

*City of Alexandria, Virginia*10-27-09**MEMORANDUM**

DATE: OCTOBER 21, 2009

TO: THE HONORABLE MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

FROM: JAMES K. HARTMANN, CITY MANAGER

SUBJECT: RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE I-95/395 HOT LANES PROJECT



ISSUE: Consideration of a resolution and further action expressing the sense of Council on the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) proposed I-95/395 Hot Lanes project.

RECOMMENDATION: That City Council: (1) adopt the attached resolution strongly opposing the I-95/395 HOT Lanes project (Attachment 1); (2) reaffirm the City's intent to add HOT Lanes related legislation to the City's Legislative package for consideration during the 2010 General Assembly Session; and (3) direct the City Attorney to provide to Council periodic legal related updates.

BACKGROUND: On March 14, 2009, City Council approved comments for submission to VDOT for the public record of design public hearings on the proposed I-95/395 HOT Lanes project that were held in February 2009. These comments were submitted to VDOT on March 18, 2009 (Attachment 2). On March 20, 2009, City Council adopted Resolution Number 2325 withholding support of the HOT Lanes project until the questions and concerns listed in the resolution were adequately addressed (Attachment 3). VDOT has never answered a majority of these questions, and has not specifically addressed the City's articulated concerns.

On July 20, 2009 Mayor Euille sent a letter to Secretary of Transportation Pierce Homer strongly opposing any HOT Lanes exit at Shirlington, as well as the proposed changes to the Shirlington traffic circle (Attachment 4).

At its October 7, 2009 meeting, the Transportation Commission recommended to City Council that a resolution be adopted not supporting the HOT Lanes project as conceived. (Attachment 5). Specifically, the Commission recommended that the resolution include language expressing explicit concern regarding access at Seminary Road and Shirlington Circle and the direct adverse impacts those conditions would have on Alexandria neighborhoods.

On October 14, 2009 the City Council held a work session to review the HOT Lanes project and on October 20, 2009 City Council held a public hearing to hear public testimony. Overwhelmingly, at this hearing the public expressed their dissatisfaction with the HOT Lanes project. The public expressed concerns about the negative impacts, specifically, cut through traffic and noise that this project would bring to the community. In addition, the persons testifying noted that the Federal National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process which resulted in the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) granting a Categorical Exclusion, which then avoided necessary environmental studies, was both inappropriate and the process did not afford the staff and the public access to key information. Moreover, the NEPA Categorical Exclusion was so limited in analysis that it did not adequately analyze such significant aspects such as socio-economic, quality of life, impact to historic district, such as Parkfairfax and Fairlington, traffic operations and the environment.

As part of the proposed City 2010 Legislative Package (docket item #14), the City of Alexandria is requesting legislation to require NEPA studies for the proposed I-95/I-395 HOT Lanes. It is proposed that the City ask its delegation to propose legislation that would direct VDOT to undertake any NEPA studies relating to the proposed I-95/395 HOT Lanes that would have been required if a Categorical Exclusion by FHWA had not been granted. This proposed HOT Lanes resolution reflects this proposed City legislative position.

Finally, the resolution also includes language which reflects the fact that VDOT has promised an I-95/395 Corridor Bus Rapid Transit Study, but has yet to complete and release the study. Given that mass transit is a key, critical component of any I-95/395 transportation initiative, no HOT Lanes project should be undertaken until the results of that study are known, and can be considered as part of any transportation initiative.

The attached resolution was drafted to incorporate the above issues.

ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment 1. Draft Resolution
- Attachment 2. March 18, 2009 Comments to VDOT
- Attachment 3. Resolution Number 2325
- Attachment 4. July 20, 2009 letter from Mayor to Secretary of Transportation
- Attachment 5. October 8, 2009 letter from Transportation Commission

STAFF:

- Mark Jinks, Deputy City Manager
- James Banks Jr., City Attorney
- Rich Baier PE, Director, Transportation and Environmental Services
- Bob Garbacz PE, Division Chief, Transportation Division

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has asked the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) numerous questions regarding the implementation and impacts of the proposed HOT Lanes project; and

