second	
beine micro development in the concerding of the	
below in the proposed into the control of the true control of the bit control of the CX, if She CX, if She	
believe the properties that we caption of a forward part of the cast operating with we can be cast of the cast of	
a big with the second of the second of the second secon	
beinken the proposed hours of objection (hoursone), possible of the any factor in carding the diversible of the any factor in carding the formation of this manual factor in the proposed of the any factor in the proposed of the	
below the proposed this is matched to Even if they fond the example of any real prevalor if anyle of any regionate and light steered in this to sectify and the exercition of a lew restaurants. Hany year the organization through the proposed in the interactional tark immute the exercition of a lew restaurants. Hany of the restaurants penetaly risk of the lest at 10 m, As a resident and light steeres. If considering within a mountain the exception of a lew restaurants the exercition of a lew restaurants. Hany of the restaurants penetaly risk of the text at 10 m, As a resident and light steeres. If we had the consider the event is the state of the lest at 10 m, As a resident and light steeres at 10 m or the mountain the exception of a lew restaurants with the exception of the mountain the exception of the mo	
believe into proposed hours of previous. Provide the vehicles, a significant in dailyse for, "The Carlyke for the explorationation and the proposed hours of previous of the restance would be treated at 10mm. La service that in pick up a sectionation with the comploting at the pick up a section structure and an exploration structure and an exploration structure and any of the restancements of the structure and any of the restancements of the structure and any of the restancement of the structure and any of the restancements of the structure and any of the restancement of the restancement of the structure and any of the restancement of the restancement of the structure and any of the restancement of	
where the grooted hours of the control where the groot where the end of the control where the groot was the groot where the gr	
beine the grootest hours of decemberly stratuces of the control for the contro	
beine the grootest hours of decemberly stratuces of the control for the contro	
beieve the proposed tours of presents virtualized are explored to the relation of anyle day. The dayle day is the structure variable around year and the structure and the relation of the rel	
believe the proposed hours of operating visual control of the restaunts part of the transfer o	
believed there compared hours of operation (Active State) and a compared on the Carry fire Carry fire for and by the second parts of the compared form to consider this to be a possive throm the second part of the second part of the compared form to the second part of the compared form to the second part of the compared form to be possible of the compared form to the second part of the compared form to the second part of the compared form of the second form of the second part of the compared form of the second part of the compared form of the second part of the compared form of the second form of	
believe the proposed hours of desidence which impact the quality of life in the immediate regishourdon in Cahry extra backer GN, in Cahry Carly and Carly in Carly extra coming a section of the vector of the extra coming and the composed back of the registron of the registron of a few recision (24x7345) are appropriate for any registron of the latest of the proposed into an intermediate registron of the recision of the text of the exception of a few recision of the text of the exception of a few recision and the deferrence of the latest of the text of the proposed into the order of the proposed part of the proposed part of the exception of a few recision. How you do the recision and the text of the proposed part of the proposed part of the text of the proposed part of the text of the proposed part	
believe the proposed hours of operation is for exponsible for any retail operation in Cahyk Cky. The Carlyk cfor regythorhood in this immediate under the fore controling sectionally "struct down" and an affer 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants. Henry of the restaurants generally of the control besteed this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Nonceardy propriate limitation and the control besteed to prove the properties of a more date with the exception of a few restaurants. Henry of the restaurants generally does at the facts at 10pm. As a resident and ight sleeper, 1 consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Nonceardy: people going the terre of control to the extension of the terre regimes with very noty efforts the structure and very the previewer, which is three, which is three with the previewer while some one runs in the path we consider that the path to the impact de query of the terre regimes with were and the extension beyond that times and work thous. If the control terre terre and the extension beyond that times and work the preview with whether they impact de vehicles. If the fore that those a single station of the prevention of the extension of the terre and the total terre control descriptions. If the control of the prevention to the prevention of the preven	
belowe the proposed hours of revealed in the exception of the restaurants generally does at the latest at 10pm. As a recident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate for weight only and the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants were the proposed hours of revealed this to be a positive thing and an appropriate first state and the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants were were were the state at 10pm. As a recident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an expropriate first state and the exception of a few restaurants. When y of the restaurants were were the proposed with the exception of the restaurants. When y of the restaurants are and the early due to their commute and work hours. If the there is the there is the there is the there is the state of the there is the state of the other of the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven will be predicted with whether there is the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven will be predicted with whether there is the restaurant of the exceeded the there is the restaurant (including a restaurant there is the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven will restore any were it the prediction of the restaurant were there in the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven and the to there in the restaurant the restaurant and and the tote of the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven and the tote of the restaurant of the restaurant the restaurant the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven and the tote of the restaurant of the restaurant the restaurant the exception and the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven and the tote of the restaurant to the restaurant the restaurant the restaurant (including a 7-Eleven and the tote of the restaurant to the restaurant the restance is the restate	
belowe the proposed hours of operations (24x2356) are appropriate for any retail operation is drive drive coming and indiver the proposed hours of president (including a comparise for any retail operation is the discrete and indive the proposed hours are appropriate for any retail operations in the proposed hour is immediate work of the restaurants geneally does at the latest at 10pm. May a regident and light steeper, 1 consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate intermose. When ye now retail and the exception of a few restaurants geneally does at the latest at 10pm. May are intermote this to be a positive thing and an appropriate finance of the restaurants geneally does at the latest at 10pm. May are some one unit in to pick up a conventence, which is undoubtedly winat the exception of a few restaurants and work hours. If the dreating face than the exception for the excent and work hours. If the dreating face that prove of the restaurant appropriate fining at 7-Eleven at the majority of them exert approximation. Now alk likely thing more harm than be immediate meghborhood. As do 1, many of my neighbor retire and the excertance will be postering the excertance of the maximum and work hours. If the dreat that the excertance of the maximum and work hours. If the dreat that the excertance of the majority of customer will be postering the excertance of the majority of customer will be postering to a step of the present is the excertance of the majority of the excertance of	
believe the proposed hours of operation (S44/X3G5) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyke City. The Carlyke City and Bipht sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after year of operation of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants geneally does at the latest at 10pm, ke anyke City and Bipht sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitative composed hours or a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants geneally does at the latest at 10pm, ke anyke City and the secretion of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants geneally does at the latest at 10pm, ke a resident in the proventence, which is undoubtedly what patroma of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy extenders) will economo fruit on the control action of the restaurants are approximate a significant nuisance would be created by they conning and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven will be predicted to a control and its residents. The Staf suggest that hours of coreation and they exceed they the predictions. If they do not dile their cars (sometime with with work and City Council will prove and line) proved that for appoint of the staff of the prediction of the predictions. If the staff of the prediction of the predictions, is a relatively timped to a concerned with whether they impact the staff of the control disting at the most of the predictions. If the staff of the prediction of the predictions is concerned with whether they impact the staff of the prediction of the predictions. If they approxed for a point of the concerned with whether the staff, will the keeners. The staff and City concil will not be concerned with whether they may at the staff of the prediction of the predictions. If the staff of the prediction of the predictions of the predictions of the staff of the staff of the prediction of the predictions. If the staff of the prediction of the prediction of the predictions of the predictions. I concered with whether the the the	
believe the proposed hours of operation in Carlys City. The Carlys City neighborhood in the section of stew restaurants are spropriate for any retail operation in Carlys City. The Carlys City neighborhood in the section of a few restaurants are propriate for any retail operation in Carlys City. The Carlys City neighborhood in the section of a few restaurants are conclusted with the sected thours of operation in a mediate meride with very neighborhood. Any city is city of city a correct we had a spectra of the propriet of neighborhood in the section of a few restaurants. The Carlys City are are comming and propresent in the processer, people going the event of the module of the section of the section of the module of the section of	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x56) are appropriate for any retail operation in Garlyke City. The Carlyke City regiphorhood in finits immediate varianty sesteritually "shurs down" after domain with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, percents of the proposed prime of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation. Moreover, percents the not idle their variates a significant nuisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven to the constraint propriet of the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carlyke City as early as than pointy of customers, which is undoubtedly what this 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carlyke City. Feople driving on class of the to dreat commute and work hours. If this 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carlyke City. Feople driving on factor to dreated the work hours. If this 7-Eleven will not serve on the phore commute and work hours. If the drive to the section in regit/or drive distance will drive to this 7-Eleven if it is formored to a point of customers will be performed and be reported to approxing of customers will be exception for the seems to a dreate the model likely timped the test of the section beyond that time customers. If the majority of customers will not serve on the protom of the predections is the desterions. In reality, the likely timped the variate states that the majority of customers will be performers will be cardinated to a with whether they impact the section of the concernet with whether is able to predict whow will be cacred that those of the majority of customers wil	
believe the proposed hours of operation (X-xxXSG) are appropriate for any retail operation in Garlyle City. The Carlyle City radionfood in finit immediate vicinity sesembally "shurd down" and the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after tyme with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going the free restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident, and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an operating listery timpact the onor idle their vehicles, a significant ruisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) the positive timpact the exact the majority of them seems to their commute and work hours. If the fore the varied their work and Carlyle City as early as the one of the positive timp and an work hours. If the fore the varied time the restaurants generally and the tot their commute and work hours. If the fore that the positive time state the majority of them caller of the restaurants are addents. While the state that the majority of customers will be people beyond wild likely timp at an adding distance will there to the restaurants and light steeres will be treated to wild the total to their commute and work hours. If the fixely that the presention would likely timpact the majority of them seems to the restaurants are easily as the total of the concerner will be the total to the steeres the total steeres and the total steeres will be treated to a the restandard to a state that the total steeres tho adding the Staff	
believe the proposed hours of operation of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As clicy reg/honfood in finis immediate vicinity esserblish" shuft down and a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an a peropriate limitation. Moreover, people oping to ding to the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people oping to dire do not idle their variates, a significant ruisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) patrons of 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Cartyle City as early as form. I suggest that hours of operation beyond that the excellent's field that a positive this mould likely impact the quark formity of them seems to depart work and Cartyle City as early as form. I suggest that hours of operation beyond work hours. If they thing more than proving of them restaurants generally and the control of the excellent's restance is the set of mark of the restaurant second and it is likely thing more than program than peredit to the exploration of the patron into a cleakents - while the set will not serve our regitive thore of the provide set of the proved exclusively and will be set of the patron into a cleakents - while the stering may approved well of the stering and going to frame set or this 7-Eleven is the set of the proved well if is dose of the provide of the transmittion different is able of the set of the se	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Garlyle City. The Carlyle City reghtborhood in firs immediate vointing secendary "shurd down" after 4pm with the exception (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City reghtborhood in this immediate voint of the exception of a few restaurants above of the restaurants generality efforts at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light secend. Moreover, people going to dire to not idle their carly complexe with very noisy exhausts) while comeone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what patrons of 7-Eleven to and likely impact the quality of them seems to depart work and Carlyle City as early as fam. I suggest that hours of operating likely impact the equality of them seems to depart work and Carly ecity as early as fam. I suggest that hours of operation beyond work hours. If this 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carlyle City as early as fam. I suggest that hours of operation beyond work hours. If this 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carly ecity as early as fam. I suggest that hours of operation hey ond that time, even, is entitiely that people bythord walking distance will drive to this 7-Eleven if it is facter than those at other locate the work and Carly ecity as early as fam. I suggest that hours of operation for on the factorer will be pedesting. I walk, it is likely that people bythord astim Gather of this 7-Eleven if it is does that in those at other of the provide the proved to a relatively for the corrent will drive to this 7-Eleven if it is does than index of the mojority of customers will be pedesting. I walk, it is likely that people bythord and gaing the table of the standard to the standard to a gain of the mojority of the factorer will be pedesting. I a relatively for the corrent different dates of the related to the standard to the work of	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x355) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City regitionfood in finit immediate variative scientualy "shurs down" after the weight of the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm, As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going daile do not idle their variate generally dose at the latest at 10pm, As a resident and ight sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and a appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going daile do not idle their variate generally dose at the latest at 10pm, As a resident and ight sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going daile do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while comening and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) patrons of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their variations depart work and Carly as early as their statilestanes of the total to a positive thins. If this 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their variations depart work and Carly e City as early as the statilestanes will be performent. In this 7-Eleven would be proved the quality of them seems to depart work and Carly e City as early as the the majority of customers will be performed at a sterile and rest end of the position beyond that theirs. This the Staff suggest that the majority of customers will be endiedy unnecessary, and would likely that prophered the area than be a train the restanant of and the to their commute and analysis free that the statest of the approxements and the negative work and carly effect of a state the majority of them endiedy unnecessary and would likely that area than the negative work and the residents. While the Staff and the to the statest and analysis free that restare the analysis of the statest and the to this 7-Eleven fit is to assering the there to the statest	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City registanton for immediate vicinity esserblary "shurt down" "anus doming and go the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City registantom do in the immediate vicinity esserblary" "anus doming and an after the main the exception of a few restaurants generally does at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going do not idle their variates mith very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in the pict up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what patron of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their vehicles, a significant ruisance would be created by their common and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) that 7-Eleven at the appropriate form, waith they do not idle their vehicles, a significant ruisance would be created by their common and any of my neighbors reture and rise early due to their commute and work hours. If they do not idle their vehicles, a significant ruisance would be casted by their common and the total establishment (including a 7-Eleven) that 7-Eleven is targeting PTC, which impose the vehicles, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and cast set of a total forms of a set of as a form. I suggest that hours of operation by ond that them seems to depart work and its residents. While the Staff shot boord of the total establishment is and estimation by the commerce and the developments at the majority of them seems to depart work and its residents. While the Staff shot of octas on the provide the vehicles, is a depart on the intraediate metal as the establishment (including a 7-Eleven) in the intrae doming and points. If is integring the tast assertion. In reality, neither Staff and bener hand the to this 7-Eleven will be pathomated to a staff who will be pathomated to each on a stor	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x355) are appropriate for any retail operation in Griyle City. The Carlyke City reighborhood in finits immediate vicinity sciencelly "Shurs down" after yeth with the exception of a few restaurants percently does at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light science, which is to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in the pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in the pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in the pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in they do not idle their vericles, a significant ruisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) operating later than 9pm, would dire they do not idle their vericles, a significant unsance would be casted by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) this 7-Eleven is targeting PTC employees, the vast majority of them scenes to depart work and its residents. While the Staff subgest that hours of operation beyond that thens. If entirely unnecessary, and would likely bring more harm benefit to the immediate neighborhood and its residents. While the Staff subgest that the majority of customers will be performed. It can be a staget of the customers will be paronizing a 7-Eleven at the staff the majority of customers will be performed and its residents. Unlikely main glistance may well choose to chailenge that assertion. In reality, neither Staff nor applicant is able to predict who will be paronizin	
believe the proposed hours of operation of the variation of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, period to do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in the pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what patrons of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their verifies, a significant ruisance would be created by their commons and going. Any resident and their commute and work hours. If this of their commute and the to their commute and work hours. If this of the vast majority of them seems to depart work and City as early as the tot of operation beyond that time, even, is this 7-Eleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and can be a construct the majority of them seems to depart work and can be addent to the restaurant early extended to their commute and their times are and the tot their commute and work hours. If this print of the majority of them seems to depart work and can be addent to the neighborhood and its residents. While the Staff stat that that the event of the tot their commute and the tot the seems to depart and the tot the restored the event is the set of the tot tot tot tot tot tot tot tot tot to	
believe the proposed hours of operation of the restaurants generally does at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants generally does at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light steeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, hear of not tale there can commung and points and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, prevailty the carticles, a significant nuisance would be created by their common rule in point, any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) patrons of 7-Eleven would due to their vehicles, a significant nuisance would be created by their common rule and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) operating later than your, mould due to their commute and work hours. If they would likely impact the quality of life in the inmediate neighborhood. As do 1, many of my neighbors rative and retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven) their commute and work hours. If they compare the quality of their commute and their commute and the total state their state of the second of the total state the second of the total state theory of the total state theory of the total cart and the second at the total state	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x355) are appropriate for any retail operation in Garlyle City. The Carlyle City reghtborhood in this immediate violing secentually "shurt down" after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, here of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, here of they do not idle their vehicles, a significant ruisance would be created by their commone runs in to pick up at the total establishment (including a 7-fleven) patrons of 7-fleven would do. Herey do not idle their vehicles, a significant ruisance would be created by their commone and runs and poing. Any retail establishment (including a 7-fleven) operating allots than 9pm, would do. Herey the vast majority of them seems to be a do 1, many of my neighbors retire and rise early due to their commute and work hours. If this 7-fleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carty estarts of a search as that hours of operation beyond that time, even, it this 7-fleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carty estarts as early as that hours of operation beyond that time, even, it this 7-fleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carty estarts as early as that hours of operation beyond the time, even, it that 7-fleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carty estarts as early as 4pm. I suggest that hours of operation beyond that time, even, it that 7-fleven is targeting PTO employees, the vast majority of them seems to depart work and Carty estarts as early as 4pm. I suggest that hours of operation they are them, even, it	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City neighborhood in this immediate vicinity essentially "shurs down" after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what patrons of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their vehicles, a significant nuisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven)	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City neighborhood in this immediate vicinity essentially "shurs down" after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation. Moreover, people going to dire do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what patrons of 7-Eleven would do. Even if they do not idle their vehicles, a significant nuisance would be created by their coming and going. Any retail establishment (including a 7-Eleven)	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City reighborhood in this immediate vicinity essentially "shurs down" after 4pm with the exception of a few restaurants. Many of the restaurants generally dose at the latest at 10pm, As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an after 4pm with the exception. Moreover, people going to dine do not idle their cars (sometimes with very noisy exhausts) while someone runs in to pick up a convenience, which is undoubtedly what appropriate limitation.	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City neighborhood in this immediate vicinity essentially "shurs down" shurs down after the proposed hours of operation of a prosting the exception of a fine latest at the latest at the latest at 10pm. As a resident and light sleeper, I consider this to be a positive thing and an	
believe the proposed hours of operation (24x7x365) are appropriate for any retail operation in Carlyle City. The Carlyle City reighborhood in this mediate vicinity essentiality "shurs down"	
the Carty Residences, in particular. I therefore urge the Council to reject this revised application for the following reasons: PROPOSED HOURS OF OPERATION. First, I still do not	
14, 2009 and the Staff's Recommendation of September 1, 2009, I continue to believe granting an approval will have an adverse effect on the Carlyle City neighborhood, in general, and on	
In the matter of 7-Eleven, Inc's application for approval to operate a retail 7-Eleven store at 504 John Cartyle Street, after having thoroughly read and considered the Revised SUP of August	Richard Lie
	ini m <u>silli</u> W
	nellA 69.
10. Please list any additional comments that you have regarding the revisions and proposed adjustments to the 7-Eleven SUP.	:emeN

is highly unlikely that customers would park, pay and walk a block or two for a convenience store item. Generally, it appears that these issues have not been thoroughly examined and

98

.beazenbb6

Sinseri Ennertisk the residents of 520 John Cartyle Street that have units that face/overhook John Cartyle Street. the time of night. In all fairness, it is quite possible that they have no idea of how loud they being. Now, imagine this mentally 24/71 This "convenience" store will do a great disservice to could care less that there any be sleeping residents just above their heads and after spilling out of the "restaurant/weekend-disco" they continue to be a loud as they please - regardless of case with the restaurant/weekend-discor on the comer. The many of the visitors of that establishment have little respect for the residents nearby. More often than not, many of these visitors will NOT be the neated the residents of this neighbor. This means that the majority of visitors to the store have no reason to respect the residents surrounding the store. This is the current other gampling offerings available at the store and this again, makes the store and surrounding property look tacky. Lastly, it is a far assumption that the majority of the visitors to this store to the grounds surrounding the store and the discarded cigarette butts & food-rappers start to overflow the necessary outside trash containers. There will likely be a Lotto and/or I have observed residential buildings with 7-11 stores on the premises and honesdy, it makes the surrounding property look run down especially, once the spilled liquids & food-products start CHANDA FIELDS There is already a convenience store in the Mendian building across the street to the north. Moreover, Whole Foods provides ample opportunity for similar services. JOHN MODE Carol Pelkofsku of our community, and deny 7-11's application, which is incompatible with the original vision for the Carlyle community. neighborhood would set the wrong precedent and adversely impact the character of the Carlyle for years to come. I urge our City officials to think long term, protect the emerging character original vision of the City and hope it still is (this was a strong incentive to pay more money for less house in order to live at Catyle Square). In sum, a 7-11 store in our building or sinter the world make it more difficult to sell the remaining commercial units to businesses that would truly make the community "a destination" like Old Town. This was Square and the Carlyle community. I paid nearly \$550 per sq. foot to live close to Old Town in what I thought would be a similar and upcoming neighborhood. I think a convenience store is traffic, noise, parking difficulty, and litter on the streets than any other type of business. I think the larger question to be answered is what kind of business suits the character of Carlyle residents and our guests, but for patrons of the other businesses as well. Furthermore, with more than 1,000 customers expected each day, a convenience store would account for more in our neighbormood. I do not want a 24-hour business in our building, especially to sell alcohol, tobacco, lottery tickers, and fast food. Street parking is already a problem, not only for While I appreciate the willingress of 7-11 to modify its application in response to our concerns, I am emphasically opposed to a convenience store of any lond from opening in our building and Christopher E. Bohn For the reasons cited, I respectfully request the Council to reject applicant's application and revision. With Concern, Richard L. Liebhaber or bertuing of a "premier" reighborhood. Allowing this establishment would undermine the value and image that was projected and anticipated when Carlyle City was planned and interior of the store and exterior signage, do not begin to address many of the fundamental issues whatsoever. Not do 1 believe that a 7-Eleven, no matter how "dressed up" it is, is "upscale" its own liability and responsibility to within 75' or their store. Yet, its' customers, their noise, their noise, their noise, their noise, their noise, their noise its own liability and responsibility to within 75'. Thus, applicant's efforts to "dress up" the recommended conditions" will adequately address trees issues. I do not see how the applicant has addressed our concerns in any way other than superficially. Moreover, it attempts to limit unpacts, it declares that, "the recommended conditions of approval should sufficiently misigate these potential impacts." I cannot state more vehemently or forcelulity that I don't think the for harship pointing out why I feel neither applicant nor Staff has adequately or appropriately addressed the issues set forth above. While Staff acknowledges the potential for "neighborhood presents a serious cost to those who reside in the area. I feel it will draw people in from elsewhere who have little vested interest in, or concern for, our neighborhood. I make no apologies that the presence of yet another 7-Eleven at the proposed location is an invaluable and necessary convenience to our community. Rather, I feel it proffers a modest benefit, at best, yet it CONCLUSION There is no dispute that there are several other 7-Eleven establishments and sources of alcohol within a few miles of the proposed location. I remain completely unconvinced chould not also have to bear. of my house. Such recommend is more an even of a support of the support winnessed repeated behavior such as littering, discarding cigatette butts, gum spitting, spitting, public intoxication, late night "conversations" and the loud velling of expletives directly in front anch signage is repeatedly and biatendy ignored. Rether is discarded in complete disregard of the posted signs, and we must pick them up at our own expense and inconvenience. I've also woefully naive. I have lived in Carlyle City for nearly 8 years. Despite posting signage to deposit trash and cigarettes in the provided receptacles in our community (at our own expense). bad behavior. This would only allow the potential to track down skateboarders who kept people up all night after the fact. The suggestion that anyone will actually heed the posted signage is Applicant's "offer" seems completely geared to giving the impression of solving the problem, but in reality doing nothing. Petitioner also offers to install CCTV and post signage to discuade offered work station? While I can't speak for the APO, it is undoubledly a lower priority item for them. In addition, there has been no indication that the APO is willing to staff third for any offered. (Starbucks, for example). People will most certainly use them for seating. Furthermore, petitioner says it will "offer" space in the store to the APD. What if APD chooses not to staff the shoo" skateboarders and other miscreants? Removing seating is weefully naive as a solution to lottering. There are steps and planters directly in front of SOA John Carlyle Street and nearby and disentele. CRIME AND LOITERING. To address the likely problems of crime and loitering, Petitioner proposes employee training. How frequently does petitioner expect its employees to down. While applicant may spend all the mondy to make this the nicest 7-Eleven they have ever built, it's still just a 7-Eleven offering the same basic convenience store inventory Street in retail usage and considerable empty space. I would be very unhappy to see the same pattern develop in Carlyle City, but that's exactly the path Staff and petitioner are leading us However, it remains a Subway shop and nothing more than a relatively nice looking one. Despice the City's King Street retail plan and Staff's involvement, there remains little diversity on King severely under-utilized. I recall the application for the Subway sandwich shop on the Western end. The petitioner spent a considerable sum of money to construct an "upscale" Subway shop. Should it even be a part of a "vibrant" plan? It is decidedly not "upscale" and can never be made to be so. When I look at the asset that is King Street, I see it as poorly planned and pick and choose who applies, the City can, and should, create an environment, through planning, that achieves a vibrant, retail environment. A 7-Eleven would never be described as "vibrant," with numerous deli sandwich shops and brokerages, a few restaurants, and even fewer service businesses, some of which are already failing or failed. While I understand that the City doesn't envisioned a neighborhood not un-similar to the Reaton Town Center. I have been sorely disappointed. The Staff, through a series of predictably poor approvals, has burdened Carlyle City RETAIL VITALITY, Staff has totally missed the opportunity to create something special at Carlyle City. When I first heard of the plans for Carlyle City, I eagerly purchased my unit. I

Ξ	Elizabeth Lebedeker	
		hours are reasonably limited and it is a privately run store. The owner or manager is a nice lady that is known in our community. A 7-11 would certainly overshadow her business. Her store is discrete and summer it discrete and it is a privately the store to buy items at an extremely high markup for the convenience factor.
d	ladeS rigaso(There is already a converience store in the Meridian next door. It serves beer, wine, and cigarettes. They have snadts and movies and movies and movies and movies and movies one would desire. The store
	ovial Delano	
-		already is one across the street.
I		The economy will turn around. In the meanitme, we don't need to subject the owners & residents of this neighborhood to a convenience store. No one seems to address the fact there
d	Patricia D. Peck	I think the City of Alexandria need to perserve the character & reputation of Old Town. The only reason 7-11 is being considered as a retailer is because the economy is down at this time.
		days a week, its existence already provides more than enough convenience for residents of the neighborhood.
~		Please refer to my previous answer. There is a grocery store 3 blocks away that sells virtually everything you would find in a "convenience" store. Considering that it's open until 10 PM, 7
	Steven Shearer	F. MG 0.1 iden, once all staff projektion of an
	Jorge Kulionis	
	Seth Gettic	
	naminom yma bne laerbilm	
	Vivian Keller	We already have a convenience store within walking distance.
9	andic ebnand brie gand	
5	riction of needs	Residents in our community have Whole Foods within walking distance (open until 10 pm). Also there is a 24 hour CVS on King Street and on Duke Street as well as a 24 hour 711 which car be reached easily by car.
	Jody Pettibone	
1		
		business so that we may develop a destination neighborhood with shops and restaurants, not mini-marts.
		business into the neighborhood, especially given the economy, but I don't feel that allowing 7-Eleven to come in is the right long-term decision. We should hold out for a more desirable loca
٦	Lori Geftic	We already have a convenience store within walking distance that provides all the same goods as a 7-Eleven. We do not need another one of these. I understand the desire to get retail
8	Bruce b cox	
ÿ	hope collins	A 24 store attracts crime and loitering, drugs and bed behavior. WE DO NOT WANT YOUR STORE ANYWHERE NEAR OUR HOME!
I		
		local-owned business which means it is not contributing to the community in terms of support to local functions, and that it is not supportive of local non-listering laws.
		that a 7-11 business does not belong to the local BBB (Better Business Bureau) which means that it is "impossible" to lodge a complaint against the business. Furthermore, the 7-11 is not a
		clientele is "in-an-out" meaning a transition will have been been and and an a secult, lottering, littering, and noise will follow. Note that as a matter-of-lact,
ษ	nemqerD xbeL .S	The nature of a 7-11 is to provide access to "convenience" items (i.e., cigarettes, beer, wine, hot dogs, etc.) on a 24-hour basis (local alcohol laws not withstanding). The nature of the 7-11
a	sepered sined	
В	nnoð snigað	The residents of Carlyle Square absolutely do not want a convenience store selling alcohol open 24 hours a day; this issue will attract very undesirable patrons.
_	Cathle Lowe	

•

ATTACHMENT E - Follow-up survey

Carlyle Square Community Follow-Up Survey

1. 7-Eleven Follow-Up

You have received this survey as a follow-up to your original response to the Carlyle Community 7-Eleven SUP Survey. The survey is designed to determine if your opinion regarding the proposed 24-hour convenience store has changed given the new information now available from both 7-Eleven and the City Planning and Zoning Commission's staff.

There are two documents referenced in the below survey. The first is 7-Eleven's proposed revisions to the SUP, dated August 14th. The second is the City Planning and Zoning Commission's staff recommendation, which was prepared for the Commission's public hearing. The public hearing, originally scheduled for September, has been deferred at 7-Eleven's request until October.

THIS SURVEY WILL BE CLOSED FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 18.

Please note that your responses, including your name and personal information, will be provided to the City of Alexandria's Planning and Zoning representative.

1. Please provide the following information.

Name:	
Address:	
Condo Number:	
City/Town:	
State:	
ZIP/Postal Code:	
Email Address:	
Phone Number:	[

2. Please indicate which of the follow best describes your ownership status at Carlyle Square Condominiums.

Owner, living on site

Owner, living off site

) Renter

I live in a neighboring community.

If you are an owner living off site please provide your unit number:

Carlyle Square Community Follow-Up Survey

3. Given the revisions 7-Eleven has made to it's SUP, are you in favor of the proposed store?

⊖ Yes

) No

I have not read the revisions to the SUP

4. Why?



5. If the Planning & Zoning Commission's staffs' additional proposed adjustments to the 7-Eleven SUP are adopted would you be in favor of the proposed store?

() Yes

I have not read the City staff's recommendation paper

6. Why?



7. Do you agree with the Planning & Zoning Commission staff's assumption that a convenience store within walking distance is an amenity needed by residents in our community?

Yes

Carlyle Square Community Follow-Up Survey

8. IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO THE PREVIOUS QUESTION, do you think the convenience store needs to be open for residents 24 hours a day?

() Yes

9. Why?



10. Please list any additional comments that you have regarding the revisions and proposed adjustments to the 7-Eleven SUP.



11. Would you like to add your name to a new petition requesting that the City of Alexandria deny 7-Eleven's SUP application on the basis that the store in its proposed form (including the SUP revisions and Planning & Zoning recommendations) is still incompatible with the neighborhood?

Ves No

p Petition
Follow-u
7-Eleven
Condominium/
lyle Square (
3

September 21, 2009

The undersigned request that the alexandria Planning & Zoning Commission and the City council deny the Special User Permit number 2009-0033 on the basis that the store in its proposed form, including the SUP revisions and Planning & Zoning staff recommendations, is incompatible with the neighborhood.

Name: Address:	Condo Number: Cky/Town State:	ZIP/Postal Email Address: Code:	Phone Number:	Relationship to CSC	Owner offsite condo #
Les Allen				I live in a neighboring community.	
2 William Friedman				I live in a neighboring community.	
Richard Liebhaber				I live in a neighboring community.	
4 Christopher E. Bohn				Owner, living on site	
5 Carol Pelkofiski				Owner, living on site	
6 John Mahr				Owner, living on site	
7 CHANDA FIELDS				Owner, living on site	
8 Katharina Frasure				I live in a neighboring community.	
9 Regina Bohn				Owner, living off site	419
10 Dante Paragas				Owner, living off site	416
11 R. Jack Chapman				I live in a neighboring community.	
12 hope collins				Owner, living on site	
13 Bruce b cox				Owner, living on site	
14 Lori Geftic				Owner, living on site	
15 Jody Pettibone				Owner, living on site	
16 Susan Norwitch				Owner, living on site	
17 Greg and Brenda Stone	-			I live in a neighboring community.	
18 Vivian Keller				Owner, living on site	
19 Michael and Amy Mortimer				Owner, living on site	
20 Seth Geftic				Owner, living on site	
21. Jorge Kulionis				I live in a neighboring community.	
22 Sieven Shearer				Owner, living on site	
Nnicia D. Peck				Owner, living on site	
24 Jayme Delano				Owner, living on site	
25 Joseph Zabel				Owner, living on site	
26 Elizabeth Lebedeker				Owner living on site	

	esmith@hrtec.net
	08/31/2009 07:31 PM
	Please respond to
	esmith@hrtec.net

- To "Nathan Randall" <nathan.randall@alexandriava.gov>
- cc Gwen.Wright@alexandriava.gov

Subject Re: Carlyle 7-Eleven SUP - deferred until October

History:

P This message has been replied to.