WHEREAS, the majority of those questions have gone unanswered by VDOT thus making it impossible for the City of Alexandria to adequately review this project; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria is concerned that this project, as presently conceived, will have significant adverse impacts on mobility and quality of life along this corridor; and

WHEREAS, the Parkfairfax Historic District and part of the Fairlington Historic District will be severely impacted by the proposed HOT Lanes project; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has requested documentation from the HOT Lanes project team that indicates how the HOT lanes will benefit Alexandria as well as how possible adverse impacts of the HOT lanes to Alexandria are being addressed; and

WHEREAS, the HOT Lanes project team has not provided substantive documentation to indicate how the HOT lanes will benefit Alexandria, as well as how possible adverse impacts of the HOT lanes to Alexandria are being addressed; and

WHEREAS, Arlington County, along with others, have entered into or are contemplating legal proceedings that raise numerous questions and concerns about the HOT Lanes project; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria agrees with Arlington that the environmental documentation for this proposed project was not properly prepared; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria believes the concepts for the Shirlington Circle and for the Seminary Road Interchange will have a negative impact on Alexandria neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria does agree that traffic congestion along I-95/395 creates substantial challenges for Alexandria, the region and the new BRAC project at the Mark Center in Alexandria; and

WHEREAS, according to the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC), during the morning peak period, the two existing HOV lanes on I-95/395 outside the Capital Beltway carry about 25 percent more people than the four conventional lanes, and inside the Beltway the existing HOV lanes carry 50 percent more people than the

conventional lanes; and

WHEREAS, the VDOT proposed study to establish Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Service in the I-95/I-395 corridor has not yet been completed, and results are unknown; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has a strong desire to preserve and improve the person throughput on this corridor; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria is convinced that these traffic congestion problems cannot be solved by building more and more roads and that mass-transportation solutions are the only sustainable and long-term ways to effectively address I-95/395 traffic congestion; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria strongly opposes the I-95/395 HOT Lanes project as currently proposed and will undertake a legislative initiative to be considered at the 2010 General Assembly Session; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria strongly supports the concept of direct access from I-95/395 to the BRAC 133 site at Mark Center, and looks forward to reviewing the alternatives to be analyzed in VDOT's forthcoming Intersection Justification Report.

Adopted: _____

William D. Euille, Mayor

ATTEST:

Jacqueline M. Henderson, CMC City Clerk

City of Alexandria, Virginia
Comments on the I-95/395 HOV/Bus/HOT Lanes
March 18, 2009

Project Concept

1. Based on the operational analysis summarized in the Interchange Justification Report (IJR), the overall benefits of the project appear minimal, with relatively limited increase in the volume of traffic served and predominately "neutral impacts" on traffic operations. Moreover, project benefits appear more pronounced in the southern segments of the project than in the northern segments, particularly on I-395 inside the Capital Beltway. What benefits, if any, are projected within the I-395 portion of the corridor as a result of this project?
2. The summarized IJR analysis results do not distinguish between the general purpose lanes and the HOV/bus/HOT lanes. These results must be disaggregated to separately identify the project benefits and impacts on the general purpose and reserved use lanes.
3. The current I-395 HOV/transit facility is functioning satisfactorily, with the exception of recurring congestion near its northern terminus, and the proposed project appears to only exacerbate this condition. Additional information demonstrating that the receiving roadway network can adequately serve the increased volume of traffic projected to enter and depart the HOV/bus/HOT lanes near the northern terminus during peak periods is requested for review and consideration of all potentially impacted local jurisdictions.
4. As conceived, this project is more supportive of continued suburbanization than of local jurisdiction plans for transit-supportive urban development and transportation systems appropriate for that environment. With our local streets significantly impacted by commuter vehicular traffic on a daily basis, Alexandria is concerned that this project will result in even greater commuter impact on our local streets and neighborhoods. Analyses to date have been limited the I-95/395 corridor and immediately adjacent local streets. We request that these analyses be expanded to include all impacted local streets, and that project agreements include both financial and operational provisions that can effectively avoid or mitigate all adverse impacts to our local streets.