Greetings Nathan, Thank you for the email with the update. I juggled my schedule and hired a babysitter to make the two meetings in September. I respectfully request the meeting occur as originally scheduled or be deferred until November or after the holidays. Due to my family's proximity as the closest Alexandria City residents to the 7-Eleven, we will be most impacted by its opening and need to be extended the courtesy to attend these meetings and not have them deferred one day before they are scheduled to occur. Thank you, Elizabeth L. Smith430 John Carlyle Street

bcc

From: Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov Date: Mon, 31 Aug 2009 18:05:15 -0400 To: <Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov> Subject: Carlyle 7-Eleven SUP - deferred until October

Hello:

If you are receiving this email, you have submitted comments to the City of Alexandria regarding the Special Use Permit (SUP) application for a proposed 7-Eleven convenience store to be located at 504 John Carlyle Street in the Carlyle Square Condominium building. The purpose of this email is to inform you that the applicant has requested a deferral of consideration of the SUP application until October . This means that the proposal will not be heard at the Planning Commission meeting tomorrow , September 1st, nor at the City Council meeting on September 12th. Instead it has been scheduled for the October 6th meeting of the Planning Commission and the October 17th meeting of City Council. The time and place for each meeting remains the same .

Regards, Nathan Randall Urban Planner II Per Rose,

FYI.

Thanks!

Elaine Scott Citizen Assistance 703-746-4800 - Main 703-746-4317 - Direct 703-838-6426 - Fax ---- Forwarded by Elaine Scott/Alex on 09/01/2009 05:34 PM ----



Robert Harris <esmith@hrtec.net> 08/31/2009 07:54 PM Please respond to Robert Harris

<esmith@hrtec.net>

To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov, kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov, council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com, paulcsmedberg@aol.com, rose.boyd@alexandriava.gov, jackie.henderson@alexandriava.gov, elaine.scott@alexandriava.gov

CC

Subject COA Contact Us: Planning Zone Meeting Deferrment

Time: [Mon Aug 31, 2009 19:54:34] Message ID: [14977]

issue Type:	Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members
First Name:	Robert
Last Name:	Harris
Street Address:	
City:	Alexandria
State:	Virginia
Zip:	22314
Phone:	
Email Address:	
Subject:	Planning Zone Meeting Deferrment
	Greetings,
	The evening before a scheduled meeting was to occur to

discuss the proposed 7-Eleven at 504 John Carlyle Street, the meeting and subsequent City Counsel Meeting that was to be held two weeks later was deferred until October. We rearranged schedules and hired babysitters so

we could attend. Now due to the applicants request for a deferral we will be unable to attend in October.

As Alexandria City Residents we

request that the application be denied based on their inability to support their scheduled meeting or extend the same courtesy to us and defer the meeting until November.

Thank you, Robert Harris

Comments:


,

7/22/09

City of Alexandria, Virginia

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	SEPTEMBER 25, 2009
TO:	CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION
FROM:	FAROLL HAMER, DIRECTOR HAMM HAMM DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING
SUBJECT:	DOCKET ITEM #4, 7 – ELEVEN, SUP #2009-0033

This SUP request was originally scheduled for September and, at the request of the applicant, it was deferred to October.

The applicant requested this deferral so that they could continue to work with the community on issues of concern and so that they could work with staff to refine several of the SUP conditions.

The changes to the staff report and conditions since September have been minor in nature. There have been a number of editorial changes for clarification. Additionally, Conditions #2 and #23 have been amended to remove the Director of Planning & Zoning as the ultimate decision-maker regarding whether to impose additional requirements to mitigate security issues or issues that may arise from the 24-hour operation of the store. The new language states that 7-Eleven will work with staff to resolve any security issues or issues that arise from the 24-hour operation of the store. If these issues are not resolved, the matter may be docketed for review by the Planning Commission and City Council. This approach shifts who will ultimately review such matters to the Planning Commission and City Council instead of staff, but still establishes a mechanism for additional review if needed.

Another change is related to the proposed signage. Since the September staff report was written, the applicant has agreed to the staff recommendation that the two projecting signs at the 7-Eleven should be externally lit. The applicant submitted a new concept illustration on September 22, 2009 reflecting this change. Staff has made changes to the staff report and references this new concept illustration in Condition #8.

Finally, the staff report has been updated regarding citizen input. Staff has received a few additional email comments from citizens regarding the 7-Eleven proposal since the prior staff report was published. These include a second survey and petition from the citizen who prepared and submitted a previous survey and petition. Staff also notes in the report that a second meeting was held between the applicants and interested citizens on August 27, 2009.



13

3

. .

MEMORANDUM

2.31 5.

DATE:	October 8, 2009
TO:	Mayor Euille and Members of City Council
CC:	Faroll Hamer, Director, Planning & Zoning Gwen Wright, Division Chief, Development, Planning & Zoning Nathan Randall, Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning
FROM:	Val Hawkins, President & CEO, AEDP Stephanie Landrum, Senior Vice President, AEDP
RE:	SUP #2009-0033, 7-Eleven

In follow-up to previous memos regarding activity, efforts and trends in Carlyle, please find below information that may be useful should discussion arise at your October 17, 2009 City Council public hearing related to the SUP application noted above.

AEDP has been assisting with the leasing of retail and restaurant space in Carlyle since the first building was delivered to the market. Some of those efforts include:

- Creation of a Carlyle marketing piece
- Targeted mail and cold-call campaigns to restaurants and retailers
- Placement of Carlyle "stories" in trade magazines and press
- Numerous tours and events at buildings in Carlyle
- Bi-monthly available retail listing distributed to hundreds

Despite all of this, leasing activity has been slow, especially since the economic downturn that began last fall. Unfortunately, Carlyle also has not been immune to national retraction in the retail sector, with the recent closure of Bruegger's Bagels and the announcement that Rite Aid will no longer pursue a lease on Eisenhower Avenue.

Through feedback from prospects, property owners, and retail brokers working in the area, as well as retailers operating in the community, a number of challenges have been identified. AEDP and City staff continue to work closely with the building owners in Carlyle to address and rectify these challenges.

For the retailers and restaurants in particular, the following are outstanding items of concern:

- Lack of visibility from Duke Street (a major thoroughfare)
- Limited signage opportunities
- Lack of signage directing patrons to parking
- Ongoing construction at John Carlyle Square
- Lack of after-hours street activity
- Office tenants filling first-floor retail space.

Our staff meets regularly with the retailers, and is currently putting together an informal venue for existing retail tenants to meet and discuss issues and ideas. Through this group we will encourage cooperative marketing and resource sharing, and introduce the retailers to resources available through organizations like the Small Business Development Center and programs like the Alexandria Marketing Fund.

Regarding the 7-Eleven SUP application under consideration, we feel that this type of communityserving retail use needs to be encouraged. These are often the first retailers to enter new markets and provide a great service to the 12,000+ daytime "residents" in Carlyle, the 5,000+ evening residents, and will add an active storefront to a designated retail street. The 7-Eleven will fill a storefront that has been vacant since the building was delivered approximately two years ago. It also faces a brand new building with two floors of vacant retail space. A business willing to make an investment in this economy and to work with the community and City staff to provide an attractive and appropriate storefront should be encouraged.

While we all hope that Carlyle evolves into the vibrant mixed-use community envisioned in the Small Area Plan, characterized by 24/7 activity and vitality, right now it is primarily a strong office market. The economic slowdown and major issues like BRAC that face our region, have created a regional market full of unprecedented choices and lease deals for office tenants. Now more than ever we must work diligently to provide appropriate services to all tenants in our mixed-use communities. With this in mind we include here information from a survey conducted in July 2004 of the 9,000 USPTO employees who currently spend their days in Carlyle:

The US Patent & Trademark Office provided demographic and income data for their employees as requested by the developers of retail space in Carlyle. The information included residence by zip code, average salaries, and work schedules. Along with this data, USPTO staff also included a memo titled "Business Opportunities around the new PTO site."

"The following types of businesses were available at Crystal City and (thus far) are not in evidence at the new PTO site. It should be noted that Crystal City took at least 10 years to acquire all the amenities it had available, but there is no reason why Alexandria cannot learn from the Arlington experience and do it in less time."

Sundry Store	Barber Shop	Hair/Nail Salons
Dry Cleaners	News Stand	Less than 1 st Class Restaurants
Card Store	Women's Clothing Store	Grocery Store
Pharmacy	Bank Branch	

7-Eleven's investment in Carlyle, as an iconic national company, will send a positive signal to others considering retail space and furthers our efforts to attract a critical mass of retail to the area. The City and its partners will continue to work on many initiatives to add activity, visibility and vibrancy to Carlyle, but these efforts will be fruitless without investment from the retail and restaurant community, as exhibited in this application under consideration.

111

Docket Item # 4 Sup 2009-0033



Olia Legoshina <legoshinaov @gmail.com> 10/06/2009 01:49 PM To Nathan.Randall@alexandriava.gov

cc Lori Geftic <lageftic@yahoo.com>, Joey Zabel <JoeyZabel@gmail.com> bcc

Subject 7-11 SUP Hearing tonight

Dear Nathan,

I'm not sure if I'll be able to make it to the hearing tonight as my daughter is sick today, however I once again wanted to express my concerns and opposition to having a 7-11 in our building.

It is hard to believe that your recommendation is still to go ahead and approve it, and I want to emphasize that we highly oppose it.

First of all because of it's 24/7 nature.

Secondly, it is not something we need in this area.

Thirdly, we have sufficient evidence that 7-11s attract crime.

Fourthly, it means that the noise level in our already not so quiet neighborhood is only going to rise, and I have a 15-month daughter, and we will probably have another baby on the way soon. I already only sleep with earplugs, otherwise, I wake up constantly because of the neighborhood noise, and this addition will only bring more of it.

Please include my concerns while you're deliberating whether or not to go forward with the approval of this SUP.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Olia Legoshina

SPEAKER'S FORM 720 54 DOCKET ITEM NO. PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND GIVE IT TO THE CITY CLERK <u>BEFORE YOU SPEAK ON A DOCKET ITEM</u> PLEASE ANNOUNCE THE INFORMATION SPECIFIED BELOW PRIOR TO SPEAKING. 11 R enps 1. NAME: 2 2. ADDRESS: 38 E-MAIL ADDRESS: MVAnderpol **TELEPHONE NŐ** 3. WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT, IF OTHER THAN YOURSELF? 4. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION ON THE ITEM? FOR: \checkmark AGAINST: _____ OTHER: 5. NATURE OF YOUR INTEREST IN ITEM (PROPERTY OWNER, ATTORNEY, LOBBYIST, CIVIC **INTEREST. ETC.):** Attomey 6. ARE YOU RECEIVING COMPENSATION FOR THIS APPEARANCE BEFORE COUNCIL? YES ______ NO ______

This form shall be kept as a part of the permanent record in those instances where financial interest or compensation is indicated by the speaker.

A maximum of three minutes will be allowed for your presentation, except that one officer or other designated member speaking on behalf of each *bona fide* neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association desiring to be heard on a docket item shall be allowed five minutes. In order to obtain five minutes, you must identify yourself as a designated speaker, and identify the neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association you represent, at the start of your presentation. If you have a prepared statement, please leave a copy with the Clerk.

Additional time not to exceed 15 minutes may be obtained with the consent of the majority of the council present; provided notice requesting additional time with reasons stated is filed with the City Clerk in writing before 5:00 p.m. of the day preceding the meeting.

The public normally may speak on docket items only at public hearing meetings, and not at regular legislative meetings. Public hearing meetings are usually held on the Saturday following the second Tuesday in each month; regular legislative meetings on the second and fourth Tuesdays in each month. The rule with respect to when a person may speak to a docket item at a legislative meeting can be waived by a majority vote of council members present but such a waiver is not normal practice. When a speaker is recognized, the rules of procedures for speakers at public hearing meetings shall apply. If an item is docketed *for public hearing* at a regular legislative meetings shall apply.

In addition, the public may speak on matters which are not on the docket during the Public Discussion Period at public hearing meetings. The mayor may grant permission to a person, who is unable to participate in public discussion at a public hearing meeting for medical, religious, family emergency or other similarly substantial reasons, to speak at a regular legislative meeting. When such permission is granted, the rules of procedures for public discussion at public hearing meetings shall apply.

Guidelines for the Public Discussion Period

(a) All speaker request forms for the public discussion period must be submitted by the time the item is called by the city clerk.

(b) No speaker will be allowed more than three minutes; except that one officer or other designated member speaking on behalf of each *bona fide* neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association desiring to be heard during the public discussion period shall be allowed five minutes. In order to obtain five minutes, you must identify yourself as a designated speaker, and identify the neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association or unit owners' association or unit owners' association.

(c) If more speakers are signed up than would be allotted for in 30 minutes, the mayor will organize speaker requests by subject or position, and allocated appropriate times, trying to ensure that speakers on unrelated subjects will also be allowed to speak during the 30 minute public discussion period.

(d) If speakers seeking to address council on the same subject cannot agree on a particular order or method that they would like the speakers to be called on, the speakers shall be called in the chronological order of their request forms' submission.

(e) Any speakers not called during the public discussion period will have the option to speak at the conclusion of the meeting, after all docketed items have been heard.

SPEAKER'S FORM

DOCKET ITEM NO. 🗡

<u>PLEASE COMPLETE THIS FORM AND GIVE IT TO THE CITY CLERK</u> <u>BEFORE YOU SPEAK ON A DOCKET ITEM</u>

PLEASE ANNOUNCE THE INFORMATION SPECIFIED BELOW PRIOR TO SPEAKING.

Catharine Puskar 1. NAME: ______ 2. ADDRESS: 2200 Clarendon Blvd Stc 1300 ZZZDI

TELEPHONE NO. 703-528-4700 E-MAIL ADDRESS: CONSKAR @ ar 1. Hulandlawyers. com

3. WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT, IF OTHER THAN YOURSELF?

4. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION ON THE ITEM? FOR: _____ AGAINST: _____ OTHER:

5. NATURE OF YOUR INTEREST IN ITEM (PROPERTY OWNER, ATTORNEY, LOBBYIST, CIVIC INTEREST, ETC.):

6. ARE YOU RECEIVING COMPENSATION FOR THIS APPEARANCE BEFORE COUNCIL? YES // __ NO _____

This form shall be kept as a part of the permanent record in those instances where financial interest or compensation is indicated by the speaker.

A maximum of three minutes will be allowed for your presentation, except that one officer or other designated member speaking on behalf of each *bona fide* neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association desiring to be heard on a docket item shall be allowed five minutes. In order to obtain five minutes, you must identify yourself as a designated speaker, and identify the neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association you represent, at the start of your presentation. If you have a prepared statement, please leave a copy with the Clerk.

Additional time not to exceed 15 minutes may be obtained with the consent of the majority of the council present; provided notice requesting additional time with reasons stated is filed with the City Clerk in writing before 5:00 p.m. of the day preceding the meeting.

The public normally may speak on docket items only at public hearing meetings, and not at regular legislative meetings. Public hearing meetings are usually held on the Saturday following the second Tuesday in each month; regular legislative meetings on the second and fourth Tuesdays in each month. The rule with respect to when a person may speak to a docket item at a legislative meeting can be waived by a majority vote of council members present but such a waiver is not normal practice. When a speaker is recognized, the rules of procedures for speakers at public hearing meetings shall apply. If an item is docketed *for public hearing* at a regular legislative meeting, the public may speak to that item, and the rules of procedures for speakers at public hearing meetings shall apply.

In addition, the public may speak on matters which are not on the docket during the Public Discussion Period at public hearing meetings. The mayor may grant permission to a person, who is unable to participate in public discussion at a public hearing meeting for medical, religious, family emergency or other similarly substantial reasons, to speak at a regular legislative meeting. When such permission is granted, the rules of procedures for public discussion at public hearing meetings shall apply.

Guidelines for the Public Discussion Period

(a) All speaker request forms for the public discussion period must be submitted by the time the item is called by the city clerk.

(b) No speaker will be allowed more than three minutes; except that one officer or other designated member speaking on behalf of each *bona fide* neighborhood civic association or unit owners' association desiring to be heard during the public discussion period shall be allowed five minutes. In order to obtain five minutes, you must identify yourself as a designated speaker, and identify the neighborhood civic association or unit owners' associat

(c) If more speakers are signed up than would be allotted for in 30 minutes, the mayor will organize speaker requests by subject or position, and allocated appropriate times, trying to ensure that speakers on unrelated subjects will also be allowed to speak during the 30 minute public discussion period.