Design and Operational Elements

5. As currently designed, the project requires 18 design exceptions and waivers, the majority of these relating to lane and shoulder width in the northern segments. The effects of these exceptions and waivers on safety have not been, but must be adequately addressed. Unless the safety of the HOV/bus/HOT lanes can be

reasonably assured, the final project agreements must include provisions that discontinue HOT lane operations inside the Capital Beltway and return to existing HOV/transit conditions based on an independent finding that the safety performance of the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes has failed to maintain the current level of public safety.

6. Alexandria concurs with those who have questioned the adequacy of the traffic modeling used to support the project's environmental documentation and review, and joins in their call for the basis of the approved categorical exclusion to be thoroughly reviewed to ensure that this determination was made in full compliance with federal environmental requirements.
7. The proposed new south-facing access ramp at Seminary Road, designated for transit use only, raises a number of questions for the City of Alexandria. We request clarification or additional information on the following:
 - How will the transit-only restriction be enforced to insure minimal violation rates?
 - Believing the transit-only restriction will prove difficult to effectively enforce, what will be the impacts of HOV/HOT traffic using this access, either as violators or permitted users if the transit-only restriction is removed, on local streets and neighborhoods in the area?
 - The interchange turning platform has restrictive geometry. Will full-size transit vehicles be able to effectively navigate this platform? Will the proposed BRT service be able to navigate this platform?
 - VDOT is currently working with the City and the Department of Defense in seeking approval of a modification of this interchange to provide direct ingress and egress to the adjacent BRAC 133 site. Will the proposed new south-facing access point preclude this modification?
 - What impacts, if any, are anticipated on local streets and the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes during periods of heightened security levels at the BRAC 133 site?
8. Proposed changes to the Shirlington / Quaker Lane interchange include the addition of a new south-facing entry point to the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes, five new traffic signals, one at each of the interchange entry points, and additional lane capacity on both the rotary and interchange approaches. Staff in both Alexandria and Arlington are concerned that this interchange does not adequately serve pedestrian and bicycle traffic, cannot be operated satisfactorily and may experience unacceptable traffic backups on the local roadways. Alexandria needs from VDOT convincing information indicating that the facility will operate in a satisfactory manner after modification to accommodate the HOT lanes.

Transit and HOV Operations

9. The proposed TDM/Transit concessions and BRT service are the most significant benefits that this project offers for the inner-beltway jurisdictions, and must be included in the final project scope. Alexandria will oppose approval of any final scope that does not include these transit programs.

10. Alexandria considers the proposed BRT operation in the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes an essential element of this project; however, there are significant concerns about the operation of and access to the associated in-line station at Seminary Road. We are aware of the BRT operational study that is currently underway and ask that options to incorporate this service into the transit center being constructed as part of the BRAC 133 facilities be identified and evaluated, in addition to the in-line station. The City will reserve comment on this element until the findings and recommendations of that study are available.
11. There are currently sixty-eight (68) transit buses (DASH, WMATA, Fairfax County Connector, and PRTC) per hour using the existing HOV lanes during the morning peak and seventy-eight (78) transit buses per hour during the evening. The lane narrowing for conversion from two to three lanes, the narrower shoulders and the addition of HOT lane traffic will likely decrease the operating speed for transit vehicles and deteriorate the transit service delivered by all local and regional providers. Alexandria needs to know the extent to which transit speeds will decrease for transit vehicles using the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes and who will fund the additional capital and operating costs associated with maintaining current service levels.

Enforcement and Emergency Response

12. Originally it was indicated that automated technology would be used to enforce HOT lane compliance. It now appears this will not be the case. A clear and comprehensive enforcement plan should be developed and made available to local jurisdictions and the public, specifically addressing:
 - The use of electronic or photographic enforcement techniques;
 - The agency or agencies responsible for enforcement;
 - How enforcement will be effectively accomplished without compromising safety or unduly impacting operations; and
 - What is the estimated cost of enforcement and how will it be funded.
13. Some aspects of the emergency/incident response plans for this project need clarification and/or better definition. These include:
 - How will emergencies, such as collisions and vehicle breakdowns, be managed in order to maintain operations with minimal disruption? Is there a rapid response/clearance policy or plan?
 - Will local first responders be expected to respond to emergencies and/or incidents in the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes? If so, what funding is being provided to offset increased costs to local jurisdictions?
 - How will snow removal be handled and what performance standards will apply? In segments with reduced shoulder widths, will snow be trucked to a disposal site, and if so, where is it located?