(d) If speakers seeking to address council on the same subject cannot agree on a particular order or method that they would like the speakers to be called on, the speakers shall be called in the chronological order of their request forms' submission.

(e) Any speakers not called during the public discussion period will have the option to speak at the conclusion of the meeting, after all docketed items have been heard.



TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

REGARDING SUPPORT OF 7-11 TO JOHN CARLYLE AREA, ALEXANDRIA VA

We live at 520 John Carlyle St. Unit 115

Alexandria VA 22314

We, Robert and Pam Denson own at Carlyle Square Condominiums. We moved to Alexandria in the spring 2008 and one of the reasons we chose this area was for convenient access to restaurants and stores. Due to the recession our area has not developed as well as we had hoped. The 7-11 would be a great addition to our neighborhood. We support 7-11's plan to open in our building.

Robert and Pam Denson 520 John Carlyle St #115 Alexandria, VA 22314 571-312-2396 From:Natasha Crundwell [natasha@peoplegoingglobal.com]Sent:Tuesday, October 06, 2009 8:10 AMTo:Pam DensonSubject:7/11

We support the 7/11 as a useful amenity to our building complex and hopefully to encourage further commercial investment into the area.

We were told at the first meeting that the signage would be discreet and the merchandise would be kept reasonably clear off the windows to enhance the exterior view of the property. Duncan and Natasha Crundwell unit 113

10-17-09



Lori Geftic <lageftic@yahoo.com> 10/15/2009 08:50 PM

Please respond to Lori Geftic <lageftic@yahoo.com> To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov, kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov, council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com, cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: Public Hearing Docket #8 SUP 2009-0033

Time: [Thu Oct 15, 2009 20:50:33] Message ID: [16149]

Issue Type:	Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members
First Name:	Lori
Last Name:	Geftic
Street Address:	520 John Carlyle St. #107
City:	Alexandria
State:	VA
Zip:	22314
Phone:	703-448-3808
Email Address:	lageftic@yahoo.com
Subject:	Public Hearing Docket #8 SUP 2009-0033
	Please find attached a petition including 54 electronic signatures of
	Carlyle community residents opposed to SUP 2009-0033. These residents ask
	that the Council deny the SUP on the basis that the 24 hour 7-Eleven store
Comments:	is incompatible with the neighborhood.
	Thank you for your
	consideration,
	Lori Geftic
Attachment:	2ca49f93d65bd4326b4132b967460e97.pdf



To Whom It May Concern,

Please find attached a petition for your consideration regarding SUP 2009-0033. This information was collected in conjunction with a survey on residents' opinions of the proposed SUP. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Regards,

Lori Geftic

520 John Carlyle St. #107 703-448-3808 lageftic@yahoo.com The undersigned request that the Alexandria Planning & Zoning Commission and the City Council deny the Special Use Permit number 2009-0033 on the basis that the proposed 24 hour convenience store is incompatible with the neighborhood.

Name	Address	Unit #	City	State	Zip	Email	Phone	Ownership status in Carlyle Square Condominiums
1 Lori Geftic	520 John Carlyle	107	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 lageftic@yahoo.com	703-448-3808	Owner, living on site
2 Hope Collins	520 John Carlyle Street	307	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 hope.collins@calibresys.com	703-400-5698	Owner, living on site
3 Carlyle Lowe	408 John Carlyle		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 cmloweiii@gmail.com	703-519-0323	I live in a neighboring community.
4 Rodrigo	520 John Carlyle St.	319	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 rodrigosoares@comcast.net	202-758-6089	Owner, living on site
5 REGINA BOHN	1291 Quaker Hill Dr		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 gina.bohn@amgeneral.com	703 751-5440	Owner, living off site
6 Christopher E. Bohn	520 John Carlyle Street	419	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 cebohn@mindspring.com	571-483-1718	Owner, living on site
7 Tim Brannon	520 John Carlyle St	413	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 tbrannon23@gmail.com	use email	Owner, living on site
8 Andrew Warren	520 John Carlyle St	202	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 awarren33@hotmail.com	202-536-4857	Owner, living on site
9 Denise L. Tennant	2251 Eisenhower Ave	1202	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 denise.tennant@gmail.com	703-786-2464	I live in a neighboring community.
10 Julie Hall	428 John Carlyle St		Alexandria	VA	23	2314 Juliefhall@mris.com	703-838-2828	I live in a neighboring community.
11 Harris Robert	430 John Carlyle Street		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 robert.harris@pentagon.af.mil	703-588-5217	I live in a neighboring community.
12 Jayme Delano	520 John Carlyle Street	325	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 jaymedelano@aol.com	301-356-8301	Owner, living on site
13 Christina Como	426 John Carlyle Street		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 tinacomo04@aol.com	703-837-9410	l live in a neighboring community.
14 Hadi Saadat	1859 Ballenger Ave	1859	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 saadat@msoe.edu	703-986-3288	I live in a neighboring community.
15 Melissa Price	401 Holland Ln.	621	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 nolebelle@yahoo.com	703-309-2943	l live in a neighboring community.
16 Carol Dodd	2121 Jamieson Avenue #1607	1607	Alexandria	VA	22	2314	703.549.2378	I live in a neighboring community.
17 ALAN CRUPI	2181 JAMIESON AVE	802	ALEXANDRIA	VA	22	2314	703-567-1666	I live in a neighboring community.
18 Jorge Kulionis	2151 Jamieson Ave	2007	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 kulionis@comcast.net	703-566-0954	I live in a neighboring community.
19 Gina Jackson	1855 Ballenger Ave		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 gina7980@gmail.com	use email	I live in a neighboring community.
20 Carlton Lewis	406 John Carlyle St		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 cmliii@excite.com	630660-0746	I live in a neighboring community.
21 Bruce B Cox	520 John Carlyle Street No. 108	108	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 brucebcox@gmail.com	703-216-4770	Owner, living on site
22 Grfegory M. Stone	410 John Carlyle Street		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 gregorymstone@comcast.net	703.408.8350	I live in a neighboring community.
23 Albina Giron	S20 John Carlyle St.	427	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 palbina@gmail.com	571-228-6326	Owner, living on site
24 Katharina Frasure	404 John Carlyle Street		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 frasurekatharina@yahoo.com	703 684-4018	I live in a neighboring community.
25 David Hackney	520 John Carlyle Street	214	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 dhackney@gmail.com	404-964-2107	Renter
26 Michael and Amy Mortimer	r 520 John Carlyle Street	106	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 mortimer@vt.edu	540-230-3689	Owner, living on site
27 lea allen	1804 Jamieson Ave.		Alexandria	VA	22	2314 oatbuild@earthink.net	571 527 0021	I live in a neighboring community.
28 Dante Paragas	18815 Kipheart Dr.		Leesburg	VA	20)176 dbparagas@yahoo.com	571-278-1984	Owner, living off site
29 StephenDildine	520 John Carlyle St.	216	Alexandria	VA	22	314 steve87\$44@comcast.net	571-431-6935	Owner, living on site
30 Chanda Fields	520 John Carlyle Street	226	Alexandria	VA	22	2314 chandafvzw@yahoo.com	571-926-4046	Owner, living on site
31 R. Jack Chapman	1806 Jamieson Avenue		Alexandria	VA	22	314 chapmanj@pxi.com	703 627-6100	Hive in a neighboring community.
32 Richard Liebhaber	400 John Carlyle Street		Alexandria	VA	22	314 richard@liebhaber.net	917-405-6328	I live in a neighboring community.
33 Vivian Keller	520 John Carlyle St	230	Alexandria	VA	22	314 vkbell@cox.net	703-566-0871	Owner, living on site

Name	Address	Unit # City	State	Zip Email	Phone	Ownership status in Carlyle Square Condominiums
34 Adam Kelinsky	520 John Carlyle Street	110 Alexandria	VA	22314 akelinsky@gmail.com	703-300-2575	Owner, living on site
35 Pauline M. Mulligan	520 John Carlyle Street	428 Alexandria	VA	22314 pmmulligan@comcast.net	703-683-0374	Owner, living on site
36 Alexandra Warren	520 John Carlyle Street	202 Alexandria	VA	22314 alexcwarren@gmail.com	202-536-4857	Owner, living on site
37 Windy & David Rudd	520 John Carlyle St	235 Alexandria	VA	22314 dwrudd98@yahoo.com	703-888-1406	Owner, living on site
38 Jody Pettibone	520 John Carlyle Street	116 Alexandria	VA	22314 jlp5233@yahoo.com	703-922-4799	Owner, living on site
39 John Mohr	520 John Carlyle St	417 Alexandria	VA	22314 canadahusker@yahoo.com	use email	Owner, living on site
40 Carol Pelkofski	520 John Carlyle St.	201 Alexandria	VA	22314 cpelkofski@comcast.net	757-395-0366	Owner, living on site
41 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. I	Dub: PO Box 285	Compton	MD	20627 chdubac@md.metrocast.net	301-475-5834	Owner, living off site
42 Lewis Goldstone	520 John Carlyle St.	335 Alexandria	VA	22314 lewis1@ymail.com	703-962-0110	Owner, living on site
43 Cameron Saadat	317 N. Alfred St.	Alexandria	VA	22314 saadatc@gmail.com	202-714-4040	Owner, living off site
44 Susan Norwitch	520 John Carlyle 5treet	327 Alexandria	VA ·	22314 Susan_Norwitch@comcast.net	703-548-3529	Owner, living on site
45 Aaron Mihalik	520 John Carlyle	433 Alexandria	VA	22314 mihalik@alum.mit.edu	703-473-7728	Owner, living on site
46 Joseph Lanasa	520 John Carlyle Street	337 Alexandria	VA	22314 joseph.m.lanasa@nasa.gov	703-989-0433	Owner, living on site
47 Olga Legoshina	520 John Carlyle St.	302 Alexandria	VA	22314 legoshinaov@gmail.com	703-869-1253	Owner, living on site
48 Tomoko E. Coles	520 John Carlyle St.,	117 Alexandria	VA	22314 taichisister953bib@yahoo.com	703-520-1606	Owner, living on site
49 Russell Keller	520 John Carlyle Street	230 Alexandria	VA	22314 kel0202@comcast.net	703-566-0871	Owner, living on site
50 Alan G. Marsh	520 John Carlyle Street	243 Alexandria	VA	22314 alan.marsh@us.army.mil	703.548.4854	Owner, living on site
51 Steven Shearer	520 John Carlyle St	425 Alexandria	VA	22314 steve167@gmail.com	703-815-0274	Owner, living on site
52 Joseph Zabel	520 John Carlyle St.	302 Alexandria	VA	22314 joeyzabel@gmail.com	571-426-4888	Owner, living on site
53 Elizabeth Lebedeker	520 John Carlyle Street	403 Alexandria	VA	22314 elebedeker@gmail.com	703-243-5094	Owner, living on site
54 Seth Geftic	520 John Carlyle Street	107 Alexandria	VA	22314 geftics@yahoo.com	703-448-3808	Owner, living on site

8 10-17-09

.



Lori Geftic <lageftic@yahoo.com> 10/15/2009 08:46 PM

Please respond to Lori Geftic <lageftic@yahoo.com> To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov, kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov, council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com, cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: 10-17-09 Hearing Docket Item #8 SUP 2009-0033

Time: [Thu Oct 15, 2009 20:46:26] Message ID: [16148]

issue Type:	Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members
First Name:	Lori
Last Name:	Geftic
Street Address:	520 John Carlyle St. #107
City:	Alexandria
State:	VA
Zip:	22314
Phone:	703-448-3808
Email Address:	lageftic@yahoo.com
Subject:	10-17-09 Hearing Docket Item #8 SUP 2009-0033 I am writing to share the results of the on-line survey distributed to the
	residents of the Carlyle community regarding the proposed 7-Eleven SUP
	2009-0033 under consideration at the Public Hearing to be held this
	Saturday, October 17th. Please find attached a letter (originally provided
	to the Planning Commission staff in August) summarizing the results along
	with detailed comments for 68 members of the community.
	Importantly,
	please note that 81% of the respondents were opposed to the SUP. The
	primary concerns of the respondents were that that 7-Eleven is inconsistent
	with the high-quality character of the neighborhood and that the 24-hour a
	day nature of the business would lead to an increase in crime, noise,
Comments:	traffic, and loitering leading to a decreased quality of life and property
	value for residents.
	In a separate email I will be providing a petition
	signed electronically by 54 residents asking that the Council deny the

SUP.

I hope that the Council will take this information under

consideration when deciding on this matter. I look forward to addressing

the Council at the hearing on Saturday and answering any questions you may

have about the data.

Thank you for your time, Lori Geftic

Attachment: 79f7b6a8ea50d88f90f9ba9d251cbcd9.pdf

629

79f7b6a8ea50d88f90f9ba9d251cbcd9.pdf

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to share the results of a survey regarding the Special Use Permit number 2009-0033 for a 24 hour 7-Eleven convenience store at the corner of Ballenger and John Carlyle St. As a concerned citizen and resident of the Carlyle Square Condominiums (CSC), the building in which the proposed store would reside, I created a neutral on-line survey to allow other residents in the Carlyle Square neighborhood to provide positive and/or negative feedback on the permit. I hope that the City Planning and Zoning Commission and the City Council will find the information I gathered useful input into their rulings.

Collection Information:

The survey was posted on-line beginning in late June and responses were collected through August 2. Various approaches were used to distribute the web link to the survey to residents in the neighborhood. At CSC, residents who had posted their email information on the on-line resident directory were emailed a link to the survey. Residents were also able to request the survey link via a sign-up sheet left at the condo front desk. In other neighborhood developments either the Board of Directors emailed residents the link to the survey or residents were made aware of the survey via a community blog post and word of mouth. In all, 71 responses were received. Any response that did not include a name, address, and either a phone number or email address was filtered out, leaving 68 valid responses. Of these, 45 responses were from residents living in CSC, representing approximately 25% of all residents in the building.

Survey Format:

The survey was designed to be neutral. It requested identification information, and included both yes/no and ranking type questions. The ranking questions were based on concerns raised by residents in a CSC HOA Board meeting, which 7-Eleven representatives had attended. Respondents were informed that their information would be provided to the City Planning and Zoning representative. In addition to the close ended questions, the survey provided a comment area where residents could list concerns or comments. The survey also provided an opportunity for residents to sign a petition opposing the permit in its proposed form. Please see Attachment D for a copy of the survey.

Results:

The results show that 81% of all respondents are opposed to the SUP in its proposed format. When broken into those living in CSC and those in the surrounding neighborhoods, the results were comparable (see Figure 1). This indicates that not only the residents living in the building in which the proposed store would be located but also those living in surrounding neighborhoods are opposed to the proposed store location.

The concern which residents were most likely to "Strongly Agree" with was that the proposed



store would be open 24 hours a day, although the majority of residents also at least "Somewhat Agree" that they are concerned about alcohol sales, noise levels and the appearance of 7-Eleven window displays (see Figure 2).



Although the open ended comments varied greatly, reoccurring issues raised included the 24 hour nature of the store, noise from patrons, an increase in crime generally associated with 24 hour convenience stores, loitering, an increase in traffic, and the incompatibility of the store with the neighborhood's general character (see Table 1). Please see Attachment A for each respondent's contact information. Attachment B provides the respondents' open ended comments and Attachment C includes the results of the closeended questions.

Topic	References
hours	38
noise	31
crime	21
loitering	21
traffic	20
neighborhood character	19
alcohol	15
property value	12
trash	10
parking	8

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding the survey and its results. The signed petition is being provided in a separate letter.

Regards,

Lori Geftic 520 John Carlyle St. #107 703-448-3808 lageftic@yahoo.com

About the researcher:

Lori Geftic has a BSBA in Finance and Accounting from Washington University in St. Louis. She is currently completing her Masters Thesis in Design. Her background includes extensive training in Environmental Behavior research, econometrics, and statistical analysis.

917	Owner, living off site	#86T-827-T2S	moo.ooAsy@sagaradb 37102	A٧	Bindseel	18815 Kipheart Dr.	segened stred 000 Done
	Owner, living on site	203-212-7330	22314 steven@mccune.ws	∀ ∧	einbnexelA SEA	520 John Carlyle St	06/27/2009 Steven McCune
	Owner, living on site	9981-888-602	22314 wilwerdjk@sol.com	<u> </u>	sinbriexelA 722	520 John Carlyle Str	ghibrawiiW ndol 2002\22\30
	.vinummoo gnitoddgien a ni evil l	T200 722 L72	22314 ostbuild@earthink.net	<u> </u>	Alexandria	.9vA nossimet 4081	uəlis səi 6002\22\30
	Owner, living on site	240-530-3689	22314 mortimer@vt.edu	<u></u>	ainbriaxelA 301	520 John Carlyle Street	06/29/2009 Michael and Amy Mortimer
	Renter	404-964-2102	22314 dhackney@gmail.com	۸A	514 Alexandria	520 John Carlyle Street	Yəndəsh bived 9002\06/30
	. Vive in a neighboring community.	8104-489 507	22314 fraurekatharina@yahoo.com	A۷	einbnexəlA	404 John Carlyle Street	07/01/2009 Katharina Frasure
	Owner, living on site	9759-877-725	mop.lismg@snidlsq ALESS	۸۸	enbrexelA 724	520 յօրո Շձriyle Տէ.	001/2/2/001/2 6002
	.vinummoo gninodigian a ni avil l	0558.804.507	22314 gregorymstone@comcast.net	A٧	sinbrisxelA	410 John Carlyle Street	07/01/2009 Griegory M. Stone
	.tinummoz gnirodrigien e ni evil l	lismə əzu	moz.lismg@nsmhzsql 41£522	۸v	BinbrisxelA	2251 Eisenhower Ave	nemdoe9 navus) 2002/10/70
	Owner, living on site	0227912-602	22314 brucebcox@gmail.com	۸۸	6inbrisxelA 801	520 John Carlyle Street No. 108	07/02/2009 Bruce B Cox
	I live in a neighboring community.	9720-099089	22314 cmliii@excite.com	۸v	einbriexelA	406 John Carlyle St	07/02/2009 Carlton Lewis
	ا انve in a neighboring community.	lisme evail	22314 tjw2005@gmail.com	۸۸	einbriexelA 4011	t 9vA nozeimel 1212	right vigit
	Owner, living on site	9674-806-202	22314 mathemag@gnikinem. 22314 mathemagemeter	A۷	6i1bn6x9lA 455	520 John Carlyle St.	07/02/2009 Matthew Merkling
	Vinummos gninodigien s ni evil l	lisme ezu	moo.lismg@0897snig 41252	A٧	einbriexalA	1855 Ballenger Ave	02/02/2009 Gina Jackson
	live in a neighboring community.	lieme ezu	moɔ.lismJod@dɔissjəmd 41822	۸۸	einbriexelA 2001	t aunevA noseimet 1212	مرابع 4118 <u>بالمرابع</u>
	i live in a neighboring community.	#S60-995-E02	22314 kulionis@comcast.net	A٧	einbriexelA 7009	S 9vA noseimel 1212	002/02/2009 Jorge Kulionis
	live in a neighboring community.	7680-99S-E0Z	22314 curigit124@comcast.net	 ∀∧	einbriexelA 8021		
	I live in a neighboring community.			AV	AIRONAXAJA 208		02/03/2009 ALAN CRUPI
	I live in a neighboring community.	8762.042.607		A۷	sinbrisxelA 7081	t V0ð1# sunsvA nossimet 1212	01/03/2009 Carol Dodd
	I live in a neighboring community.	£462-805-807	22314 nolebelle@yahoo.com	Δ٨	610 Alexandria		07/03/2009 Melissa Price
	Vinummoo gninodigien s ni evil l	8875-986-502			einbnexelA 6281	t	76b662 ib6H 0002/40/70
	Vinummos gninghboring community.	0176-758-507	22334 finacomo2604000	۸۸	einbrisxelA	426 John Carlyle Street	07/04/2009 Christina Como
	Owner, living on site	1068-956-106	moo.loe@onelabamysį 12222	A٧	enbnexelA 225	520 John Carlyle Street	onsie0 emyst 2002/20/70
	(live in a neighboring community.	7889-897-725	22314 accession00000000000000000000000000000000000	۸V	502 Alexandria	eve noseimel 1212	anoi2 L 2009 J Store
	l live in a neighboring community.	L122-882-E07	1im.16.nogetneq@zintert.thedo1 &1£522	A۷	Alexandria	430 John Carlyle Street	07/06/2009 Harris Robert
	Owner, living on site	£284-21E-12S	22314 tdpeck2@comcast.net	A٧	301 alexandria	520 յонп сагіуіе St	07/07/2009 Patricia D. Peck
	l live in a neighboring community.	8282-828-602	moɔ.zinm@llsdiəilut 41£22	AV	enbnexelA	428 John Carlyle St	lisH silut 2002/70/70
	I live in a neighboring community.	1982-062-219	22314 Jon.a.rivers@gmail.com	۸V	510 Alexandria	ZZSI Eisenhower Ave	07/08/2009 Jon Rivers
	I live in a neighboring community.	7977-982-E02	moɔ.lismg@tnsnnət.əzinəb 41822	۸V	einbriexelA 2021	ZZ51 Eisenhower Ave	07/09/2009 Denise L. Tennant
	Owner, living on site	2584-985-202	moziliemion@EEnainewe x2532	<u></u>	einbrisxelA 202		n9116W Weitha 6002\£1\70
	Owner, living on site	lisme eza	moo.lismg@£2nonnsidf ff£522	AV	413 Alexandria	s al lohn Carlyle St	nonneið mit 6002/4/70
	Owner, living on site	8121-684-125	mo.gningsbrim@nhodo5 41522		einbriexelA 814	520 John Carlyle Street	07/15/2009 Christopher E. Bohn
	Owner, living off site	0005-152 202	moɔ.leɪənəgme@ndod.enig 42252	۸V	enbrexelA	1291 Quaker Hill Dr	
	Owner, living on site	505-852-202	22314 rodrigosoares@comcast.net	₩	Binbriskeld EIE	520 John Carlyle St.	03/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/20/
	l live in a neighboring community.	EZE0-615-E02	moz.lismg@iii@wolmo 225324	₩	einbriexelA	408 John Callyle	01/21/2000 C24/Å/F LOWE
	Owner, living on site	8695-007-202		<u>۸۷</u>	sinbriszelá 705	520 John Carlyle Street	01/31/2009 Hope Collins
	Owner, living on site	00E6-028-202	ZZ314 virgil.cook@gmail.com	 ₩	sinbrexelA CLL	520 John Carlyle Street	08/07/3000 Alon Cook
	Owner, living on site	8086-844-507	22314 lageftic@yahoo.com	<u>۸۷</u>	sinbriexelA 701	220 John Carlyle Street	08/03/2009 Lori Gettic
# opuos		OUOL ONVEUL	and contracting singered FIECC	<u></u> v/\			
offsite # offsite						Number:	
Dwnet	Relationship to CSC	Рьопе Иитрег:	Zip Code: Email Address:	:etet2	City/Town:	Address: Condo	:9msN 936Q 92roq2e

A triemdosttA

ΝΟΙΤΑΜΑΟΤΗΙ ΤΟΑΤΗΟΟ ΤΗΡΟΗΟΑΤΗΟΑ - Α ΤΗΡΗΟΑΤΤΑ

	Owner, living on site	8085-844-207	22314 gettics@vahoo.com	۸۸	einbriexelA 701		520 John Carlyle Street	06/22/2009 Seth Geffic
	Owner, living on site	\$60S-E\$2-E0L	22314 elebedeker@gmail.com	۸۸	604 Alexandria		520 John Carlyle Street	06/22/2009 Elizabeth Lebedeker
	Owner, living on site	8887-927-125	moɔ.liɛmg@lədɛsyeəl 41522	AV	6inbrisx9IA 20E		520 John Carlyle 5t.	ledeZ rgesol 906/22/200
	Owner, living on site	\$220-ST8-E02	moo.lismg@7ð19v9t2 \$1522	AV	615 Alexandria		520 John Carlyle St	06/22/2009 Steven Shearer
	Owner, living on site	9622-212-125	moo.liemtor@noenaber 41522	AV	Einbrisxald 211		520 John Cadyle St.	06/22/2009 Robert A. Denson
	Owner, living on site	4284.842.E07	lim.ymse.zu@Azsem.nele AIESS	AV	600 E42		520 John Carlyle Street	Asham Ginela e002/22/60
	Owner, living on site	203-201-9413	22314 morankc@hotmail.com	Α٧	6inbrisx9lA 754		520 John Carlyle St	06/22/2009 Kristen Moran
	Owner, living on site	TL80-995-E0L	22314 kel0202@comcast.net	AV	sinbrisxelA 055		520 John Carlyle Street	06/22/2009 Russell Keller
	Owner, living on site	9091-025-202	moo.oonky@didE2E1932izinjis1 &1ESS	AV	ehbnexelA 711		520 John Carlyle St.,	06/22/2009 Tomoko E. Coles
	Owner, living on site	ESZT-698-EOL	mop.lismg@vosnirlsog9l A1522	AV	sinbnsxalA 20£		520 John Carlyle St.	enidzogal sglO 002/22/60
	Owner, living on site	££10-686-£07	vog.esen@esenel.m.dqesoi 41652	Α٧	einbnexelA 755	_	520 John Carlyle Street	eseneJ rdesol 2002/22/90
	Owner, living on site	8277-574-507	22314 mihalik@alum.mit.edu	A۷	6133 Alexandria		520 John Carlyle	AilsrliM norsA 6002\22\00
	Owner, living on site	6225-842-502	22314 Susan_Norwitch@comcast.net	AV	sinbriexelA 725		520 John Carlyle Street	06/22/2009 Usen Norwitch
ε	Owner, living off site	502-714-4040	moɔ.liemg@ɔjsbess #1£22	AV	Alexandria		317 N. Alfred St.	06/23/2009 Cameron Saadat
	Owner, living on site	0110-296-602	moɔ.lismy@tsiwel 41822	ΑΛ	335 Alexandria		520 John Carlyle St.	06/23/2009 Lewis Goldstone
7	Owner, living off site	7585-527-TOE	190.12620139m.bm@cedubdo 72002	aw	Compton			06/23/2009 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubac
	Owner, living on site	9980-568-252	22314 cpelkofski@comcast.net	AV	sinbrisxalA 102		520 John Carlyle St.	06/23/2009 Carol Pelkotski
	Owner, living on site	lisma əzu	22314 canadahusker@yahoo.com		sinbnexalA 714		520 John Carlyle St	140M ndot 2002\23\200
	Owner, living on site	6627-226-202	moo.oodsy@8522gli 41822	AV	einbnexalA 811		520 John Carlyle Street	06/24/2009 Jody Pettibone
	Owner, living on site	9071-888-607	moo.oodey@86bburwb 41222	<u>Αν</u>	235 Alexandria		520 John Carlyle St	06/24/2009 Windy & David Rudd
	Owner, living on site	LS87-9ES-ZOZ	moɔ.lismg@nəาiswɔxəls 41822	AV	enbnsxalA 202		520 John Carlyle Street	06/24/2009 Alexandra Warren
	Owner, living on site	*/E0-E89-E0/	22314 pmm.tzcomocomegillummq 41522	AV	einbriexelA 824		520 John Carlyle Street	negilluM .M eniine9 6002\42\00
	Owner, living on site	5252-002-202	22314 akelinsky@gmail.com	AV	ainbnexelA 011		520 John Carlyle Street	00/24/2009 Adam Kelinsky
	Owner, living on site	1280-995-602		AV	6inbriexalA 052		520 John Carlyle St	00/24/2009 Aivian Keller
	Vinummos gninodigien s ni evil i	8229-507-216	22314 richard@liebhaber.net	AV	Alexandria		400 John Carlyle Street	00/25/2009 Richard Liebhaber
	l live in a neighboring community.	0019-229 202	22314 chapmanj@pxi.com	AV	enbnexelA		aunavA nosaimet 3081	06/25/2009 R. Jack Chapman
	Owner, living on site	9#0#-926-125	22314 chandafraw@yahoo.com		EinbriexelA 822		520 John Carlyle Street	00\757\5003 CPanda Fields
	Owner, living on site	0258-912-602	mos.liemg@geveonis AI2522		sinbriskela 122		220 John Carlyle Street	06/25/2009 Jennifer Sincavage
	Owner, living on site	SE69-IE7-ILS	22314 steve87544@comcast.net		einbriexelA 815		520 John Carlyle St.	eribliGnehqest 26/26/26/26/26/26/26/26/26/26/26/26/26/2
# ориор								
offsite						Number:		
19nwO	Relationship to CSC	Phone Number:	:stanbhA lism3 :sbo	O qiZ :state	:nwoT\y#iD	opuoj	Address:	:emeN ested estroo

sponse Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
08/02/2009 Lori Geftic	I do not think that this is an appropriate use for the retail space. When we purchased our unit, the developer indicated that a Sushiko ar Moe's would be going into the retail space. These are much more appropriate establishments for the area. We certainly would have reconsidered buying here if we thought a 24 hour 7-Eleven would be right outside our door. I have concerns about crime, traffic, limited parking, no loading dock area, noise, and the diminished appearance of the exterior of our building through the typical 7-Eleven window advertisements. I'm sure new prospective buyers will share these concerns, and as I result I believe that all property values in the buildin neighboring town home community will be hurt by the presence of any type of 24 hour convenience store in this location. Other locatio with parking along Eisenhower avenue would be much more appropriate.
08/01/2009 Virgil Cook	Limit hours Police monitored Responsible to clean up in front of property - cigarette buds, gum, trash etc.
07/31/2009 Hope Collins	1. It does not fit the style of the community. This is not a 24-hour community - it is a mixed residential and office/retail. 2. Most peop the streets during the day are office workers who are recreating or having a meal. They are not looking to purchase take-out foods/beve The residents already have access to plenty of places for these items (Whole Foods, Giant). 3. A 24-hour facility will likely attract people the neighbor who can get there needs met just as well in areas such as Duke Street.
07/21/2009 Carlyle Lowe	Increased trash since the SUP says they will only pick up trash to 6 1/2 feet of door and that does not go halfway to the curb. Despite w in the SUP, there will be an increase in noise from patrons since no other business is open at 3 in the morning. 7-11 doesn't fit the community given the hours. The blocks which have 7-11 stores in Alexandria have a higher crime rate than other blocks in the same neighborhood. The SUP only addresses the safety of the store, but not the nearby residents. Unlike most 7-11s this is directly in a reside area which will lead to a higher crime rate for the entire area. SUP does not say where loading/unloading will occur.
07/20/2009 Rodrigo	None
07/17/2009 REGINA BOHN	I am shocked that Carlyle Square would consider allowing 7-Eleven to occupy space in our building. The street traffic is going to be a hug problem – it is almost impossible now to drop off and pick up laundry from the dry cleaners. The trash/litter that will be generated by th patrons of 7-Eleven will be terrible for our neighborhood. I thoroughly disagree and object to the sale of alcoholic beverages on the prer I am very concerned about the 7-Eleven advertisements in the windows; it will definitely detract from our beautiful building. The foot tra and increased noise will absolutely be intolerable for our neighborhood. I am concerned about the long-term impact on our neighborhoo our building, on the property value. I strongly recommend that 7 Eleven's request for a permit is denied. I encourage the Board of Direct and the City of Alexandria to seek a retail business/restaurant/café that keeps reasonable business hours. Regina Bohn, (703)751-5440 E gina.bohn@amgeneral.com
07/15/2009 Christopher E. Bohn	My concerns include: increased car and foot traffic, greater difficulty finding street parking, increased noise levels (especially intolerable a night), more litter in the immediate vicinity and beyond, and not least, the implications of selling alcoholic products to the public. I am fu concerned that the long-term impact will be a decline in property values, not only for the building, but the Carlyle community as a whole. Instead, I would very much like to see a restaurant or café move into the building that keeps reasonable business hours (i.e., opens about 8AM and closes by 9 or 10PM).
07/14/2009 Tim Brannon	It dramatically increases foot traffic in a quiet neighborhood. Moreover, 7-11's bring with them an increased chance of crime in the neighborhood as they will be one of the few places around here selling alcohol until 12pm. Also, we live in a beautiful building that will be tarnished if a neon green and orange sign is place on the front of the building. Real estate values are already low enough without agreein anything that will decrease values further.