RESOLUTION NO. 2325

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) is negotiating with a private firm, Fluor/Transurban, to expand and extend the existing two-lane high occupancy vehicle (HOV) facility on I-95/395 into a three-lane high occupancy toll (HOT) facility between Spotsylvania and Arlington counties, a portion of which is located within the City of Alexandria; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria is concerned that this project may have significant adverse impacts on mobility and quality of life along this corridor; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has requested documentation from the HOT lanes project team that indicates how the HOT lanes will benefit Alexandria as well as how possible adverse impacts of the HOT lanes to Alexandria are being addressed; and

WHEREAS, according to the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC), during the morning peak period, the two existing HOV lanes on I-95/395 outside the Capital Beltway carry about 25 percent more people than the four conventional lanes, and inside the Beltway the existing HOV lanes carry 50 percent more people than the conventional lanes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria is committed to preserving and improving the person throughput on this corridor; and

WHEREAS, local jurisdictions and regional transportation organizations of which Alexandria is a voting member, including the NVTC and the Northern Virginia Transportation Authority (NVTA), have expressed concerns about this project and its potential impacts on transit and mobility in the region; and

WHEREAS, most of these concerns have not been adequately addressed or resolved; and

WHEREAS, despite these outstanding concerns, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has concluded that the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and Fluor/Transurban have satisfied the conditions laid out on August 31, 2006 for a Categorical Exclusion (CE), despite the numerous design exceptions and waivers that are required to construct the project and that will make the HOT lanes less safe and less usable as a transit facility; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria believes that the environmental documentation for this proposed project was not properly prepared nor did it receive adequate review, and that this project will have an adverse impact on the citizens of Alexandria and the Northern Virginia region as it is currently designed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria must withhold its support for the I-95/395 HOV/Bus/HOT lanes project until the issues, questions and concerns herein expressed are adequately addressed.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria requests that:

1. VDOT and Fluor/Transurban provide additional information specifically detailing project impacts and benefits within the northern segment of the I-395 portion of the corridor;
2. The operational analysis results presented in the project Interchange Justification Report (IJR) be disaggregated to separately present the project benefits and impacts on the general purpose and reserved use lanes;
3. Additional information be provided clearly demonstrating that the receiving street network at the northern project terminus can satisfactorily serve the projected increases in traffic demand as a result of this project;
4. The project demonstrate its consistency with local jurisdictions plans for transit-supportive development, expand its operational analyses to include all impacted local streets, and include in any subsequent project agreements financial and operational provisions to mitigate all adverse impacts;

5. Unless the project can provide convincing evidence that the numerous design exceptions and waivers will not compromise the safety of the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes, any final project agreement define safety performance standards for the project and require that HOT operations be discontinued inside the Capital Beltway based on an independent finding that the actual safety performance of the facility has failed to meet those standards.
6. The environmental documentation submitted by Fluor/Transurban be re-examined by VDOT and FHWA, including a thorough review of the required design exceptions and waivers, and that both agencies work directly with each local jurisdiction to ensure that the impacts to localities resulting from this project are fully identified and adequately addressed in the environmental document and any subsequent project agreements.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria requests additional information specifically addressing the following issues, concerns and questions with regard to the I-395/Seminary Road interchange:

1. How will the transit-only restriction be enforced to insure minimal violation rates?
2. In the event the transit-only restriction cannot be adequately enforced, what will be the impacts of HOV/HOT traffic using this access, either as violators or permitted users if the transit-only restriction is removed, on local streets and neighborhoods in the area?
3. The interchange turning platform has restrictive geometry. Will full-size transit vehicles be able to effectively navigate this platform? Will the proposed BRT service be able to navigate this platform?
4. VDOT is currently working with the City and the Department of Defense in seeking approval of a modification of this interchange to provide direct ingress and egress to the adjacent BRAC 133 site. Will the proposed new south-facing access point preclude this modification?
5. What impacts, if any, are anticipated on local streets and the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes during periods of heightened security levels at the BRAC 133 site?