esponse Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
07/13/2009 Andrew Warren	I have two main concerns. First and foremost is the the increased pedestrian traffic that will occur after hours as a result of a 24-7 convenience store. People who do not live in the neighborhood will be coming into the neighborhood late at night, especially because the 7
、	11 will be selling liquor and cigarettes until midnight. This creates several problems, such as noise complaints potential criminal activity, and litter (especially the cigarette butts sure to be discarded on the ground). The fact that there is outdoor seating at Jerry's Subs (although it's
	closed late at night, people still sit in the area) which will lead to loitering, which is a safety concern among others. Second, the reputation
	7-11 is not consistent with the area and in particular, this building. This is a new, upscale, quiet, residential neighborhood, whereas 7-11s ar commonly associated whether justifiably or not with lesser neighborhoods. This will likely adversely affect property values for homeowners.
07/09/2009 Denise L. Tennant	While I welcome new retailers to the community, I am concerned that a 24-hour business like 7-Eleven will disturb the quite nature of the neighborhood.
07/08/2009 Jon Rivers	I am in favor but I don't think the place needs to be 24hrs maybe a 6am-11am opening hourse would be better for the area.
07/07/2009 Julie Hall	We have very little space in this community. There are NO parking spaces and we already have a problem with trash and people with no pla to sit. This 7/11 will increase the trash and encourage someone to sit on my door stoop because they don't have anyplace else to go. I don' want a drunk or even a non-drunk sitting in my doorway.
07/07/2009 Patricia D. Peck	late night traffic into the area. trash, noise, crime, Hours of operation-24hrs. sale of alcohol, porn & cigarettes. Loss of property value. No attractive to Old Town. All convenience stores should be banned from Old town. Crime, crime, Crime
07/06/2009 Harris Robert	Hours of operations and noise levels. Especially during early morning hours. The lack of customer parking and traffic control. Time of deliveries. Deliveries will not practical during normal work hours because of auto traffic and pedestrian traffic. Litter control. Twice a day will not be enough. Hours of alcohol sales. Security, and Loitering. Will 7-Eleven post security guards during the evening hours? If not, does the Alexandria Police have a crime mitigation plan in the works to handle potiential increase in crime? Signage. Will the 7-Eleven signage be illuminated 24/7 and impact sleep patterns of neighboring homes.
07/06/2009 J Stone	Where will customers park? There is currently no parking as it is. I can understand people can walk from their condos or apartments but others driving through the area will have no parking since it is always full in that area.
07/05/2009 Jayme Delano	I support the SUP business hours 6 am to 8 pm. There is no need for a 24 hour store in our community. Delivery also should be restricted to no later than 8 pm.
07/04/2009 Christina Como	I live in the Carlyle City Residences across from the proposed 7-11. I am totally against such a business moving to the location. We live at street level and this will totally disrupt life in our neighborhood. We already have problems with people loitering in the evening and this will only attract more. In addition there is no parking available for people "running in" and I see a lot of double parked cars and traffic problems This proposed 7-11 location is unbelievably inappropriate for this area.
07/04/2009 Hadi Saadat	We live directly across the corner of John Carlyle and Ballenger. We are astonished and extremely concerned about the remote possibility or 7-Eleven store at this location. We are already tolerating the noise and rowdiness from the Zikrayet restaurant at 540 John Carlyle Street with the unbearable smell of hookahs smoke especially during the weekends. We hope the City of Alexandria Planning & Zoning representatives a prudent enough not to allow the crime to befall in this area.
07/03/2009 Melissa Price	The image and brand of 7-11 is not the brand of Carlyle Square. A 7-11, especially one selling alcohol, will bring in late night loiterers, which will increase noise levels and possibly threaten the safety of the Carlyle residents. Even during the day, it just doesn't fit with the chic, urban feel of the Carlyle area.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
07/03/2009 Carol Dodd	I would not mind it on Eisenhower Avenue somewhere, but not within the Carlyle Community bounded by Duke, Holland, Eisenhower and Metro tracks.
07/03/2009 ALAN CRUPI	Do not feel the store (7-11) is needed for this area. Very concerned about crime that the store may bring to this area. Alcohol sales another concern with the health and rehab building so close.
07/02/2009 Carolyn Roman	I don't see the need to have it be 24 hours. Why not have it close at midnight or no later than 1 a.m.?
07/02/2009 Jorge Kulionis	We need local business not a franchise
07/02/2009 Kathy	I have a feeling when people think "7-Eleven", they think of some of the current nearby stores that look like they were built in the 70s. Over the few years, 7-Eleven has built a number of very "nice" stores in suburban and downtown urban areas across the country. By accessing the link below, residence can learn more about the company's growth plan. http://www.7- eleven.com/NewsRoom/7ElevenIncPlansAggressiveExpansion/tabid/307/Default.aspx Given that Rite Aid isn't opening any time soon, I'm a for welcoming 7-Eleven to Carlyle.
07/02/2009 Gina Jackson	no additional comments
07/02/2009 Matthew Merkling	Finally a store in the area where a person can get random household items and random food items that are not available at Whole Foods (die soda, toothpaste, etc.). And being open 24 hours is a HUGE benefit. I very much look forward to 7-11 opening up shop in our building. The noise issue does not seem like it would be anything more than what we already have with the assorted shops in our building. As far as appearance as long as 7-11 keeps their displays in the unit (as opposed to out on the sidewalk), i dont see any problems with the appearance of the 'brand advertising' that is seen in other 7-11 shops.
07/02/2009 TJ Wright	I can't wait for it to open!
07/02/2009 Carlton Lewis	A 7-11 that is open 24 HR goes against the character of this community,. It will increase crime and doesn't add to the neighborhood.
07/02/2009 Bruce B Cox	I have no need for it. I'm concerned that any shop opened 24 hours a day, seven days a week, will create noise and activity at times that will seriously degrade the quality of life in a residential building. I'm concerned about adverse effects on property values, as well as a possible increase in insurance. There is commercial property a short walk from my home that I understand will contain a drugstore that will also be opened all day and night, so there is no need for that service in my home.
07/01/2009 Lauren Pachman	My concern is about it being open 24 hours a day, mainly because of crime.
07/01/2009 Grfegory M. Stone	The 7-11 is inconsistent with the neighborhood. This is a residential neighborhood after 6PM or so. The proposed 24 hr operation will be too noisy. There is no parking lot for its patrons, and they will have to compete with residents for limited street parking. Finally, we are opposed to off premisis sale of beer and wine.
07/01/2009 Albina Giron	The reputation of the 7-Eleven is not all that good (cheap store). The condo property value may decrease because 7-Eleven is located in the same building, it just doesn't look good no matter what level it operates at. The crowd that is attracted to the store is usually low income. Sal of alcohol 24/7 would bring a variety not so local neighbors. The neighborhood is currently quiet at night and we would like for it to stay that way. 24/7 traffic is unacceptable and increases the noise level. The typical amount of advertisement on the store windows is also a huge concern. Historically, area around 7-Eleven contain a lot of trash outside as people do not really care and try to rush out. There are crowdings around the store as well. The condo building is not a typical location for 7-Eleven, why in this building?

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
07/01/2009 Katharina Frasure	I am absolutely opposed to the 7 - Eleven moving into our neighborhood, as proposed. In other neighborhoods the presence of a 7-Eleven store has added crime activity, noise, littering, and this kind of convenience store is totally inappropriate for our neighborhood. In addition, we have a Wholefoods store around the corner and we already have a convenience store around the corner in Holland Lane in the Meridian Apartment building. PLEASE do not allow the 7-Eleven application. Katharina Frasure
06/30/2009 David Hackney	I don't want to hear any loud noises outside my window at night nor do I want to have to worry about getting robbed, stabbed, beaten, shot, raped, murdered, etc. I live right above the bagel shop which is close to the proposed 7-Eleven store and will formally complain to the Alexandria Police if I hear any noises late at night coming from the 7-Eleven or anyone screaming. I am a renter and if I were to move out, I can't image how hard it would be for the owner to re-rent this place due to the outrageous price of the rent and then having some 7-Eleven in the neighborhood. I'm sure once anyone sees that place downstairs, they may consider other places to live.
06/29/2009 Michael and Amy Mortimer	The fact that 7Eleven is applying for an SUP raises the presumption that it is the wrong type of business for this neighborhood. The application it filed does nothing to dispel that presumption. Unless and until 7-Eleven can provide convincing evidence that its presence, its operating hours, and its sale of alcohol will NOT lead to an increase in crime, noise, and other negative externalities, then the SUP should be denied. Also, the Carlyle Square Condo Board should be asking itself the same questions. The Board has a fiduciary obligation to look out for the welfare and value of this building, and it mystifies us how the presence of a 7-Eleven could contribute to either.
06/29/2009 lea allen	I find the nature of Seven Eleven customers, facilities and product of a character not compatable to our neighborhood. It is a quiet area, even during business hours, and the urban pace is set by only the day traffic. To increase the night traffic and the type of night traffic drawn by this particular venue would not be an asset to the neighborhood.
06/29/2009 John Wilwerding	Businesses like 7-11 are essential to establishing a vibrant urban community. It will attract other services in addition to providing its own. It is in the best interest of the community as a whole to fill the street level retail space in order to sustain and increase the value of our units when we are ready to sell. The empty facades we currently have detract from the value of the community and provide no services for owners. I concur with worries about street noise. Perhaps we can work with the vendor to establish different delivery hours and store hours. I have seen 7-11s that are not open 24/7. I suspect the street noise will be less than I experience Thurs-Sat from Zikrayet. On those evenings street noise runs until 12PM-1AM especially on Fri/Sat
06/27/2009 Steven McCune	I really have no comments, other than that fact that I would like to see more businesses in the neighborhood to foster the urban environment that this development was designed as.
06/26/2009 Dante Paragas	7-Eleven will be a nuisance and a blight to the condo community. The condo community is meant for quiet and safe living, not a foot traffic area at 24-hours a day.
06/26/2009 StephenDildine	Crime Night traffic Non-residents (of the neighborhood) in the neighborhood Loss of value of my condo Noise especially after 7 pm

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/25/2009 Jennifer Sincavage	The proposed space that the 7-11 will occupy has been empty for two years. Considering the state of the economy, I think it is a positive development that we have a business that wants to come in and occupy that space. Our closest grocery store and restaurants/fast food establishments all close by 10:00 each night and we do not have a drugstore within walking distance. The 7-11 will provide a level of convenience that is lacking in our community. There are a number of 7-11s in the surrounding area (with parking lots and greater ease of ingress/egress), so I doubt this 7-11 will service more than the immediate community and surrounding businesses (during the daytime hours). As a result, I don't share the concerns of some that the business will bring unwanted and unwelcome traffic/noise in the late night hours. Our community has, since its inception, been slated as a mixed-use neighborhood. Having moved into a building with first floor retail in a neighborhood that has always been meant to serve as a vibrant, active, lively community, I don't personally feel that the 7-11 is outside of the plan for our area. My only wish is that the signage be in keeping with the architectural structure and class of our building (i.e. a brass sign rather than the typical neon sign), that window advertisements be kept to a minimum, and that the area immediately outside of the 7-11 could not do the same.
06/25/2009 Chanda Fields	I fear that a 7-11 will bring unwanted and lingering foot-traffic to this building. My unit faces John Carlyle and already experiences loud noise, smoking, and unwanted after-hours foot-traffic due to the Lebanese nightclub (posing as a restaurant) on the corner. I suspect that the 7-11 will be more of a hindrance than a help/convenience. I do not have noise-proof windows (as far as I know) and already cannot open my windows at night on the weekends - due to the loud chatter, music, and smoking provided by the drunken/tipsy party-goes visiting the so- called Lebanese restaurant. Thus, I am certain that a liquor and cigarette selling 7-11 will increase the presence of trash around the building, i will increase the amount of smoking, drinking, and loitering around the building. Lastly, and overall, I believe that a 7-11 will decrease the overall resale values of our condominiums.
06/25/2009 R. Jack Chapman	I' m extremely concerned about the close proximity of the 7-Eleven in terms of the 24-hour, 7-day a week nature of the business. This will result in a number of problems for the local residents, in that the facility has absolutely NO dedicated parking (meaning large numbers of automobiles in the late hours of the evening and the early hours of the morning with the consequent noise and parking congestion). Add to this the transitory nature of business's customers, and the sales of alcohol from 6-am - 12-pm, and the residents can expect a substantial increase in vagrancy, petty crime, litter, and noise. The end result of the issuing business permit to this business will be a reduced quality of life to the owner/occupants of the near-by dwellings, as well as an impact to the security of our property and persons.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builiding in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/25/2009 Richard Liebhaber	I am 110% against a 7-Eleven coming into our community. While it's "nice" to have convenience, there are at least 2 7-Elevens within a 1-mile radius that I can think of. So, I don't see the need. 7-Elevens also can attract a bad element and all the problems associated with that. Noise, loitering, littering, and violence all have become synonymous with 7-Eleven's name. Is the City going to post a Police Officer to prevent this from happening 24x7? I doubt it will. This will absolutely and negatively affect our property values. Who's going to compensate us for that? When I purchased my townhouse in 2002, I had the sense that this neighborhood would become something akin to the Reston Town Center. Boy was I wrong. And, adding a 7-Eleven into the mix is going in the wrong direction. It's low-class. The City has a history of doing things that don't improve its image. King Street has been in a slow, but steady, decline. The City ignored consultants advice to build a vibrant shopping and dining destination, instead choosing to approve restaurants like Subway and Popeye's. The shopping is not unique, and people won't come where they're not safe and comfortable. In our own neighborhood, we often have people "drifters" coming through from the drug treatment facility on Jamieson and the nearby APD detention center. We don't need to provide them any excuses or opportunity to hang around, panhandle, publicly urinate or put people in further danger of being assaulted. Many people walk their dogs late at night. There are plenty of places to lay in waiting if one were so inclined. Let's not make an open invitation in the form of a 7-Eleven for them to start hanging around this neighborhood.
06/24/2009 Vivian Keller	Absolutely not if it is to be a 24 hr store. I would be for it if it closed at 10pm, if it closed at midnight I would have to think about it.
06/24/2009 Adam Kelinsky	Listed below in Survey.
06/24/2009 Pauline M. Mulligan	The hours of operation are too late for a retail business over residential units. I feel that the late deliveries would also be a disturbance to myself and my neighbors. I'm already experiencing late night noise level and sleep disruption from a retail space (Zikrayet) under my unit. I'm also concerned about the availability of alcohol sales from 6 am to midnight.
06/24/2009 Alexandra Warren	I strongly oppose the 7-Eleven moving into the building. I am concerned about noise, safety, increased crime, increased congestion and traffic etc.
06/24/2009 Windy & David Rudd	All have been addressed here
06/24/2009 Jody Pettibone	This is NOT a suitable establishment for a quiet residential community. I frequently arrive home late at night and need to walk my dog. As a single woman, I am already surprised by people walking to the Lebanese restaurant, I do not want to encounter people who have just been to the 7-11 to buy alcohol who are probably already drinking. The noise, extra trash (I'm always picking up trash, including disgusting cigarette butts), traffic at all hours of the day, especially at night, is NOT what I expected when I bought my condo 2 years ago. I love this area but part of what I love about it is that it is quiet. 7-11 will ruin it and any hope for any of us to retain our all ready falling property values. Wake up Cit of Alexandria and protect your citizens.
06/23/2009 John Mohr	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed 7-Eleven. All of the concerns noted below in this survey are extremely valid and should be taken into serious consideration by the city. In addition, I am concerned about the name 7-Eleven being a part of the Carlyle Square community and the Carlyle Community as a whole. This condominium complex and neighborhood markets itself as sophisticated, comtemporary, luxury, and tranquility, amongst other things. The name 7-Eleven represents the complete opposite 7-Eleven represents cheap and quick - a place where one can buy 99 cent hotdogs and big gulps. This is not the image we want to portray to future buyers in this complex! I can think of 100 other businesses that I would love to see occupy that space and businesses who would stand to be very profitable Thank you for setting up this survey.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/23/2009 Carol Pelkofski	I am troubled by the proposed opening of a 7-Eleven. Having any convenience store and especially one requesting to be open 24 hours a day is completely incompatible with this neighborhood. I am not sure if the execs at 7-Eleven have done their homework for they seem to have overestimated the need for this type of business on John Carlyle Street. This is a relatively quiet neighborhood. It is common knowledge that convenience stores operating 24 hours a day tend to be a target for the criminal element. A business such as 7-Eleven that is situated in a building with over 140 residences will certainly compromise the safety of condo residents, other local homeowners, and those working in surrounding offices. The presence of a 7-Eleven could discourage new businesses from taking up shop in the still empty commercial spaces of the neighborhood. In essence, one store (7-Eleven) affecting the overall health of an emerging community. The 7-Eleven will create excessive noise and traffic disruption with daily truck deliveries. Is having a 7-Eleven move in worth all the problems that it brings? I think not. The City of Alexandria needs to protect the residents of Carlyle and let 7-Eleven find a suitable location.
06/23/2009 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubac	In addition to the items that are contained in the survey below, we are concerned that the SUP doesn't indicate that the premises will contain public restrooms even though the SUP acknowledges that they anticipated only walk in customer traffic. Since there is apparently no day or night street parking reserved for the store, not having public restrooms in the business where food and drink articles are available for take out may create a sanitation problem especially for late night customers. The litter problem is also of great concern two litter pickups a day seems inadequate for a business where take out food and drink is available 24 hour a day. BTW, even though we do not live on site at the Condo, we intent to move upon retirement. We currently use the condo whenever work at the Headquarter's of a company located in Alexandria,VA requires my presence.
06/23/2009 Lewis Goldstone	I do not want a 24/7 business and have concerns about this kind of business (safety, crime, noise, etc.) operating in this condo community.
06/23/2009 Cameron Saadat	I think this is the worst possible tenant you could find for this building.
06/22/2009 Susan Norwitch	Concerns not covered by the survey- trash and crime The city of Alexandria is just completing a very elaborate park called CARLYLE SQUARE one block up the street, at Jamieson and John Carlyle. Offsite alcohal sales until midnight will lead to loitering and drinking in the new park. It could become a major nuisance for city. It is hard to understand why the city would allow a 24 hour store with alcohol sales until midnight on John Carlyle Street- not a major thoroughfare. This is a residential and office neighborhood- most customers for the 711 would have to come from outside the neighborhood.There is a 711 a couple miles west on Duke Street, a major thoroughfare.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/22/2009 Aaron Mihalik	I am very excited at the prospect of our commercial space being utilized. However, any benefits brought by 7-Eleven would be overshadowed by the inconvenience to the residents of our building. I am concerned about patrons loitering around the building, creating noise, and leaving trash. The Special Use Permit (SUP) provided does not adequately address these concerns. It skirts any responsibility for noise and loitering. It notes that these attributes will similar to values generated by normal retail activities. The SUP does not concede that it is asking for unusual retail activities (24hr/day, alcohol sales, etc), nor does it describe how it will mitigate the effects of these activities on the community. As for trash, the SUP mentions that employees will perform trash pickup twice a day within a 75" radius. I think that trash generated by patrons will extend beyond 75". This includes litter on the streets and sidewalks and overburdening the few trash receptacles in the area. I am very concerned that this may cause a security issue for the residents of 520 John Carlyle. I find it particularly worrisome that the "google street view" photo the other 7-eleven in old town has two police cruisers parked in front [1]. [1] http://maps.google.com/maps?f=q&source=s_q&hl=en&geocode=&q=7-eleven&sll=38.790285,- 77.067976&sspn=0.029704,0.06609&ie=UTF8&t=h&radius=1.78&rq=1≪=38.79838,- 77.049952&spn=0,359.93391&z=15&layer=c&cbll=38.798367,-77.049776&panoid=9g5gsrS4iMMTyQJOXxyD5g&cbp=12,167.62,,0,13.53
06/22/2009 Joseph Lanasa	I would like a 4 star restaurant. The 7 Eleven is not the best use of that premium space. The noise and late night activity is not conducive to a residential area. How about a nice sea food restaurant. That will be great for the area.
06/22/2009 Olga Legoshina	I'm very concerned about any 24/7 business in our building, however I'm especially concerned about a 7-11 being there. Plus, isn't it called 7- 11 because it's only supposed to be open from 7:00 a.m. until 11:00 p.m. in the first place? Noise is one of my main concerns, especially since our Master bedroom window is facing Ballenger St., and I already cannot sleep without ear plugs, and everyone in our household still gets woken up by a motorcycle, which leaves the building at around 3:30-3:45 every morning. I don't want more motorcycles and traffic in general on our street especially at night. Not only am I concerned about the traffic, but also about the behavior of the patrons outside of the 7-11. Secondly, I'm concerned about crime, since alcohol would be sold in the 7-11 from 6:00 a.m. until midnight? I'm VERY concerned about that. What I would like to see in our building is something that doesn't open until about 7 and closes by 9 p.m. That would be the most reasonable hours not to disrupt the neighborhood.
06/22/2009 Tomoko E. Coles	I have purchased my unit at this specific location and building back in 2005 because it is a secure place to live. I have neighbors who are seniors and single women on my floor. Our home is a secure and quiet place for people like us who work long hours and just want a piece of mind when we are home. Thank you.

Response Date Name:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/22/2009 Russell Keller	My daughter has worked in real estate law for a number of years. On her visit this weekend she was appalled that a 7-Eleven is coming and shared that the presence of a 7-eleven will most definitely affect the property values of our condominiums for the worse. This is not opinion, it is fact supported by hard data. And it does not only apply to those units unfortunate enough to be directly above the store; it applies to all, as does anything else that hurts property values. The 7-Eleven will be the first thing people see when they come to our building from Duke Street along John Carlyle Street. We will have to walk past the typical people who loiter outside 7-Elevens to enter out building (panhandlers, etc.). It will be a haven for cab drivers at 3, 4 and 5AM. It will be a stopping point for the rude, rowdy patrons who spill out of Zikrayet at 2:30 AM on Friday and Saturday nights. And 7-Eleven will do nothing. Post will do nothing. The clerk will do nothing. We, the residents will have to call the the police constantly, but it will change nothing. Realtors researching for the clients will be able to see how many times the police are called. With the restaurant that is already much higher than most people would want. The 7-Eleven will only magnify the problem. I have not even discussed the trash issue, which is already a problem along Emerson and which our cleaning crew will now be responsible to clean up. If there is an event in John Carlyle Square, we will be the recipient of all those attending who need a drink, cigarettes, food, whatever. We can be a "vibrant urban community" without prostituting ourselves in the name of "convenience."
06/22/2009 Kristen Moran	I believe that the addition of the 7-11 is truly a benefit to our community. It shows that this area is growing as an urban center. We should be privileged to receive the same model as Clarendona true urban hub. I also see no empirical data that our values will decreaseI believe that the real decrease is open, empty space. This is a true mixed use buildingit's the reason I bought here.
06/22/2009 Alan G. Marsh	Convenience stores are a target for late night/early morning crime. They also attract bums that loiter in front of the store hoping to bum enough money to buy liquor. If I need something quickly, I can always drive to convenience stores on Duke Street. The streets in the John Carlyle area are fairly tight and do not need additional traffic caused by a convenience store. Neighborhood is currently quiet at night and relatively safe in current condition - and prefer to keep it that way. Any businesses going in should not be open after midnight and should not cause significant additional traffic.
06/22/2009 Robert A. Denson	I think it is great! We need to encourage more businesses to open in our neighborhood to provide the goods, services and convenience we require.
06/22/2009 Steven Shearer	Simply put, I don't think a 24-7 store is appropriate for this neighborhood regardless of branding.
06/22/2009 Joseph Zabel	A 7-11 does not seem to be a good fit for our neighborhood, primarily because of the 24/7 operating hours. Our streets are generally very quiet after 9PM, and having an all-night convenience store will certainly attract people who would otherwise not be walking around in the middle of the night around our building. I have seen enough 7-11's to know what they entail. There is always loitering, obnoxious signage, and late-night alcohol sales. The question was posed as to who would be responsible for policing the area in front of the 7-11. The lawyer basically said that it was the residents' responsibility, but it was in 7-11's best interest to keep the local customers happy. So in the event there is loitering or loud noises from talking late at night, we will have to call the police or call 7-11 to take care of the problem. Who goes to a 7-11 after midnight? It will most certainly attract people leaving bars after closing time. Chances are they will be intoxicated and loud. It would seem that such a store will only invite an element of crime that does not exist in our peaceful neighborhood now.
06/22/2009 Elizabeth Lebedeker	I do not believe a twenty four hour business is a safe or desirable business to reside in a multi use building. As a resident, I worry about an increase in crime, noise, traffic congestion, loitering, and late night pedestrian traffic.

ponse Date N	lame:	Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.
06/22/2009 Seth Geftic	eth Geftic	I am worried about people coming to the community late at night due to the 24 / 7 status of the store. i am also concerned about signage (ir
		addition to the main 7/11 sign) that would make the building look cheap or unmaintained.

ATTACHMENT C - SURVEY CLOSE-ENDED RESPONSES

negilluM .M anilue4 2002/42/30	0N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. ริเาอทธิให้ ลธาริด	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
06/24/2009 Adam Kelinsky	0N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. วิโตอาศูโy ลิยูเตอ	5. Strongly agree	asiae ylanoit2.,2	
06/24/2009 Vivian Keller	0N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. 5trongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	f. Somewhat agree
06/25/2009 Richard Liebhaber	0N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	- Strongly agree
06/25/2009 R. Jack Chapman	on	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
06/25/2009 Chanda Fields	٥N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly ägree	senge terlwomo2 .t
98eveoni2 191innaL 9002/22/30	səy	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	1. Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree	 Strongly disagree
ənibliünərdərz 8002\26\26	 •N	S. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
2002/35/2009 Dante Pagese	٥N	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree	Strongly agree
9002/22/00 Steven McCune		1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	4. Somewhat agree	 Somewhat disagree
		1. Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	 Strongly disagree
uəlis səi 9002/92/00	•N	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
706/29/2009 Michael and Amy Mortimer	•N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree
06/30/2009 David Hackney		5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	0. Undecided	bebizebnU .0
02/01/2009 Katharina Frasure		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree
02/10/20 Build 6002/10/20		S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	D. Undecided
97/01/2009 Griegeny M. Stone		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
nemhoer nenuel e005/10/70		2. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree
		2. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		d. Somewhat agree
01/02/2009 Bruce B Cox		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/02/2009 Carlton Lewis		7 Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagree		T. Strongly disagree
14BinW LT 6002/20/70		1. Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagree	T. Strongly disagree		1. Strongly disagree
07/02/2009 Madata Media	Yes	5. Strongly agree	2. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly direction		3. Neither agree nor disagree
noshal anio 9002/20/70		4. Somewhat agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	T. Strongly disagree		3. Strongly disagree
01/05/500 3 Kathy		5. Strongly agree	2. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disarce	2. Strongly agree	2. Strongly agree	T Strongly disagree
01/02/2009 Jorge Kulionis	^0N						
07/02/2009 Carolyn Koman	Yes	5. Strongly agree 3. Neither agree nor disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Strongly agree 2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree		0. Undecided
07/03/2009 ALAN CRUPI	ON	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		5. Strongly agree		5. Strongly agree
07/03/2009 Carol Dodd	ON		4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree		4. Somewhat agree
07/03/2009 Melissa Price		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		4. Somewhat agree
16bee2 ibeH 2002/p0/70	<u>•N</u>	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/04/2009 Christina Como	ON	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		5. Strongly agree
07/05/2009 Janya Delano	ON	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		3. Neither agree nor disagree
01/06/2009 1 Stone	Yes	4. Somewhat agree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	4. Somewhat agree		2. Somewhat disagree
n9doR zhn6H 0002\20\70		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree		3. Neither agree nor disagree
07/07/2009 Patricia D. Peck	oN	5. Strongly agree	5. วิุเวอาสู่ไง จริเออ	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
lish silut 2002/70/70	ON	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	 Neither agree nor disagree
219viß not 6002/80/70	yes	5. Strongly agree	 Strongly disagree 	 Strongly disagree 	1. Strongly disagree	 Strongly disagree 	 Strongly disagree
JnenneT J. Jeined 2002/20/70		5. Strongly agree	1. Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongty agree	4. Somewhat agree
Natren Watren 2005/E1/70	0N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree
nonneiß miT 2005/11/70		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	 Neither agree nor disagree 	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
03/12/2009 Christopher E. Bohn		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
07/17/2009 REGINA BOHN		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
01/20/2009 Rodrigo		1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	2. Somewhat disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	4. Somewhat agree
	 •N	S. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree
suilloj edoke 6001/12/20		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	ວ. ວິໂຕຕາຊິ່າy ລູຮູເຂຂ
08/01/5000 Airgil Cook		4. Somewhat agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	4. Somewhat agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree		4. Somewhat agree
		S. Strongly agree	2. Strongly agree	2. Strongly agree	2. Strongly agree		4. Somewhat agree
oified Lon Con Con Control 20/20/80	No No		proposed 7-Eleven		E 61		
	minite form	proposed 7-Eleven.	between 6 am and midnight at the	proposed 7-Eleven.	Operations outside the proposed 7	- proposed 7-Eleven.	of the proposed 7-Eleven.
	Eleven moving into the	a day, 7 day a week nature of the	availability of alcohol sales	level of patrons outside the	level of deliveries and other		anzinit notatini ant to asmeneaqque
:9meN 9teQ snoqs	-V shi to rovel ni uoy sha	1 am concerned about the 24 hour	I am concerned about the	i am concerned about the noise	evice the short the noise	an concerned about the appearance) am concerned about the

ATTACHMENT C - SURVEY CLOSE-ENDED RESPONSES

s/22/2009 Seth Geftic	PN	5. Strongly agree	2. Somewhat disagree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. ວິຊາວເຊໄy ລູຊາຂອ	1. Strongly disagree
5/22/2009 Elizabeth Lebedeker	ON	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongh agree	5. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	Strongly agree	 Neither agree nor disagree
ladsZ rigazot 2002/22/2	0N	5. Strongh agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	Strongly agree	5. Strongty agree
S/22/2009 Steven Shearer		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	ວ. ວິເຕກາຊາງ ລູຍາຍູຣ	5. Strongly agree
noznad .A tradoß e005\25\2	Yes	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	 Strongly disagree
rithe Goost Alan G. Markh		4. Somewhat agree	ວ. 5trongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	5. Strongly agree	2. Somewhat disagree	2. Somewhat disagree
neroM neten Moran	765	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree	1. Strongly disagree
SZ/2009 Russell Keller		S. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	5. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree
5/22/2009 Tomoko E. Cales		S. Strongly agree	ວ. 51າດກຢູ່ງ ສູຮາຂອ	ອອາສູຣ ຢູສູກດາງ2.2	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	- Strongly agree
eniritegal salo e002/22/2		5. Strongly agree		5. Strongly agree		4, Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree
eseuel Aqasol 2002/22/2	٥N	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
AilsrliM noveA 2005/22/2		S. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
ADJIWION NERUZ 6005/22/2	0N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
tebee2 nonsme2 0002/22/6	٩N	5. Strongly agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree	5. Strongly agree	 Neither agree nor disagree 	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
sival 2009 Lewis Goldstone	•N	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	4. Somewhat agree	4. Somewhat agree	3. Neither agree nor disagree
5/23/2009 Carl H. & Franziska E.B. Dubac		5. Strongly agree	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
S/23/2009 Carol Pelkofski	oN	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
140M ndot 8002/22/3		5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
5/24/2009 Jody Pettibone	•N	5. Strongly agree		S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
bbuß bived & ybniW 6002/42/5		5. Strongly agree	ອອາສຸຣ ຢາສາດນາວ .2	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
nemew enbrexeld 6002/42/6	0N	S. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree	5. Stronghy agree	Strongly agree	5. Strongly agree
	proposed in the SUP?		proposed 7-Eleven.		Eleven.		
	building in the form	navel3-7 becopy	between a new property of the	proposed 7-Eleven.	-V becelians outside the proposed 7-	proposed 7-Eleven.	of the proposed 7-Eleven.
	Eleven moving into the	adit to shuten yeek nature of the	availability of alcohol sales	level of patrons outside the	level of deliveries and other		appearance of the interior finishe
:emeN eteQ ezr	-X sht to rovet ni uoy sh	non concerned about the 24 hour		I am concerned about the noise	l am concerned about the noise	am concerned about the appearance	

ATTACHMENT D - Carlyle Square Community Survey

1. 7-Eleven

7-Eleven has applied for a Special Usage Permit (SUP) with the City of Alexandria to open a 24-hour a day convenience store in the retail space located at the corner of Ballenger and John Carlyle St. The City is accepting comments from community members on the proposed 7-Eleven until the first week in August.

THIS SURVEY WILL BE CLOSED SUNDAY, AUGUST 2.

Hightlights from the permit application include:

- 1. The store will be open 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.
- 2. They expect between 1,100 and 1,500 customers per day.
- 3. Alcohol sales will be available between 6AM to midnight.
- 4. Deliveries to the facility will be allowed between 10 am and 10 pm.