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria requests additional evidence that the reconfigured Shirlington/Quaker Lane interchange will operate in a satisfactory manner, including traffic flow around the rotary, reasonable pedestrian/bicycle accommodations and avoiding unacceptable queuing on interchange approaches.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, improved transit and HOV operations is the primary benefit of this project, the project design should reflect this priority and the City of Alexandria will strongly oppose any final project agreement that does not include significant improvements to transit and HOV services, including, but not limited to, the proposed bus rapid transit (BRT) service operating in the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes and project concession payments to support off-line transit service improvements.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria requests information specifically identifying the impact of the proposed project on the travel times of transit services currently operating in the I-95/I-395 HOV lanes and who will fund any additional capital and operating costs that may be incurred in order to maintain current service levels.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria requests clarification of several issues, questions and concerns regarding HOT lane enforcement, specifically:

1. To what extent will electronic or photographic enforcement techniques be used?
2. What agency or agencies will be responsible for enforcement?
3. How enforcement will be effectively accomplished without compromising safety or unduly impacting operations?
4. What is the estimated cost of enforcement and how will it be funded?

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria requests clarification of several issues, questions and concerns regarding emergency/incident response for the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes, specifically:


1. How will emergencies, such as collisions and vehicle breakdowns, be managed in order to maintain operations with minimal disruption? Is there a rapid response/clearance policy or plan?
2. Will local first responders be expected to respond to emergencies and/or incidents in the HOV/Bus/HOT lanes? If so, what funding is being provided to offset increased costs to local jurisdictions?
3. How will snow removal be handled and what performance standards will apply? In segments with reduced shoulder widths, will snow be trucked to a disposal site, and if so, where will it be located?

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City of Alexandria requests that VDOT and Fluor/Transurban fully address in detail each of the issues, questions and concerns contained in this Resolution, as well as respond back to the City in a timely manner.

ADOPTED: March 24, 2009


WILLIAM D. EUILLE MAYOR

ATTEST:


Jacqueline M. Henderson, CMC City Clerk



City of Alexandria, Virginia
301 King Street, Suite 2300
Alexandria, Virginia 22314



William D. Euille
Mayor

July 20, 2009

City Hall: (703) 838-4500
Home: (703) 836-2680
Fax: (703) 838-6433
alexvamayor@aol.com

The Honorable Pierce Homer
Secretary of Transportation
1111 East Broad Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Dear Secretary Homer:

I am writing on behalf of the City of Alexandria concerning the proposed HOT lanes project at Shirlington Circle. While we appreciate the fact that potential Shirlington Circle and Seminary Road access is now not likely planned for "Phase I," many questions remain and area residents continue to ask the same questions that we asked in our letter to VDOT of March 18, 2009. While we have been promised a reply, to date we have received no answers.

The HOT lanes project is of major concern to this community. At the meeting of the Parkfairfax Condominium Board of Directors on June 25, 2009, more than 250 individuals were present. In nearly 3 decades of public service, I have rarely witnessed a gathering as large as this with such raw emotions and intense concerns. The community concerns raised are legitimate and include many of the questions the Council posed in our letter of March 18, 2009, and the Resolution passed by Council this spring.

In analyzing the issues discussed at the June 25, 2009, meeting it is clear to the members of the Alexandria City Council that the Shirlington traffic circle should not become an exit point for the HOT lanes in "Phase I" or in any subsequent HOT lanes phases. Changing the traffic circle to accommodate HOT lanes threatens adjacent neighborhoods and the traffic circle itself neither has the capacity nor room for expanded capacity to handle HOT lanes traffic without having negatively impacting the immediate neighborhoods and adjacent areas. Shirlington is not a major employment center and little new development is now planned for that area. A HOT lanes exit in this location will simply become a bail out point for traffic seeking alternate paths to destinations through residential neighborhoods.

In addition, the City has many other concerns, shared with Arlington County, the Pentagon, and with the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, about the HOT lanes proposal that need to be discussed face-to-face with VDOT. We look forward to meeting with VDOT soon in a joint meeting with these other concerned and impacted parties.

"Home Town of George Washington and Robert E. Lee"

The Honorable Pierce Homer

July 20, 2009

Page 2

To conclude, in order to preserve and maintain the existing neighborhoods, commercial development and most livable quality of this multi-jurisdictional area, the Alexandria City Council strongly opposes any HOT lanes exit at Shirlington, as well as the proposed changes to the Shirlington traffic circle. The City Council has also not changed its 2003 position in regard to not providing access to the Seminary Road interchange from the HOT/HOV lanes.

Sincerely,



William D. Euille

Mayor

cc: Julia A. Connally, Commonwealth Transportation Board
J. Douglas Koelemay, Commonwealth Transportation Board
Ronaldo T. Nicholson, Regional Transportation Program Director, VDOT
The Honorable Members of City Council
Barbara A. Favola, Chairman, Arlington County Board
Chairman and Members, Alexandria Transportation Commission
James K. Hartmann, City Manager



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

alexandriava.gov

P. O. Box 178 - City Hall
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Mayor William D. Euille and Members of City Council
City Hall
301 King Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

October 8, 2009

Re: I-395 HOT Lanes

Dear Mayor Euille and Members of City Council:

At its October 7, 2009 meeting, the Transportation Commission discussed the proposed I-95 I-395 HOT Lanes project. In advance of the City Council's work session on October 13, 2009 to discuss the transportation and legal issues surrounding the HOT Lanes project, the Transportation Commission recommends that the Council adopt a resolution not to support the I-95 I-395 HOT Lanes project as currently conceived.

Furthermore, the Commission recommends that the resolution include language expressing explicit concern regarding access at Seminary Road and Shirlington Circle and the direct adverse impacts those connections would have on Alexandria neighborhoods.

We appreciate your consideration of the Transportation Commission's recommendations.

Sincerely,

Sean Kumar

Sean Kumar
Chair, Alexandria Transportation Commission

cc: Alexandria Transportation Commission

1M

10-27-09



Heather Rogers
<rogers.heather@comcast.net>

10/28/2009 02:39 PM

Please respond to
Heather Rogers
<rogers.heather@comcast.net>

To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov,
kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov,
council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com,

cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: 10/27/09 Council Meeting & Hot Lanes

[REDACTED]

Time: [Wed Oct 28, 2009 14:39:34] Message ID: [16478]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Heather

Last Name: Rogers

Street Address: 3301 Valley Drive

City: Alexandria

State: va

Zip: 22302

Phone:

Email Address: rogers.heather@comcast.net

Subject: 10/27/09 Council Meeting & Hot Lanes

Dear Mr. Mayor and Council Members,

Thank you all very much for

taking a strong stance against the hot lanes project last night by passing
the revised city council resolution.

And, although as Mr. Vice Mayor

Donley said last night that it was mainly a symbolic gesture it still sends
a clear message to VDOT and its partners that we will not be ignored. And,
as he further expressed it is confounding to think that VDOT would allow
one of its partners to treat its clients in such a disrespectful manner. I
believe it would be fair to say that most everyone in Parkfairfax and many
of the surrounding areas would agree with that line of thinking.

How is

council supposed to make the best decisions for its citizens, in regards to
quality of life issues, fiscal matters, and long range planning, to name a

few, without having the appropriate information to do so? This is

Comments: potentially a 75 year long contract so... it is unbelievable to expect the cities of Alexandria, Arlington and surrounding jurisdictions to deal with the aftermath of what this project could do to our region if it is imposed upon us; not allowing our localities to be part of the decision making process.

So, thank you all for your courage in taking this stance against the hot lanes project.

And, as you can imagine by now, the Parkfairfax Hot Lanes task force has gathered a very large number of articles and documents pertaining to this project. If council or Mr. Banks is in need of some information then let us know as we may be able to assist in this matter.

Sincerely,
Heather Rogers

17

10-27-09



Greg Cota
<grcota@hotmail.com>

10/28/2009 03:14 PM

Please respond to
Greg Cota <grcota@hotmail.com>

To william.euille@alexandriava.gov, frank.fannon@alexandriava.gov,
kerry.donley@alexandriava.gov, alicia.hughes@alexandriava.gov,
council@krupicka.com, delpepper@aol.com,

cc

bcc

Subject COA Contact Us: HOT Lanes

[REDACTED]

Time: [Wed Oct 28, 2009 15:14:12] Message ID: [16480]

Issue Type: Mayor, Vice Mayor, and Council Members

First Name: Greg

Last Name: Cota

Street Address: 1142 Valley Drive

City: Alexandria

State: VA

Zip: 22302

Phone: 7032175923

Email Address: grcota@hotmail.com

Subject: HOT Lanes

Thank you very much for your support of the resolution opposing the
I-95/I-395 HOT lanes project.

The residents of Parkfairfax really
appreciate all of the attention you have given to our plight. And we are
grateful many of you personally came to our community to talk with

Comments: neighbors about our concerns.

While the fight isn't over, your actions
send a strong message that encouraging more single-occupancy drivers is
simply not the right solution to Virginia's transportation problems.

Thanks again, Greg

10-27-09

"Joanne Lepanto"
<JLepanto@bostonpacific.co
m>
10/27/2009 02:59 PM

To <William.Euille@alexandriava.gov>, "Joanne Lepanto"
<president@seminaryhillassn.org>
cc "Councilwoman Alicia Hughes"
<Alicia.Hughes@alexandriava.gov>, "Councilman Rob
Krupicka" <council@krupicka.com>, "Councilwoman Del
bcc
Subject RE: Resolution on VDOT HOT Lanes Project

History:

This message has been replied to.

Hello Bill,

Thank you very much for your e-mail. I see your point, and I definitely did not intend to leave anyone out, nor would I suggest that Council do so, but here is why I proposed the wording I did

...

1. From my position, I would not want to presume to speak for anyone other than the Seminary Hill Association, Inc.
2. Seminary Road runs right through the heart of Seminary Hill territory.
3. The major cut-through routes accessed from the Seminary Road interchange—Seminary Road (East), Howard Street, Braddock Road, Fort Williams Parkway, St. Stephens Road/North Garland Street/Colonel Ellis Avenue, Trinity Drive, Jordan Street and Quaker Lane—are largely located within the boundaries of Seminary Hill. For example, with the exception of the apartments just east of I-395, Seminary Hill encompasses all of the residential neighborhoods on and accessed via Seminary Road from I-395 to Quaker Lane (plus the western end of Janney's Lane). The same is true for Quaker Lane from Duke Street to Braddock Road, as well as Braddock from Quaker to Van Dorn, and Howard from south of Seminary to Braddock. Fort Williams, St. Stephens, North Garland and Colonel Ellis are completely within the boundaries of Seminary Hill.
4. I think it is important to include the characterization of Seminary Road as a residential street.

Having said all that, how about the following:

"WHEREAS, Seminary Road is a residential street and any access from the proposed HOT/Bus/HOV Lanes onto Seminary Road or into the Seminary Road interchange would negatively impact Seminary Hill and nearby communities by allowing and encouraging cut-through traffic through Seminary Hill and other residential neighborhoods."

On behalf of Seminary Hill, I sincerely appreciate all of the time and attention you and the other members of City Council are giving this matter. See you tonight.

Best regards,
Joanne

cc: Seminary Hill Association, Inc. Board of Directors

From: William.Euille@alexandriava.gov [mailto:William.Euille@alexandriava.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2009 10:24 AM
To: Joanne Lepanto
Cc: Councilwoman Alicia Hughes; 'Councilman Rob Krupicka'; Councilwoman Del Pepper; Councilwoman Del Pepper; Councilman Frank Fannon; Joanne Lepanto; Vice Mayor Kerry Donley; Councilman Paul Smedberg; Councilman Rob Krupicka
Subject: Re: Resolution on VDOT HOT Lanes Project

Thanks Joanne for the suggestion for which I will support adding to the resolution. Rather than specifically noting "Seminary Hills" can we just refer to "the immediate neighborhoods" to avoid pitting one area against another, since there are many neighborhoods which will feel the impacts.

Always,
Bill

"Joanne Lepanto"
<president@seminaryhillassn.org>
To <william.euille@alexandriava.gov>, "Vice Mayor Kerry Donley" <Kerry.Donley@alexandriava.gov>, "Councilman Frank Fannon" <Frank.Fannon@alexandriava.gov>, "Councilman Paul Smedberg" <paulcsmedberg@aol.com>, "Councilman Rob Krupicka" <council@krupicka.com>, "Councilman Rob Krupicka" <rob@krupicka.com>, "Councilwoman Alicia Hughes" <Alicia.Hughes@alexandriava.gov>, "Councilwoman Del Pepper" <Del.Pepper@alexandriava.gov>, "Councilwoman Del Pepper" <delpepper@aol.com>
CC "Joanne Lepanto" <jlepanto@bostonpacific.com>
Subj Resolution on VDOT HOT Lanes Project
ect

Dear Mayor Euille, Vice Mayor Donley and Members of City Council:

I respectfully request that the following clause explicitly referencing Seminary Hill neighborhoods be added to the VDOT HOT Lanes Project resolution you will consider this evening.

"WHEREAS, Seminary Road is a residential street and any access from the proposed HOT/Bus/HOV Lanes onto Seminary Road or into the Seminary Road interchange would negatively impact the Seminary Hill community by allowing and encouraging cut-through traffic through Seminary Hill's residential

neighborhoods."

As always, thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Joanne Lepanto
President, Seminary Hill Association, Inc.
Co-Chair, Alexandria Federation of Civic Associations
Civic Association Liaison, West End Business Association
Member, Landmark/Van Dorn Advisory Group
4009 North Garland Street
Alexandria, VA 22304
703-823-1241 (before 9:00 p.m.)
president@seminaryhillassn.org
jlepanto@bostonpacific.com

RESOLUTION NO. 2366

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has asked the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) numerous questions regarding the implementation and impacts of the proposed HOT Lanes project; and

WHEREAS, the majority of those questions have gone unanswered by VDOT thus making it impossible for the City of Alexandria to adequately review this project; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria is concerned that this project, as presently conceived, will have significant adverse impacts on mobility and quality of life along this corridor; and

WHEREAS, the Parkfairfax Historic District and part of the Fairlington Historic District will be severely impacted by the proposed HOT Lanes project; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has requested documentation from the HOT Lanes project team that indicates how the HOT lanes will benefit Alexandria as well as how possible adverse impacts of the HOT lanes to Alexandria are being addressed; and

WHEREAS, the HOT Lanes project team has not provided substantive documentation to indicate how the HOT lanes will benefit Alexandria, as well as how possible adverse impacts of the HOT lanes to Alexandria are being addressed; and

WHEREAS, Arlington County, along with others, have entered into or are contemplating legal proceedings that raise numerous questions and concerns about the HOT Lanes project; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria agrees with Arlington that the environmental documentation for this proposed project was not properly prepared; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria believes the concepts for the Shirlington Circle and for the Seminary Road Interchange will have a negative impact on Alexandria neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, Alexandria does agree that traffic congestion along I-95/395 creates substantial challenges for Alexandria, the region and the new BRAC project at the Mark Center in Alexandria; and

WHEREAS, Seminary Road is a residential street and any access from the proposed HOT/Bus/HOV Lanes onto Seminary Road or into the Seminary Road interchange would negatively impact Seminary Hill communities and adjacent neighborhoods by allowing and encouraging cut-through traffic through Seminary Hill and other residential neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, according to the Northern Virginia Transportation Commission (NVTC), during the morning peak period, the two existing HOV lanes on I-95/395 outside the Capital Beltway carry about 25 percent more people than the four conventional lanes, and inside the Beltway the existing HOV lanes carry 50 percent more people than the conventional lanes; and

WHEREAS, the VDOT proposed study to establish Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Service in the I-95/I-395 corridor has not yet been completed, and results are unknown; and


WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria has a strong desire to preserve and improve the person throughput on this corridor; and

WHEREAS, the City of Alexandria is convinced that these traffic congestion problems cannot be solved by building more and more roads and that mass-transportation solutions are the only sustainable and long-term ways to effectively address I-95/395 traffic congestion; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria strongly opposes the I-95/395 HOT Lanes project as currently proposed and will undertake a legislative initiative to be considered at the 2010 General Assembly Session; and


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the City of Alexandria strongly supports the concept of direct access from I-95/395 to the BRAC 133 site at Mark Center, and looks forward to reviewing the alternatives to be analyzed in VDOT's forthcoming Intersection Justification Report.

Adopted: October 27, 2009



William D. Euille, Mayor

ATTEST:



Jacqueline M. Henderson, MMC City Clerk