You may review the full SUP for more information on the store's operations. A link to the SUP is provided on the community website.

Please fill out the following survey on your opinion of the proposed store. Please note that this information, including your name and personal information, may be provided to the City of Alexandria's Planning and Zoning representative. Anonymous results (i.e. without your name and personal information) may be shared with the Carlyle Square Condominium's Board of Directors.

1. Please provide the following information.

Name:	
Address:	
Condo Number:	
City/Town:	
State:	
ZIP/Postal Code:	
Email Address:	
Phone Number:	

2. Please indicate which of the follow best describes your ownership status at Carlyle Square Condominiums.

Owner, living on site	
Owner, living off site	
Renter	
I live in a neighboring community.	
If you are an owner living off site please provide your unit number:	

ATTACHMENT D - Carlyle Square Community Survey

3. Are you in favor of the 7-Eleven moving into the building in the form proposed in the SUP?

Yes

4. Please list any comments that you have regarding 7-Eleven moving into the builidng in the form proposed in the SUP.



5. Please describe your level of agreement with each of the following statements.

I am concerned about the 24 hour a day, 7	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Undecided
day a week nature of the proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the availability of alcohol sales between 6 am and midnight at the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the noise level of patrons outside the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the noise level of deliveries and other operations outside the	0	0	0	\bigcirc	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the appearance of the window displays at the	0	0	0	0	0	\mathbf{O}
proposed 7-Eleven. I am concerned about the appearance of the interior finishes of the	0	0	0	0	0	0
proposed 7-Eleven. I support the 7-Eleven SUP in the form it has been proposed.	0	0	0	0	0	

ATTACHMENT D - Carlyle Square Community Survey

6. Would you like to add your name to a petition requesting that the City of Alexandria deny 7-Eleven's SUP application on the basis that the store in its proposed form is incompatible with the neighborhood?

Ο	Yes
---	-----

O No



Attached is page 1a for docket item #8. This page was omitted from the original report.

Steve Womack, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He stated that people in the neighborhood don't believe this is the right use for this location. If the Planning Commission wished to recommend approval, he asked that reduced hours of operation and reduced hours for alcohol sales be considered.

Elizabeth Smith, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. She stated that she is 100% against the request and believes it will destroy the community. She stated that crime is an issue and that the proposal is not consistent with the Carlyle Master Plan.

Robert Harris, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He stated that crime is an issue noting that he will need to take additional measures to protect his family if the convenience store is allowed to operate so close to his home. He also stated that he wanted to see additional data to verify statements in the staff report.

Kristen Moran, neighborhood resident, spoke in favor of the proposal. She stated that the neighborhood is urban, mixed-use, and currently under-served. She stated that the community needs to overcome its perception issues and noted that the convenience store may make the neighborhood safer instead of less safe.

Patrick Via, attorney representing the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association, stated that the Association has not taken an official position on the proposal since some building residents oppose it and others are in favor. He stated that the Association would like to see the hours of operation reduced from 24 hours/day, and asked for the applicant to provide yearly reports to the Association and the Planning Commission.

Pam Denison, neighborhood resident, spoke in favor of the proposal. She noted that she moved to Alexandria and this location for reasons of convenience. She also read two letters of support from neighbors James Dana Adams and Natasha & Duncan Cronwell.

Lori Geftic, neighborhood resident, spoke about the survey she prepared and submitted to City staff regarding the subject proposal.

Joseph Zabel, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He noted that he didn't feel comfortable walking near another 7-Eleven store in the City.

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION, SEPTEMBER 1, 2009: The Planning Commission noted the deferral of the request. The applicant requested the deferral prior to the hearing.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:

Steve Womack, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He stated that people in the neighborhood don't believe this is the right use for this location. If the Planning Commission wished to recommend approval, he asked that reduced hours of operation and reduced hours for alcohol sales be considered.

Elizabeth Smith, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. She stated that she is 100% against the request and believes it will destroy the community. She stated that crime is an issue and that the proposal is not consistent with the Carlyle Master Plan.

Robert Harris, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He stated that crime is an issue noting that he will need to take additional measures to protect his family if the convenience store is allowed to operate so close to his home. He also stated that he wanted to see additional data to verify statements in the staff report.

Kristen Moran, neighborhood resident, spoke in favor of the proposal. She stated that the neighborhood is urban, mixed-use, and currently under-served. She stated that the community needs to overcome its perception issues and noted that the convenience store may make the neighborhood safer instead of less safe.

Patrick Via, attorney representing the Carlyle Square Condominium Unit Owners Association, stated that the Association has not taken an official position on the proposal since some building residents oppose it and others are in favor. He stated that the Association would like to see the hours of operation reduced from 24 hours/day, and asked for the applicant to provide yearly reports to the Association and the Planning Commission.

Pam Denison, neighborhood resident, spoke in favor of the proposal. She noted that she moved to Alexandria and this location for reasons of convenience. She also read two letters of support from neighbors James Dana Adams and Natasha & Duncan Cronwell.

Lori Geftic, neighborhood resident, spoke about the survey she prepared and submitted to City staff regarding the subject proposal.

Joseph Zabel, neighborhood resident, spoke in opposition to the proposal. He noted that he didn't feel comfortable walking near another 7-Eleven store in the City.

<u>PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION, SEPTEMBER 1, 2009</u>: The Planning Commission noted the deferral of the request. The applicant requested the deferral prior to the hearing.

CITY COUNCIL ACTION:



10-17-09

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	October 8, 2009
TO:	Mayor Euille and Members of City Council
CC:	Faroll Hamer, Director, Planning & Zoning Gwen Wright, Division Chief, Development, Planning & Zoning Nathan Randall, Urban Planner, Planning & Zoning
FROM:	Val Hawkins, President & CEO, AEDP Stephanie Landrum, Senior Vice President, AEDP
RE:	SUP #2009-0033, 7-Eleven

In follow-up to previous memos regarding activity, efforts and trends in Carlyle, please find below information that may be useful should discussion arise at your October 17, 2009 City Council public hearing related to the SUP application noted above.

AEDP has been assisting with the leasing of retail and restaurant space in Carlyle since the first building was delivered to the market. Some of those efforts include:

- Creation of a Carlyle marketing piece
- Targeted mail and cold-call campaigns to restaurants and retailers
- Placement of Carlyle "stories" in trade magazines and press
- Numerous tours and events at buildings in Carlyle
- Bi-monthly available retail listing distributed to hundreds

Despite all of this, leasing activity has been slow, especially since the economic downturn that began last fall. Unfortunately, Carlyle also has not been immune to national retraction in the retail sector, with the recent closure of Bruegger's Bagels and the announcement that Rite Aid will no longer pursue a lease on Eisenhower Avenue.

Through feedback from prospects, property owners, and retail brokers working in the area, as well as retailers operating in the community, a number of challenges have been identified. AEDP and City staff continue to work closely with the building owners in Carlyle to address and rectify these challenges.

For the retailers and restaurants in particular, the following are outstanding items of concern:

- Lack of visibility from Duke Street (a major thoroughfare)
- Limited signage opportunities
- Lack of signage directing patrons to parking
- Ongoing construction at John Carlyle Square
- Lack of after-hours street activity
- Office tenants filling first-floor retail space.

Our staff meets regularly with the retailers, and is currently putting together an informal venue for existing retail tenants to meet and discuss issues and ideas. Through this group we will encourage cooperative marketing and resource sharing, and introduce the retailers to resources available through organizations like the Small Business Development Center and programs like the Alexandria Marketing Fund.

Regarding the 7-Eleven SUP application under consideration, we feel that this type of communityserving retail use needs to be encouraged. These are often the first retailers to enter new markets and provide a great service to the 12,000+ daytime "residents" in Carlyle, the 5,000+ evening residents, and will add an active storefront to a designated retail street. The 7-Eleven will fill a storefront that has been vacant since the building was delivered approximately two years ago. It also faces a brand new building with two floors of vacant retail space. A business willing to make an investment in this economy and to work with the community and City staff to provide an attractive and appropriate storefront should be encouraged.

While we all hope that Carlyle evolves into the vibrant mixed-use community envisioned in the Small Area Plan, characterized by 24/7 activity and vitality, right now it is primarily a strong office market. The economic slowdown and major issues like BRAC that face our region, have created a regional market full of unprecedented choices and lease deals for office tenants. Now more than ever we must work diligently to provide appropriate services to all tenants in our mixed-use communities. With this in mind we include here information from a survey conducted in July 2004 of the 9,000 USPTO employees who currently spend their days in Carlyle:

The US Patent & Trademark Office provided demographic and income data for their employees as requested by the developers of retail space in Carlyle. The information included residence by zip code, average salaries, and work schedules. Along with this data, USPTO staff also included a memo titled "Business Opportunities around the new PTO site."

"The following types of businesses were available at Crystal City and (thus far) are not in evidence at the new PTO site. It should be noted that Crystal City took at least 10 years to acquire all the amenities it had available, but there is no reason why Alexandria cannot learn from the Arlington experience and do it in less time."

Sundry Store	Barber Shop	Hair/Nail Salons
Dry Cleaners	News Stand	Less than 1 st Class Restaurants
Card Store	Women's Clothing Store	Grocery Store
Pharmacy	Bank Branch	

7-Eleven's investment in Carlyle, as an iconic national company, will send a positive signal to others considering retail space and furthers our efforts to attract a critical mass of retail to the area. The City and its partners will continue to work on many initiatives to add activity, visibility and vibrancy to Carlyle, but these efforts will be fruitless without investment from the retail and restaurant community, as exhibited in this application under consideration.

8

.



Stephen Williams <etaxsvs@aol.com>

10/08/2009 03:31 PM Please respond to Stephen Williams <etaxsvs@aol.com> To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov, kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov, council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com, cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: Meeting 10/17 -- SUP for 7-Eleven at 504 John Carlyle

Time: [Thu Oct 08, 2009 15:31:40] Message ID: [15951]

Issue Type:	Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members					
First Name:	Stephen					
Last Name:	Williams					
Street Address:	520 John Carlyle St #222					
City:	Alexandria					
State:	VA					
Zip:	22314					
Phone:	703-862-9884					
Email Address:	etaxsvs@aol.com					
Subject:	Meeting 10/17SUP for 7-Eleven at 504 John Carlyle I will be out of country and can not attend the Council Meeting; Saturday,					
	October 17, 2009; 9:30 am otherwise I would be there in person to voice my					
	opposition. Please do not allow 7-Eleven to operate at this location.					
	As an owner/occupant REAL Estate Tax paying resident of the Condos at					
	Carlyle Square, I do not want a 7-eleven as a neighbor for many reasons.					
	The least of which is property value will not be enhanced by 7-eleven					
	presence especially being located in the MOST Prominent location in the					
	Building. Our building will immediately be referenced as the 7-eleven					
	building which will not carry a connotation of upscale. We are already					
	saddled with Jerry's Pizza and it's overpowering signage - which friends					
	visiting refer to our building as the one with Jerry's Pizza. There are					
	plenty of other vacant spaces in Carlyle or Eisenhower or Duke Street all					
Comments:	within walking distance of the Carlyle community. If the community sells					
	out to 7-eleven now because of the slow economy just to garner a deep					

pocket tenant we may regret it later. I do not see how having a 7-eleven

present will attract other businesses. Would I have purchased in the

building if 7-eleven was already here? Absolutely NOT. That's how strongly

I feel that 7-eleven does not exclude upscale regardless how they try to

dress it up. Why are they forcing themselves upon us. Their corporate

executives do not live here and do not care about our property values; they

only care about their bottom line. We have a Whole Foods located within

walking distance and is opened until 10pm to serve our need for a

convenience store. Please vote against this SUP as property values equal

real estate tax dollars.

Thank you for your Vote against this SUP ----

10-17-09



John Mohr <canadahusker@yahoo.com>

10/14/2009 09:19 AM Please respond to John Mohr <canadahusker@yahoo.com> To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov, kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov, council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com, cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: opposition to 7-Eleven proposal



Issue Type:	Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members			
First Name:	John			
Last Name:	Mohr			
Street Address:	520 John Carlyle St #417			
City:	Alexandria			
State:	VA			
Zip:	22314			
Phone:	703-408-7598			
Email Address:	canadahusker@yahoo.com			
Subject:	opposition to 7-Eleven proposal Good morning,			
	This email is to express my continued opposition to the			
	proposal of a 7-Eleven on John Carlyle St. I am a unit owner and resident			
	in Carlyle Square and previously provided comments to the Planning			
	Committee and via the online survey that was presented at the meeting last			
	week.			
	I urge the councilmembers to vote against this 7-Eleven as it			
Comments:	will have significant negative impacts on our community including but not			
	limited to increased crime, loitering, litter, traffic, noise, and decrease			
	in property values.			
	I will be unable to attend the city council			
	meeting this Saturday so this email will serve as my opposition to the			
	7-Eleven.			
	Thank you,			
	John			



Paul Trimber <paul.f.trimber@wellsfargoadvi sors.com>

10/15/2009 02:26 PM Please respond to Paul Trimber <paul.f.trimber@wellsfargoadviso rs.com> To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov, kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov, council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com, cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: Support 7-11

Time: [Thu Oct 15, 2009 14:26:21] Message ID: [16131]

Issue Type:	Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members				
First Name:	Paul				
Last Name:	Trimber				
Street Address:	1900 Duke Street Ste 100				
City:	Alexandria				
State:	Va				
Zip:	22314				
Phone:	703-548-5700				
Email Address:	paul.f.trimber@wellsfargoadvisors.com				
Subject:	Support 7-11				
	I would ask the city council to approve the opening of 7-11 here in the				
	Carlyle area of Alexandria. I work in the area and would welcome a				
	convenience store such as 7-11 in the area. The location they have chosen				
_	would make it a plus not only for the people who work here but the one that				
Comments:	call the area home. In these economic times a solid company such as				
	Southland Corporation see the Carlyle area as an oppotunity to open a new				
	store. The City of Alexandria should welcome them and show other business				
	that the Carlyle area is a place they should consider.				



To whomever it may concern

I live in and own Unit 111, 520 John Carlyle Street, Alexandria, VA 22314 (Carlyle Square). I understand that a Seven Eleven convenience store is planning on moving into my building. <u>I am for the idea</u>. I will appreciate having the convenience of 24/7 shopping in my building.

Sincerely yours,

ames Dava Colans

James Dana Adams, Jr. 520 John Carlyle Street, Unit 111, Alexandria, VA 22314 703 472 2291

5 October 2009



SPECIAL USE PERMIT

APPLICATION

SPECIAL USE PERMIT # 2009-00.33

PROPE	RTY LOCA	TION:	Carlyle Street		
	P REFEREN	CE:073-04-0C-0	0	ZONE:	CDD #1
APPLIC		n, Inc.			
			d, Alexandria,	VA 22312	
		Convenienc			

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, hereby applies for a Special Use Permit in accordance with the provisions of Article XI, Section 4-11-500 of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

[X]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, having obtained permission from the property owner, hereby grants permission to the City of Alexandria staff and Commission Members to visit, inspect, and photograph the building premises, land etc., connected with the application.

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, having obtained permission from the property owner, hereby grants permission to the City of Alexandria to post placard notice on the property for which this application is requested, pursuant to Article IV, Section 4-1404(D)(7) of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

[x]**THE UNDERSIGNED**, hereby attests that all of the information herein provided and specifically including all surveys, drawings, etc., required to be furnished by the applicant are true, correct and accurate to the best of their knowledge and belief. The applicant is hereby notified that any written materials, drawings or illustrations submitted in support of this application and any specific oral representations made to the Director of Planning and Zoning on this application will be binding on the applicant unless those materials or representations are clearly stated to be non-binding or illustrative of general plans and intentions, subject to substantial revision, pursuant to Article XI, Section 11-207(A)(10), of the 1992 Zoning Ordinance of the City of Alexandria, Virginia.

City and State	Zip Code	Email address			
Manassas, Virginia 20110		mvanderpool@	mvanderpool@vfnlaw.com		
Mailing/Street Address		Telephone #	Fax #		
	Street, Suite 400	(703) 369-473	8 (703)369-3653		
Print Name of Applicant or Agent Vanderpool, Frostick, & Nishanian, P.C.		Signature	Date		
Michael R. Va	nderpool, Esquire		5/26/09		

ACTION-PLANNING COMMISSION: <u>Percented Appresente:</u> 1010/09 ACTION-CITY COUNCIL: <u>CC approved PC recommendation 7-0 10/17/09</u> DATE: