City of Alexandria, Virginia

MEMORANDUM

DATE: DECEMBER 23, 2008

TO: CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF PLANNING COMMISSION

FROM: RICH JOSEPHSON, DEPUTY DIRECTOR, PLANNING AND ZONING

SUBJECT: REPORT ON FEASIBILITY OF REDEVELOPMENT OF THE OLD SAFEWAY SITE IN ARLANDRIA

ISSUES
The primary issues addressed in this staff report are:

- Consideration of a report on the feasibility of redevelopment of the old Safeway site in Arlandria
- Actions taken to date in implementing the Arlandria Plan,
- Ongoing Community Concerns,
- Interim use(s) of the old Safeway site
- Actions that can be implemented to help spur redevelopment of Safeway site and have a positive impact on redevelopment elsewhere in Arlandria

DESCRIPTION OF SAFEWAY SITE
The site, located near the intersection of Mt. Vernon Avenue and West Glebe Road in Arlandria, is developed with a building of approximately 20,000 square feet previously used as a Safeway grocery store. The remainder of the site is a striped, asphalt parking lot. Access to the site is from both West Glebe Road and Mount Vernon Avenue.

In 2002, the building was divided into three retail units and significant work was performed on the site. A curb cut on West Glebe was closed, the parking lot was reconfigured and restriped, and landscaping and other site improvements were installed. Current tenants in the building are a Duron paint store at the northern end, a Dollar Plus store in the center, and a restaurant, known as Cerro Verde, at the southern end.

BACKGROUND
In 2002, City Council granted special use permits for two new restaurant uses in the old Safeway building near the intersection of Glebe Road and Mt. Vernon Avenue. As a condition of approval, Council asked that the special use permits be re-docketed for consideration five years from the date of approval “to assess the feasibility and timing of redevelopment based on the market evaluation of economic feasibility of redevelopment
undertaken by the City and the property owner pursuant to a separate agreement reached by those parties.”

In 2007, the Weissberg Corporation, owner of the property, and the City began to initiate a study to explore the feasibility of redevelopment of the site. The study was completed in May 2008 and is titled Development Feasibility Analysis: Safeway Site: 221 West Glebe Road. It was prepared by Sarah Woodworth of W-ZHA, LLC, and is attached to this staff report.

The purpose of the study was to determine whether the redevelopment of the Safeway site, as envisioned in Arlandria’s “Long-Term Vision Plan” is economically feasible given near term market realities.

To better understand the results of the study, it is important to understand the background of planning for Arlandria.

**Arlandria Planning Background**

In June 2000, a Task Force comprised of community representatives, residents, and business owners was created to assist in the planning for the redevelopment of key sites in the Potomac West area north of Glebe Road, in the neighborhood known as Arlandria. The Task Force identified a vision for the overall area and for two key redevelopment sites, the Safeway/Datatel site and the East Reed Avenue site. Following this work, it was recognized that there were other community concerns that had not been addressed and that there was a need and desire for a long-range plan for the remainder of the commercial property fronting on Mount Vernon Avenue in Arlandria.

At the direction of City Council, in January 2002, the City Manager convened the Upper Potomac West/Arlandria Work Group to identify and address the community concerns as well as to advise and assist Department of Planning and Zoning staff in the preparation of the action and vision plans. The Work Group developed an action plan to address neighborhood concerns and issues in the immediate, mid and long term, and a long-range vision plan to guide both redevelopment of the key “opportunity sites” in Arlandria and infill development activities on other commercial sites on Mount Vernon Avenue as set forth in “A Long-Term Vision and Action Plan for the Arlandria Neighborhood.”

Known collectively as the "Arlandria Neighborhood Plans," these Plans were adopted by City Council in June 2003. Broadly stated, the goal of the Plans is to build on the strength of Arlandria as a pedestrian-oriented, mixed use place, encouraging the redevelopment of underutilized sites such as Safeway/Datatel. The Plans consist of two complementary redevelopment plans for the greater Arlandria neighborhood that provide a blueprint for present and future development activities in Arlandria; an interim marketing strategy to strengthen existing businesses and attract new businesses that will both improve the market and encourage redevelopment activities; retail guidelines to improve individual storefronts; and, an action plan to address community concerns with an implementation schedule for immediate, mid and long term improvements.
The Arlandria/Upper Potomac West Neighborhood Plan envisioned the redevelopment of the Safeway/Datatel site with a large, unified, mixed use building complex, to become a strong focal point for the revitalization of the neighborhood. This site has been designated as a CDD (Coordinated Development District) to incentivize redevelopment. CDDs allow for greater density and height than would normally be permitted. The Safeway/Datatel site allows up to a 3.0 gross floor area (GFA). Uses encouraged per the CDD guidelines include a mixed use, neighborhood center that provides a retail anchor and supporting retail, office and live/work or residential uses with public and private parking. A minimum of 10 percent of the total number of residential units shall be affordable units.

Marketing and Retail Strategy
As part of the Arlandria planning process, a marketing and retail strategy was developed in order to confirm that the proposed long-range vision is feasible from a market perspective, to identify an interim strategy to improve existing businesses and to attract new business, and to strengthen the market over the next five years (from Plan adoption) to encourage the redevelopment of the Safeway-Datatel site.

An important component of the Retail Strategy is building upon the entertainment and restaurant opportunities generated by the location of the Birchmere. Key to the success of the marketing strategy is bringing together the existing businesses to develop as a business organization that will create and implement a marketing and promotions program, plan events, develop consistent business hours and other business enhancement activities. This proactive retail strategy includes public improvements, guidelines/loans to assist business owners with the improvement of their storefront facades, zoning tools and incentives to leverage new private investment, and the marketing and promotions of the business district.

Propensity to Change
The Arlandria Plan also addressed the propensity of the Safeway/Datatel site to change over time. The Plan found that this site is expected to redevelopment with a change in the market and potential parking structure support from the City. Since the Plan’s adoption, the City has purchased and demolished the Datatel Building. That site has been utilized in the short-term as a parking lot to serve the needs of the neighborhood.

SPECIAL USE PERMITS

There have been a number of Special Use Permits approved for uses within the old Safeway building. On February 23, 2002, City Council granted two Special Use Permits for new uses in this building. Special Use Permit #2001-0120 was granted to Pamela Cheng for operation of the Empire Buffet restaurant located at 251 West Glebe Road. Special Use Permit #2001-0119 was also granted to Pamela Cheng, and was for a retail/restaurant Gourmet Market with 20 seats and carryout service. In 2004, City Council approved a new SUP for the Gourmet Market space, SUP#2004-0025, authorizing Paula Coleto to operate a Peruvian full service restaurant there.
In 2007, as required by the previous SUP, the owner of the site, the Weissberg Corporation, and the City began to initiate a study to explore the feasibility of redevelopment of the site. At the same time, after the City purchased four properties at the north end of Mount Vernon Avenue near the Four Mile Run Park, including a Duron paint store, the paint business moved to the subject property and replaced the Chinese Buffet restaurant as the new tenant for that space. The paint store is a use permitted by right and did not require approval of a SUP. In addition, there was a change to the Peruvian restaurant space. A new restauranteur, Latin Del Ray, LLC, filed an application for a change of ownership for that tenant space. In order to avoid a large vacant space while the economic study was considered amidst a rapidly declining economic and real estate market, staff approved SUP #2007-0092 for Latin Del Ray, for a change of ownership, retaining all of the prior conditions, including the requirement for a one year review and for the term of the SUP to be consistent with the outcome of the proposed economic study.

**ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDY**

The City and the property owner, the Weissberg Corporation, commissioned a study to analyze the near term feasibility of redeveloping the property, known as 221 West Glebe Road. The findings of the Study were provided at an Arlandria community meeting in on October 16, 2008.

The study analyzed whether the redevelopment of the site, as envisioned in Arlandria’s Long-Term Vision Plan, is economically feasible given near term market realities. The consultant focused on residential, office, and retail redevelopment opportunities.

The study concluded that condominium development on the subject site is not feasible in the near term based on the following factors:

- the capital markets are generally not interested in financing condominiums at this time;
- the site is in a location that is untested from a condominium standpoint – there are no direct comparables;
- from a financier’s perspective, the neighborhood demographics are relatively weak, there are image issues, there is no Metro station, and the quality of the built environment surrounding the site is marginal; and, there are superior sites available in the marketplace with plans to develop condominiums (Potomac Yard)

The study also concluded that redevelopment further south on Mount Vernon Avenue is necessary before the subject site’s potential can be fully realized. Specifically, if the “triangle site” is successful on Mount Vernon Avenue north of Commonwealth Avenue, the redevelopment potential of the Safeway site will be significantly enhanced. The study further concluded that the site is not a competitive location for multi-tenant office targeting corporate clients. While there is service-office demand, it is not sufficiently large to justify redevelopment. Finally, the consultant determined that there is retail
potential in Arlandria, but that "the near term opportunity is greatest for additional one-story, inexpensive space."

**SUMMARY OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE PLAN ADOPTION**

The City has been actively engaged in improving Arlandria and carrying out the recommendations of the Arlandria Plan. The following list summarizes the actions and improvements that have occurred in Arlandria since the Plan was adopted in 2003.

**Property Acquisition and Improvement**
- Datatel property purchased, building demolished, and interim parking lot installed
- City acquired four commercial properties adjacent to Four Mile Run Park, three buildings demolished, park planning in progress

**Small Business and Retail Support**
- Ongoing façade grant improvement program
- Held meetings with local businesses to build and determine capacity for organized business group
- Zoning adopted that provides more flexible regulatory process for some small businesses through administrative approvals instead of public hearing

**Code Administration**
- Staff patrol area at least twice each day, and additionally as needed and for ongoing outreach and education initiatives
- Inspectors (many bilingual) attend monthly area community and civic association meetings
- Several area walks in 2008, including Code administration staff in March and multi-departmental walk in May

**Park Improvements**
- Interim planting and improvements
- Four Mile Run recreation field improvements
- Benches installed at Elbert Triangle

**Traffic and Pedestrian Safety Improvements**
- Conducted traffic study/analysis of feasibility of intersection improvements
- Interim improvements at Mt. Vernon Ave and Russell Rd intersection
- New traffic signal at Old Dominion and W. Glebe
- New crosswalks and accessible curb ramps at Four Mile Rd and Old Dominion
- Countdown timers at W. Glebe and Mt. Vernon
- Crosswalks at Glebe, Russell and Four Mile restriped
- Study being pursued for intersection and traffic safety improvements at Mt. Vernon and E. Glebe and Mt. Vernon and Reed.
- On-street parking spaces added on Mt. Vernon
Streetscape Improvements
- Street trees installed in 3800 block of Mt. Vernon
- Pavers installed at Bangkok54 and Lillians Restaurants
- New trash cans installed
- Unused newspaper boxes removed
- Regular street cleaning

Public Safety and Quality of Life
- Police satellite office established, and neighborhood policing officer assigned
- Regular Code compliance meetings
- Multiagency tours (walks)
- Letters sent to neighbors regarding neighborhood watch program, with suggestion to talk to ACPD and crime prevention specialists

COMMUNITY ISSUES/CONCERNS
While there has been a good deal of progress made toward implementation of the Arlandria Plan by the City, the community remains frustrated by the lack of private investment/interest in redevelopment of the key sites in Arlandria, not the least of which is the Safeway/Datatel site. The community sees this lack of interest as having a basis in an image of the area as a high crime and low maintenance area. The community also questions whether additional incentives can be pursued to ignite redevelopment in Arlandria in the short term, rather than wait another five or more years for something to happen, as has been concluded by the recent economic study.

Property maintenance and nuisance issues
In regard to City efforts to enforce requirements for proper maintenance of buildings in Arlandria, the Office of Code Administration has been providing staff to patrol the area at least twice a day. During these patrols, staff proactively address a number of issues which include Virginia Maintenance Code issues; trash and rodent activities; City nuisance activities; and Fire Prevention Code concerns. Staff also interact regularly with the area residents and business community by educating them on outstanding issues and working with them to gain code compliance prior to those issues rising to the level of a complaint. In addition to weekday activities, staff has adjusted their hours on specific occasions to address code issues in the community. For example, during an outbreak of rodent activity in the Presidential Greens community earlier this year, staff invested extra hours on Saturdays and Sundays speaking with the area residents and visitors in order to advise them on proper trash disposal practices. This resulted in a significant decline in trash generation in the public areas over the following weeks.

Code Administration staff attend community and civic association meetings in the area on a monthly basis. Many of the staff members who work in the Arlandria area are bilingual which results in improved communications with the community and faster results.
Several area sweeps were conducted in the Arlandria area in 2008. One in March by Code Administration staff and one in May which involved multiple City agencies.

Since January 2008, staff has cited 697 code related issues as compared to 343 citations during the same period in 2007. This is a 103% increase mainly in proactive code activity. This has limited the number of complaints filed to 220 for the time period between January and November 2008. The reduction in the number of complaints is the direct result of this increased enforcement activity in 2008.

Through continued involvement in the Arlandria area by Code Administration staff on a proactive and complaint basis and by engaging in continued education and outreach to the community, Code Administration staff have been able to maintain existing building stock and address a number of issues prior to them being reported to the City as a complaint.

Crime
The community is concerned that the amount of crime occurring in Arlandria acts as a deterrent to redevelopment efforts; that Arlandria experiences a disproportionate amount of crime as compared to other areas of the City. Recent statistics from the Alexandria Police Department indicate that, while the amount of crime in the Arlandria area is higher than in other parts of the City, the trend is moving downward in the number and severity of crimes occurring there.

Other Concerns
There is general concern about the lack of a community implementation and/or organizing group to assist in implementation of the Arlandria Plan. Currently, businesses, property owners, and residents are not organized to pursue community building or business improvement initiatives, or monitor plan implementation items. Staff resources are limited in providing assistance to local businesses and residents in this regard.

The Arlandria Plan addresses this concern and identifies that one of the keys to its success will be the formation of a new grass-roots business organization that will create and implement a marketing and promotions program, plan events, develop consistent business hours and other business enhancement activities.

A proactive retail strategy would include a combination of public improvements, guidelines/loans to assist business owners with the improvement of their storefront facades, zoning tools and incentives to leverage new private investment, and the marketing and promotions of the business district.

Interim Uses of the Old Safeway Site
The former Safeway building is currently occupied by a restaurant, a dollar store and a paint store. The paint store and the dollar store are permitted uses, whereas the restaurant required approval of a special use permit. Until the site is redeveloped, any permitted
uses in the NR zone would be allowed. New restaurants or expansion of existing restaurants are permitted as special uses. While it is generally good to have a building occupied with uses that serve the community, there are some uses that require approval of a special use permit that will need to be considered on a case by case basis.

SUMMARY OF ISSUES/CHALLENGES

In summary, the City has made progress in addressing some of the community’s concerns.

Progress has been made in implementing recommendations called for in the Plan. The Datatel property was purchased, the building demolished, and an interim parking lot installed. The City recently acquired four commercial properties adjacent to Four Mile Run Park, where three buildings were demolished, and park planning is in progress. Numerous traffic, pedestrian and streetscape improvements have been done. Code enforcement inspections occur on a regular basis. While crime still remains an issue, the City has devoted additional resources to the community and the result has been a decrease in the amount of crime in the area.

With regard to private development projects, there are a number of nearby properties in the area south of the Safeway site that have submitted plans and/or have received plan approvals. These include the Shops at Del Ray (2312 Mt Vernon), Del Ray Lofts (2707-2711 Mt Vernon Avenue), 2903 Mt Vernon (Anthony's Auto) and Mt. Vernon Commons (see detail below). Development of these properties will have a positive impact in the general area and make the properties in Arlandria more attractive for redevelopment.

Mount Vernon Avenue Projects (from south to north)

Shops at Del Ray (2312 Mt Vernon): Development of a two story mixed-use office/retail building on Mount Vernon Avenue. The proposal consists of approximately 6,500 sq ft of ground floor retail and 5,900 sq ft of office on the second level.
Status: in final site plan review.

Del Ray Lofts (2707-2711 Mt Vernon Avenue): Development of a mixed-use project, with ground floor commercial and upper level residential. As approved, there will be an office unit on the corner and three additional dwelling units with ground floor commercial or residential use.
Status: in final site plan review.

2903 Mt Vernon (Anthony's Auto): Redevelopment of an automobile repair facility at the intersection of Mount Vernon Avenue and Commonwealth Avenue as a two-story office building with ground-level 2,056 sq ft retail and/or restaurant use and 3,571 sq ft of office space on the upper level.
Status: DSUP approved in December 2008.
Mt Vernon Commons (Triangle): Development of 141 residential apartment units with 3,000 sq ft of retail space and a publicly accessible open space at the Mount Vernon/Commonwealth intersection. Status: final site plan approval pending. Another asset of the Arlandria area is the excellent bus service that is provided along Mt. Vernon Avenue. Both DASH and Metro provide bus service along Mt. Vernon Avenue in Arlandria, with headways of between 15 to 30 minutes.

Since the economic study concludes that redevelopment of the Safeway site is still at least five years away, the question is what can be done now to change that and to help spur redevelopment, particularly on the key redevelopment sites in Arlandria.

POTENTIAL RESPONSES

The Arlandria Plan calls for redevelopment of a major site as one key to success. While the City has been quite active in implementing a significant number of smaller public improvement projects in the area, there are still major actions that need to be undertaken to realize the vision for Arlandria. Two of these actions include: 1) redevelopment of a major site, such as the Safeway site and 2) creation of an effective grass-roots business organization.

There is an opportunity to accomplish these and other actions to in the near, mid, and long term by engaging major property owners in Arlandria, the City and the community to take a proactive role toward implementation of the Arlandria Plan. Some of these possible actions are described below:

Possible Near-Term Actions

Community Walk: Work with civic and homeowners/tenants groups to organize a walk with City Council and staff from various departments to aide in resolving code issues in a timely and efficient manner and demonstrate the City’s commitment to improving Arlandria.

Redevelopment Study: Undertake a study to determine ways in which redevelopment of a major site as called for in the Arlandria Plan can occur in a more timely fashion; look at possible incentives to spur redevelopment; determine if there are other ways to leverage City property and other property in the area.

Arts District: Study the feasibility of creating an arts district or an artists studio or gallery use or a temporary black box theater, perhaps as an interim use.

Business Organization: Study ways to develop an effective grass-roots business organization.
Possible Near and Mid Term Actions:

Continue to Implement programmed capital improvements from the Arlandria Plan:
Implement short term recommendations from intersection and pedestrian safety improvements study. Short term improvements could include: new bus shelters, pedestrian and bike improvements, and public art

Create a Community Coordinator Position: The Community Coordinator would work closely with the businesses in Arlandria to build their capacity, assist with grassroots organizing, attract new businesses, encourage redevelopment, market businesses, and other related duties, and serve as a liaison with residents and city departments. This position could be funded through CDBG or property owner contribution. The Coordinator would not be a substitute for a business organization, but to set it up so it could eventually run on its own.

Long-Term Actions:

Evaluate unplanned areas along Mt. Vernon Avenue between Del Ray and Arlandria as part of area study or Small Area Plan amendment: An amendment to the work program would be required to conduct a study or SAP amendment. This would help tie together an unplanned area between the planned areas of Mt. Vernon Avenue and Arlandria and create a cohesive plan for the entire area.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION
In conclusion, there has been progress made toward implementation of the recommendations of the Arlandria Plan, but more could be done, as has been outlined above. This will require additional community effort as well as staff time and resources.

Staff recommends that Planning Commission receive this report and provide guidance on the above potential responses.

ATTACHMENT
Analysis of Feasibility of Redevelopment of Safeway Site

STAFF:
Faroll Hamer, Director, Planning and Zoning
Rich Josephson, Deputy Director, Planning and Zoning
Gwen Wright, Division Chief, Development, Planning and Zoning
Valerie Peterson, Principal Planner, Planning and Zoning
Development Feasibility Analysis:
Safeway Site: 221 West Glebe Road

Alexandria, Virginia

Submitted by:

W-ZHA, LLC

May, 2008
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

W-ZHA, LLC, a national real estate advisory firm located in Annapolis, MD, was retained by the Weissberg Corporation to analyze the near term feasibility of redeveloping its property at 221 West Glebe Road in Arlandria. This study determines whether the redevelopment of the Subject Site as envisioned in Arlandria’s “Long-Term Vision Plan” is economically feasible given near term market realities. The following table highlights the threshold rents and values required to make a project on the Subject Site economically feasible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Redevelopment Economics</th>
<th>Required Rent To Be Economically Feasible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Rent</td>
<td>$2.80 per square foot per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For-Sale Residential Price</td>
<td>$360 per square foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Rent</td>
<td>$29.65 NNN per square foot per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail Rent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Tenant Improvements</td>
<td>$29.65 per square foot per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without Tenant Improvements</td>
<td>$26.50 per square foot per year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. These thresholds were determined without land cost.

Source: W-ZHA

ARLANDRIA’S AND THE SUBJECT SITE’S MARKET STRENGTHS AND CHALLENGES

The Subject Site is located in a unique community that is incredibly diverse. Arlandria has been growing and accounts for more and more of the City’s household growth. Arlandria is well located, but it is not directly accessible/visible from major transportation routes like South Glebe, I-395 and Route 1. Arlandria is very different (both economically and socially) from the neighborhoods further south on Mount Vernon Avenue.

From a conventional real estate investor’s perspective the social and economic profile of the community suggest that it is a “fringe” location. To develop in Arlandria would entail more risk than a number of locations relatively close by.

RESIDENTIAL MARKET OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

W-ZHA concludes that condominium development on the Subject Site is not feasible at this time for the following reasons:

- The capital markets are generally not interested in financing condominiums at this time;
• The Subject Site is in a location that is untested from a condominium standpoint – there are no direct comparables;
• From a financier’s perspective, the Site is in a “fringe” neighborhood– neighborhood demographics are relatively weak, there are perceived crime issues, there is no Metro Station, and the quality of the built environment surrounding the Site is marginal; and,
• There are superior sites available in the marketplace with plans to develop condominiums (Potomac Yard)

Over time, as the capital markets stabilize and recover from the sub-prime mortgage debacle, there may be condominium development potential on the Subject Site.

In considering the redevelopment of the Subject Site for apartments, there should be concern that with Potomac Yard and the “triangle site”, there may be a lot of apartment units coming onto the market at the same time. If competitively priced Potomac Yard is well positioned to capture the broad market that is mostly looking for a convenient location in the Alexandria/Arlington area. The “triangle site” on Mount Vernon Avenue is better positioned than the Subject Site to attract those households looking for a more unique, walkable, urban environment.

We conclude that it is unrealistic to assume that an investor will be interested in redeveloping the Subject Site for apartments in the near term; the rent premium is well above any other comparable project in the vicinity. The investment threshold for rental residential is $2.80 per square foot per month. The highest rent in an apartment complex in the Arlandria neighborhood is approximately $2.00 per square foot. The premium for new construction would require that the market support rents 40 percent higher than they are today. The market fundamentals such as household and average income growth are simply not there yet in the Arlandria neighborhood.

If the “triangle site” is successful on Mount Vernon Avenue north of Commonwealth Avenue, the redevelopment potential of the Subject Site will be significantly enhanced. W-ZHA concludes that redevelopment further south on Mount Vernon Avenue is necessary before the Subject Site’s potential can be fully realized.

**OFFICE MARKET OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS**

W-ZHA concludes that the Subject Site is not a competitive location for multi-tenant office targeting corporate clients. While there is service-office demand, it is not sufficiently large to justify the redevelopment of the Subject Site.

As a build-to-suit location, Arlandria’s distinct identity coupled with its location in Alexandria, eclectic commercial district and access to public transit could be attractive to the right business. It could be an attractive location for a business whose corporate image/identity is consistent with all that Arlandria has to offer. Consideration should be given to identifying target companies and marketing the Site to these prospects.
RETAIL MARKET OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

There is retail potential in Arlandria. From a market perspective, the near term opportunity is greatest for additional one-story, inexpensive space, however. Because this type of space is inexpensive it is attractive owner-operated, specialty retail; the type of retailers attracted to Arlandria’s eclectic character.
INTRODUCTION

The Arlandria Neighborhood

As its name reflects “Arlandria” is located on the border of Arlington County and the City of Alexandria. The 2002 “Long-Term Vision Plan and Action Plan for the Arlandria Neighborhood” defined the boundaries of the Arlandria neighborhood as bounded on the north by Four Mile Run, on the southwest by West Glebe Road, and on the east by Four Mile Run Park.

As depicted below, Census Tract 2012.03 contains Arlandria. For analytic purposes, data for this census tract has been applied to the Arlandria neighborhood in order to understand its demographic and economic characteristics.

Census Tract 2012.03 Boundaries

Source: United States Census Bureau

Arlandria is located within the “Potomac West” planning area, which contains the following census tracts: 2012.03, 2012.02, 2012.04, 2013, and 2014. The boundaries of Potomac West are illustrated below.
The Del Ray Community is located south of West Glebe Road. Del Ray’s neighborhood boundaries as defined by its Citizens Association are illustrated below.

**Del Ray Neighborhood Boundaries**

Source: Del Ray Citizens Association
The Subject Site

221 West Glebe Location

The Subject Site, 221 West Glebe Road, is located in Arlandria. Almost 3 acres in size, the Subject Site is oddly shaped. It has approximately 445 feet of frontage on West Glebe Road, 72 feet of frontage on Mount Vernon Avenue and approximately 250 feet along its other two sides. The Site can be accessed via Mount Vernon Avenue and West Glebe Road.

221 West Glebe Road

The site contains a building of approximately 20,000 square feet and surface parking. The building once contained a Safeway grocery store (hence the community moniker, “the Safeway Site”). The building
now has three tenants: Cerro Verde Restaurant, a discount department store ($+Imports), and a Duron Paint store.

Planning Background

From 2000 to 2003, the City worked with a neighborhood task force to develop The “Long-Term Vision Plan and Action Plan for the Arlandria Neighborhood.” This plan identifies the “Safeway/Datatel” site as a key redevelopment site. That site is roughly the triangle formed by West Glebe Road, Mount Vernon Avenue and the property boundary across the back of the grocery store building. The Subject Site accounts for approximately 56 percent of the “Safeway/Datatel” site; thus, the Subject Site is not the entire “Safeway/Datatel” site.

At the time the plan was written, both the Subject Site and the six story Datatel building were vacant. The Datatel building since has been demolished by the City and replaced by a surface parking lot.

The community envisioned a mixed-use development on the “Safeway/Datatel” site. Such development has the potential to bring activity to the area. As envisioned, the "Safeway/Datatel" site will be redeveloped with ground floor retail and upper-level office or residential land uses. Redevelopment of this pivotal site would help to create a “gateway” to Arlandria’s commercial district on Mount Vernon Avenue.

A key principle of the Vision for the Arlandria neighborhood is economically viable development. For the “Safeway/Datatel” property the Vision contains the statement that “any new development should be economically viable.”

Study Purpose

This study seeks to determine whether the redevelopment of the Subject Site as envisioned in the “Long-Term Vision Plan” is economically feasible given near-term market realities. This Study tests the marketability of the Subject Site, not the entire “Safeway/Datatel” property. The conclusions reached in this Study, however, are applicable to the entire “Safeway/Datatel” property.

The Study begins with a definition of economic viability from a private developer’s perspective. Next, market strengths and challenges facing Arlandria and the Subject Site are summarized. Finally, trends and indicators as they relate to the residential, retail and office markets are presented. For each land use, W-ZHA concludes with an opinion as to whether now is the time to capitalize on this Site’s (and Arlandria’s) unique locational attributes.

DEFINITION OF ECONOMIC VIABILITY

Introduction and Methodology

Successful real estate projects must generate sufficiently large revenues (or sale prices) to justify the investor’s time, money and risk. For revenue-generating projects (in other words, rental projects not for-sale projects) the simplest and most common method for obtaining a snapshot of the economics of a real estate deal is by using the “return-on-cost” ratio. That method divides the projected net operating income of a project into the total cost to develop the project.
If the project’s net operating income achieves a certain percent of the project’s development cost ("investment threshold"), the project is worth pursuing. If a rental project’s economics cannot achieve the investment threshold the project is not economically feasible. In these cases, developers typically seek out subsidies.

This methodology does not deal with the time value of money, capitalization rates, financing, etc. It is a simple calculation that can be done on the back of an envelop but it goes to the heart of the matter: can a project generate sufficient income to justify its costs?

Net operating income is defined as revenue less operating costs – it does not include debt service. Most retail leases “pass through” a vast majority of operating expenses to the tenants. Office projects vary – some charge full service rents that cover all operating costs, while others charge triple net rent. Triple net rent means the tenant is charged an additional amount to cover property taxes, maintenance and operating expenses. Rental residential projects typically include in their rent such operating costs as maintenance and property taxes, but not utilities.

Price Waterhouse Cooper’s “Korpacz Investment Survey” sheds light on current market conditions as they relate to investment thresholds. The Korpacz Investment Survey tracks the “overall capitalization rate (OAR)” or initial rate of return on an all cash transaction among various land uses. As of the fourth quarter of 2007, investors in the Northern Virginia Central Business District office market accepted OARs from 5.00 percent to 9.0 percent, with the average OAR at 6.8 percent. Investors in the rental apartment market accepted OARs from 3.50 percent to 8.0 percent, with the average OAR at 6.6 percent.

In W-ZHA’s joint development experience when initially scouting a project, a typical investment threshold for rental property is between 7.5 percent and 8.5 percent depending upon the land use. Rental residential tends to be at the low end of the scale with office at the high end. These thresholds are higher than the OAR average because these are planning thresholds, not actual returns. When planning a project higher investment thresholds are assumed to compensate for risk.

In terms of for-sale products, a typical investment threshold is a return of 20 percent. This means that sale proceeds must be at least 20 percent higher than the total development cost.

Return-On-Investment Thresholds

The development cost estimates provided below are based on recent joint development negotiations in the Washington, D.C. area. These costs represent quality, stick-built product. The development cost estimates exclude land cost. The value of land is a function of the land owner’s aspirations and the market’s value. Land cost will increase development costs and, in turn, required rents/prices.
Development Costs By Land Use
Assuming No Land Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Per Gross Square Foot of Building Area</th>
<th>Residential - Rental</th>
<th>Office</th>
<th>Retail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>w/Pkg &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>w/Pkg &amp; Infrastructure</td>
<td>w/Pkg &amp; Infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building &amp; Contingency</td>
<td>$145</td>
<td>$130</td>
<td>$130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>$59</td>
<td>$59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure &amp; Site</td>
<td>8% $12</td>
<td>8% $11</td>
<td>8% $11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total: Hard Costs</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Cost</td>
<td>16.0% $29</td>
<td>12.0% $24</td>
<td>12.0% $24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
<td>9.5% $17</td>
<td>9.5% $19</td>
<td>9.5% $19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total: Development Cost</td>
<td>$226</td>
<td>$243</td>
<td>$243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenant Improvements</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>8% $18</td>
<td>8% $19</td>
<td>8% $19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost Exc. Land</td>
<td>$244</td>
<td>$302</td>
<td>$302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Say</td>
<td>$245</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Assumes 1.2 spaces per unit. Spaces estimated to cost $23,000 per space.
2. Assumes 1 parking space per 1,000 square feet.

Source: W-ZHA

The retail development costs assume a mixed-use building. Thus, retail entails the same cost as office.

Given these development cost assumptions, at a minimum, a residential project will have to be able to charge rents in excess of $2.80 per square foot per month to meet the return-on-investment threshold. This amounts to approximately $1,970 per month for a one-bedroom apartment.

---

Rental Residential Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Rent To Be Economically Feasible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development Cost /Gross Square Foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Cost /Rentable Square Foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8% Investment Threshold/Required Net</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Other Income/Fees @ 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus: Operating Expenses @ 35% of Income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Rent /Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Rent /SF /Month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: W-ZHA

Condominiums would have to sell for at least $360 per square foot. This amounts to approximately $254,000 for a 1-bedroom condominium.
A triple net rent in excess of $29.00 per square foot per year is required to justify office development on the Subject Site. This amounts to a full-service rent of approximately $38. to $40 per square foot.

Retail can be marketed with or without tenant improvements included. With tenant improvements retail space must be able to obtain a rent of $29.65 per square foot per year. Without tenant improvements, the rent could be reduced to $26.50 per square foot per year.
Conclusions

The following table highlights the threshold rents and values required to make a project on the Subject Site economically feasible.

| Redevelopment Economics
| Required Rent To Be Economically Feasible |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Residential Rent                        | $2.80 per square foot per month          |
| For-Sale Residential Price              | $360 per square foot                     |
| Office Rent                             | $29.65 NNN per square foot per year      |
| Retail Rent                             |                                          |
| With Tenant Improvements                | $29.65 per square foot per year          |
| Without Tenant Improvements             | $26.50 per square foot per year          |

1. These thresholds were determined without land cost.

Source: W-ZHA

ARLANDRIA’S AND THE SUBJECT SITE’S MARKET STRENGTHS AND CHALLENGES

Market Strengths

An Attractive Location

Arlandria and Potomac West are within minutes of Potomac Yard, Crystal City, Washington, D.C., and Downtown Alexandria. Route 1, Interstate 395 and South Glebe Road are major regional thoroughfares easily accessible from Arlandria. Metro is not within walking distance, but the Braddock Road and Crystal City stations are a short bus ride away. City bus service on Mount Vernon Avenue is reportedly very good.
Arlandria is located in ZIP code area 22305. According to Washington Post, this ZIP code area was the only area in Alexandria where home values appreciated markedly in value from 2006 to 2007. Its locational assets make it an attractive residential location.

**For-Sale Housing Appreciation (Excluding Condominiums)**

**Alexandria ZIP Codes**

*2007-2008*

![Map of Alexandria ZIP codes with color codes indicating percent change in median house price.](source)


*Trulia.com* tracks home sales and location requests on its Website. The map below ranks Alexandria neighborhoods by the level of consumer interest over the last three months. Potomac West is among the most “trafficked” areas of Alexandria.

**Real Estate Searches**

![Map of Alexandria with traffic rank coding for real estate searches.](source)

*Source: Trulia Real Estate; February to March 2008.*

Household trends indicate that the Potomac West area, while largely built-out, continues to grow. Potomac West’s household growth accounted for just less than 8 percent of the City’s household growth between 1990 and 2000. According to Claritas, Inc., a nationally recognized consumer research
company, between 2000 and 2007, Potomac West’s share of the City’s household growth increased significantly to 13 percent between 2000 and 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Alexandria</td>
<td>53,382</td>
<td>61,889</td>
<td>65,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Potomac</td>
<td>7,627</td>
<td>8,284</td>
<td>8,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Potomac Share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Claritas, Inc.

**Arlandria Is Distinctively Diverse**

As the data below demonstrate, Arlandria is ethnically and racially diverse. Approximately 45 percent of the neighborhood’s households are Hispanic and two-thirds consider themselves non-White/Caucasian.

Arlandria is uniquely diverse when compared to the City and other areas of Potomac West and this uniqueness is recognized as a potential asset.
The Area Around Arlandria Is Relatively Affordable

According to the Washington Post, the median sale price for a home in the Arlandria ZIP code (22305) was the lowest in the City in both 2006 and 2007. Housing has been appreciating in the area, however. In 2006 the median value of a home in ZIP code 22305 was 77 percent of the City average. In one year, 2007, the median value increased to 82 percent of the City’s average.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>Homes Sold</th>
<th>Median Purchase Price</th>
<th>Homes Sold</th>
<th>Median Purchase Price</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22301</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$564,500</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>$570,000</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22302</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>$752,500</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22304</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>$575,000</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>$552,500</td>
<td>-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22305</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>$464,900</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>$473,000</td>
<td>-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22311</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>$581,250</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$535,000</td>
<td>-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22312</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$525,000</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$482,500</td>
<td>-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22314</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>$695,000</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>$620,000</td>
<td>-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,647</td>
<td>$599,000</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>$577,500</td>
<td>-34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Washington Post, "Housing Outlook, 2008"; W-ZHA
Rents among Arlandria’s rental properties are below the City’s average rent as well. For example, a one-bedroom apartment in *Glebe House* rents for approximately $1,000 to $1,180, while the City average is approximately $1,350\(^1\).

---

\(^1\) *MyNewSpace* apartment database.
Arlandria Is Surrounded By High-Income Neighborhoods

The map below shows 2004 per capita income by census tract. Arlandria’s per capita income is low (as illustrated on the map by the light color); in 2007 income in Arlandria was estimated to be approximately $21,000 per capita. The areas around Arlandria have high per capita incomes.

Per Capita Income By Census Tract

Source: Microsoft MapPoint

Arlandria Has a Commercial District With Specialty Retail, a Nationally Recognized Entertainment Venue and Convenience Goods

One of the key attributes of urban living is being able to walk to goods and services. Arlandria as a whole and the Subject Site specifically, offer this amenity. According to a retail study conducted in 2002, Arlandria possesses as much retail space as a conventional community shopping center. Unlike a conventional center, however, Arlandria contains many ethnic shops and restaurants and an organic food store. A nationally recognized entertainment venue, the Birchmere, is also located in Arlandria’s commercial district.

The Subject Site Is A Gateway to the Neighborhood

For those traveling to Arlandria, the Subject Site is prominent at the corner of Mount Vernon Avenue and West Glebe Road. If there were an ideal location for a landmark project in Arlandria, there is no question that this would be the site.
Challenges

**Arlandria Is an Enclave**

While Arlandria’s distinctiveness can be looked at as a market opportunity, it also may be looked at as a market challenge. While the neighborhood’s location is good, a number of factors suggest that Arlandria is cut off from the Potomac West neighborhood. As the table below illustrates it is distinctively different from areas to the south in terms of demographics and economics. It appears from the data, that incomes decrease and diversity increases as one moves north on Mount Vernon from East Braddock to Four Mile Run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic and Economic Comparison</th>
<th>Arlandria and Neighboring Zip Codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2007</strong></td>
<td><strong>2007</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census Tract</th>
<th>Household Growth 2000-07</th>
<th>Avg. Hshld Size</th>
<th>Per Capita Income</th>
<th>Median Income</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>% White/Caucasian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlandria 2012.03</td>
<td>2,357</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>$20,978</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon Avenue From West Glebe/East Reed to Hume Street 2012.02</td>
<td>1,477</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>$37,907</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012.04</td>
<td>1,459</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>$27,950</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon Avenue From Hume St. to East Braddock 2013</td>
<td>1,338</td>
<td>-6.3%</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>$45,821</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,812</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>$55,583</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Claritas, Inc.

Arlandria’s demographics are in such stark contrast to the remainder of Potomac West, there is some question as to whether (or how quickly) the market will be attracted to this location. The “market” in this case refers to businesses, households and retailers willing to pay the prices required of new development. Householders and retailers looking for an affordable location might certainly consider Arlandria. However, would the same householder looking at new space in Del Ray consider Arlandria?

**Arlandria’s Income and Income Growth Are Below Those of the Surrounding Area**

The median income in Arlandria has been growing at a faster rate than the City’s. Yet, the neighborhood’s median income is still well below the average for the City. Since 2000, the rate of income growth has been faster among the neighborhoods further south on Mount Vernon.
### Median Income Trends

**Arlandria and Surrounding Areas**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Alexandria</td>
<td>$41,522</td>
<td>$56,685</td>
<td>$67,595</td>
<td>$15,164</td>
<td>$10,910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlandria</td>
<td>$28,440</td>
<td>$40,385</td>
<td>$50,678</td>
<td>$11,945</td>
<td>$10,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon Avenue From West Glebe/East Reed to Hume Street</td>
<td>$44,159</td>
<td>$57,632</td>
<td>$70,164</td>
<td>$13,472</td>
<td>$12,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Vernon Avenue From Hume St. to East Braddock</td>
<td>$37,857</td>
<td>$58,242</td>
<td>$73,538</td>
<td>$20,385</td>
<td>$15,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$40,518</td>
<td>$65,199</td>
<td>$85,404</td>
<td>$24,681</td>
<td>$20,205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Claritas, Inc.

Retailers and service-office establishments are interested in area’s where there is strong household growth and/or strong income growth.

**Limited Vehicular Access Also “Cuts Off” Arlandria**

As the 2002 Market Potentials Analysis states: “From a vehicular access standpoint Interstate 395 is close enough to provide some regional access to Arlandria, but not close enough to afford the visibility or direct access that would enhance opportunities for large, region serving destination uses. Mt. Vernon Avenue has a relatively minor role as a commuter route. Furthermore, the commercial district does not have exposure to South Glebe Road...Most people driving through Arlandria are either going there for a specific purpose or are merely taking a short-cut in their regular commute.”

**Arlandria’s Image**

While Arlandria is recognized as a unique place with its restaurants and the Birchmere, it also has an image of being unsafe. Crime has been significantly reduced in the neighborhood, but the perception that is unsafe still lingers.

As the map below illustrates, Arlandria also has one of the highest concentrations of low income housing in the City. This map does not include the Arlandria-Chirilagua Cooperative which is a low income homeownership project. With this project included, the share of total housing that is assisted increases to over 20 percent in Arlandria.

---

The Subject Site’s Neighboring Land Uses Are Not Attractive

A real challenge to the marketability of the Subject Site for mixed-use development is the character of the land uses surrounding it. Across West Glebe Road is a strip center built in 1964 with a Foodway grocery store, cleaners, and a shoe store. Across Mount Vernon Avenue is a carwash operation, a loan establishment, an automobile service station and low income housing. Immediately to the north of the Site are St. Rita’s Church and school.

The commercial uses on West Glebe Road and Mount Vernon Avenue surrounding the Site are automobile-oriented. The pedestrian environment and the quality of the buildings is poor. Neighboring land uses compromise the Site’s redevelopment potential.

Urban Pioneers Rarely Pay Top Dollar To Locate in a Fringe Neighborhood

As will be demonstrated in the following section, the rents and prices needed to support new construction on the Subject Site will be well above Arlandria’s rates now. In fact, the rents and prices required are comparable to those for newer projects on Interstate 395 and Potomac Yard. While the price of new construction may be warranted in lower Potomac West where market risk is becoming minimal, we question whether the developer or the buyer would invest in Arlandria’s given where it is in the revitalization process.
Conclusion

The Subject Site is located in a unique community that is incredibly diverse. Arlandria has been growing and accounts for more and more of the City’s household growth. Arlandria is well located, but it is not directly accessible or visible from major transportation routes like South Glebe, I-395 and Route 1. Arlandria is very different (both economically and socially) from the neighborhoods further south on Mount Vernon Avenue.

From a conventional real estate investor’s perspective the social and economic profile of the community suggest that it is a “fringe” location. To develop in Arlandria would entail more risk than a number of locations relatively close by.

**Residential Market Observations and Conclusions**

**Rent and Price Threshold**

New construction on the Subject Site will require that a developer successfully market residential at a rent of at least $2.81 per square foot per month or a sales price of $360 per square foot. The benchmark rents and prices cover development costs only (hard and soft costs); land value is not included as a cost.

**The Condominium Housing Market**

The condominium market was “hot” in Alexandria because low interest rates and liberal mortgage underwriting practices allowed households to own property in this highly valued location. Condominiums are most successful in locations where land values are very high (e.g. Old Town, near Metro) and where the land use mix is attractive to young singles and couples and empty nesters.

The condominium market has cooled down in Alexandria as it has in most places. Both the volume of condominium sales and the average purchase price for condominiums in Alexandria dropped from 2006 to 2007. The volume of condo sales dropped by over one-third in the City. Average sale prices dropped from $308,000 to $303,000 per unit or approximately 2 percent.
## Condominium Sales
**Washington Metro Area Jurisdictions 2006 and 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Homes Sold</th>
<th>Median Purchase Price</th>
<th>Homes Sold</th>
<th>Median Purchase Price</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.C.</td>
<td>1,867</td>
<td>$355,000</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>$365,000</td>
<td>-13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Arundel</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>$271,000</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>-37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvert</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$292,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$291,328</td>
<td>-63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$360,500</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$306,968</td>
<td>115%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>$219,900</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td>-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>$285,000</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery</td>
<td>4,249</td>
<td>$295,000</td>
<td>3,334</td>
<td>$299,999</td>
<td>-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince George's</td>
<td>3,012</td>
<td>$197,000</td>
<td>2,072</td>
<td>$204,000</td>
<td>-31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>1,353</td>
<td>$385,000</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax</td>
<td>4,786</td>
<td>$304,900</td>
<td>3,066</td>
<td>$292,263</td>
<td>-36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loudoun</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince William</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>$267,155</td>
<td>-19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria</td>
<td>2,022</td>
<td>$308,000</td>
<td>1,265</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td>-37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20,377</td>
<td>$287,900</td>
<td>15,282</td>
<td>$289,900</td>
<td>-25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Washington Post, "Housing Outlook, 2008"; W-ZHA

The condominium housing market is being hurt by three factors: 1) investors moving out of this investment product; 2) buyer trepidation; and, 3) tight credit markets for both potential buyers and developers. According to the Washington Post “2008 Housing Outlook,” Alexandria and Arlington had the dubious distinction of having more condominium unit contracts canceled than sold in 2007. According to the Washington Post 30 percent of the cancellations were due to investors moving out of the condominium market. Investors are buyers who have no intention of living in the unit, but buy it for its investment value.

Prospective condominium buyers are hesitant to buy in a turbulent market. Prospective buyers are interested in a fair price and strong potential for appreciation. They are also interested in the viability of the entire condominium complex because its maintenance is dependent on condominium fees. Only in prime downtown locations or locations next to a Metro station can such stability in the condominium market be offered at this time.

Like prospective buyers, lenders are hesitant to invest in condominiums at this time except in 100 percent locations. Many projects planned for condominium development have converted to rental units. The word on the street is that there is no capital available for financing condominiums except in extraordinary circumstances.

Another challenge facing condominium development at this time are the new rules being applied for mortgage underwriting. As a result of the sub-prime mortgage crisis, it is much more difficult for
households to obtain a mortgage than it was just five years ago. Both supply and demand factors are challenging the condominium market.

Evidence of the condominium market’s troubles is reflected in the Potomac Yard project. Pulte Homes and Centex Homes have not commenced construction on the condominiums planned for that project as planned. Instead, they are marketing development parcels to other developers. The cash from parcel sales is needed to fund the infrastructure investment currently underway to support this project. Potomac Yard offers superior views, access, and locational cache as compared to the Subject Site.

The following factor’s lead W-ZHA to the conclusion that condominium development on the Subject Site is not feasible at this time:

- The capital markets are generally not interested in financing condominiums at this time;
- The Subject Site is in a location that is untested from a condominium standpoint – there are no direct comparables;
- From a financier’s perspective, the Site is in a “fringe” neighborhood—neighborhood demographics are relatively weak, there are perceived crime issues, there is no Metro Station, and the quality of the built environment surrounding the Site is marginal; and,
- There are superior sites available in the marketplace with plans to develop condominiums (Potomac Yard)

Over time, as the capital markets stabilize and recover from the sub-prime mortgage debacle, there may be condominium development potential on the Subject Site. This portion of Alexandria is in demand because of its central location, attractive housing stock, and relative affordability. As Del Ray/Potomac West continues to appreciate in value and condominiums are developed there as well as in Potomac Yard, Arlandria should become an increasingly attractive condominium location. The Subject Site is well positioned to capitalize on this value as it is the gateway to Arlandria.

The Rental Housing Market

Apartment buildings in the 22305 ZIP code area range from garden apartments to high rise communities. With the one exception of The Reserve At Potomac Yard, the apartment complexes in ZIP code 22305 are old – all were built over 40 years ago. Rents are relatively low in most of these older apartment complexes. As would be expected with relatively low rents, occupancy exceeds 90 percent in all of the communities where occupancy data could be obtained.

The newest project in the 22305 ZIP code is The Reserve at Potomac Yard built in 2001. The Reserve at Potomac Yard is located on Route 1 directly across from the Potomac Yard Shopping Center. This project contains 588 mid-rise apartment units. The Reserve at Potomac Yard offers garage and covered parking, a free shuttle to Metro as well as a pool. Rents at the Reserve at Potomac Yard are relatively high for Arlandria at $2.00 to $2.10 per square foot for a one bedroom apartment. Occupancy is above 95 percent according to property management.

We do not consider The Reserve at Potomac Yard a comparable for the Subject Site. The Reserve at Potomac Yard is marketing its location at Potomac Yard, not its location in a multi-cultural community. It is sited prominently on a highway across from a new regional shopping destination, the Potomac Yard Shopping Center. The Reserve at Potomac Yard has locational, access, visibility, and branding characteristics that are altogether different from the Subject Site.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Efficiencies</th>
<th>1 Bedrm</th>
<th>2 Bedrm</th>
<th>3+ Bedrm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspen House</td>
<td>High Rise</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3201 Landover St.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$925 $1,000</td>
<td>$1,130 $1,225</td>
<td>$1,200 $1,600</td>
<td>$1.42 $1.54 $1.41 $1.53 $1.23 $1.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calvert Apts</td>
<td>High Rise</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3110 Mt. Vernon Avenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,140</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$901 $1,079</td>
<td>$1,004 $1,179</td>
<td>$1,488 $2,263</td>
<td>$1.81 $2.07 $1.89 $2.39 $1.31 $1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glebe House</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 W. Glebe Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$904 $1,079</td>
<td>$1,004 $1,179</td>
<td>$1,488 $2,263</td>
<td>$1.81 $2.07 $1.89 $2.39 $1.31 $1.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd Apartments</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 Tennessee Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$865 $950</td>
<td>$1,095 $1,355</td>
<td>$1,895</td>
<td>$1.95 $1.36 $1.57 $1.39 $1.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brookside</td>
<td>Mid-Rise</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601 Four Mile Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$894 $949</td>
<td>$1,035 $1,095</td>
<td>$1,234 $1,299</td>
<td>$1.92 $2.04 $1.32 $1.40 $1.50 $1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portals of Alexandria East</td>
<td>High-Rise</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511 Four Mile Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$894 $949</td>
<td>$1,035 $1,095</td>
<td>$1,234 $1,299</td>
<td>$1.92 $2.04 $1.32 $1.40 $1.50 $1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Greens</td>
<td>Mid-Rise</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3904 Executive Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$797 $1,287</td>
<td>$1,379</td>
<td>$1.39 $1.92 $1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve @ Potomac Yard</td>
<td>Mid-Rise</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3700 Jefferson Davis Highway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>$1,345 $1,520</td>
<td>$1,680 $1,855</td>
<td>$2.12 $2.00 $1.53 $1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Property Management Interviews; City of Alexandria
The Calvert is located on Mount Vernon Avenue immediately south of Herbert Street. The Calvert is a high rise apartment community built in 1962. With 178 units, the Calvert commands relatively high rents (approximately $1.90 to $2.40 per square foot per month for a 1-bedroom) given its age and location. Unlike the Subject Site, the Calvert is in the Del Ray neighborhood.

Other newer projects in the area include the Avalon @ Arlington Heights at South Glebe Road and Interstate 395. This is a new, mixed-use project. Rent for a 1-bedroom ranges from $2.00 to $2.70 per square foot per month.

### New Apartment Complexes Near Arlandria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Year Built</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Efficiencies</th>
<th>1 Bedrm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avalon @ Arlington Square</td>
<td>Twnhs</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>650 980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2350 26th Court St. Arlington</td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>Rent /SF</td>
<td>$1,320 $1,770</td>
<td>$2.03 $2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camden @ Potomac Yards</td>
<td>High Rise</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>540 684</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3535 South Ball St.</td>
<td>Square Feet</td>
<td>Monthly Rent</td>
<td>Rent /SF</td>
<td>$1,522 $1,977</td>
<td>$2.82 $2.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Property Management Interviews; Apartments.com
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Camden at Potomac Yard contains almost 380 units and reportedly has a relatively high vacancy rate. Rents for a 1-bedroom in this 12-story community range from $2.80 to $2.90 per square foot per month.

**Planned Projects**

Potomac Yard in Alexandria is an approved mixed-use development consisting of 1.9 million square feet of office space, 135,000 square feet of retail (in addition to the existing 600,000 square foot Potomac Yard Shopping Center), and 1,700 residential units. In 2005, Pulte Homes and Centex Homes jointly purchased the 165-acre site on the south side of Potomac Yard. As part of the approval process, the developers agreed to make off-site transportation improvements such as the construction of a new Monroe Avenue Bridge as well as constructing connections to Howell Avenue, Custis Avenue, Swann Avenue and East Glebe Road. While the land uses have yet to develop on the Potomac Yard site, the developers have commenced the infrastructure improvements.

Potomac Yard offers the advantages of direct access to Route 1, proximity to regional shopping, and views. At some point in the near future, residential units will be developed at this location. To make the
project work, a critical mass of residential will need to be developed. If the residential product is multi-family, these units will likely be rental.

The City has approved a 141-unit apartment community at the intersection of Mount Vernon and Commonwealth Avenues. This project is across from the Nicholas A. Colsanto Park. This project will be immediately north of Commonwealth Avenue. This project demonstrates an investor’s trust that the market will move north on Mount Vernon Avenue. Because it is an extension of the Mount Vernon commercial district, it is a less risky development endeavor than what would be required at the Subject Site.

How this project fares is very important to the Subject Site’s redevelopment potential. If this new apartment complex commands high rents and achieves strong absorption, investors will likely look farther north on Mount Vernon Avenue for investment opportunities. This project will essentially fill in the land use gap on Mount Vernon Avenue between the Commonwealth Avenue intersection and the Calvert and the Mount Vernon Square office complex.
Conclusions

In considering the redevelopment of the Subject Site for apartments, there should be concern that with Potomac Yard and the “triangle site”, there may be a lot of apartment units coming onto the market at the same time. If competitively priced Potomac Yard is well positioned to capture the broad market that is mostly looking for a convenient location in the Alexandria/Arlington area. The “triangle site” on Mount Vernon Avenue is better positioned than the Subject Site to attract those households looking for a more unique, walkable, urban environment.

We conclude that it is unrealistic to assume that an investor will be interested in redeveloping the Subject Site for apartments in the near term; the rent premium is well above any other comparable project in the vicinity. The investment threshold for rental residential is $2.80 per square foot per month. The highest rent in an apartment complex in the Arlandria neighborhood is approximately $2.00 per square foot. The premium for new construction would require that the market support rents 40 percent higher than they are today. The market fundamentals such as household and average income growth are simply not there yet in the Arlandria neighborhood.

If the “triangle site” is successful on Mount Vernon Avenue north of Commonwealth Avenue, the redevelopment potential of the Subject Site will be significantly enhanced. W-ZHA concludes that redevelopment further south on Mount Vernon Avenue is necessary before the Subject Site’s potential can be fully realized.

OFFICE MARKET OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Rent and Price Threshold

New construction on the Subject Site will require that a developer successfully market office at a triple net rent over $29.50 per square foot per year. This equates to a full-service rent of approximately $38.00 per square foot.

Alexandria Multi-Tenant Office Market Overview

Multi-tenant office data from various sources indicate that the Alexandria office market is healthy and will continue to grow in volume and rental rate. The Base Realignment and Closure Act of 2005 (BRAC) could indirectly impact the Alexandria office market, however. Crystal City stands to lose 17,000 jobs as a result of BRAC which will cause office vacancy in this nearby market. Surplus supply in Crystal City could reduce Alexandria’s office absorption in the near term. The following paragraphs provide Citywide office market highlights.

Alexandria Benefits From Being Inside The Beltway

Rents are higher in sub-markets inside the Beltway. These areas are well accessed by public transit and are close to the economic hub, Washington, DC. The evolving value of transit is exemplified in MPRI’s recent lease at Braddock Place, a project that had been struggling.

Alexandria’s proximity to Washington, DC drives its multi-tenant office market. According to KAI/KLNB, a nationally recognized real estate brokerage firm, Alexandria possesses the fourth highest
concentration of professional association employment in the Country. The value of D.C. proximity is evidenced by the recent re-location of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) to Alexandria. An estimated 8,500 people work in this complex. This 2.5 million square foot tenant has acted as a catalyst and fueled additional office development in Alexandria’s Eisenhower area.

Transwestern Commercial Services is the largest full service real estate firm serving the Baltimore/Washington region. Its 4th Quarter 2007 office report for Northern Virginia states that “Given current conditions, rents should rise by 1% to 2% in 2008 for Northern Virginia submarkets located inside the Beltway and edge down for submarkets located outside of the Beltway.” The “Outlook” is published quarterly by Delta Associates, the research affiliate of Transwestern Commercial Services.

**Because of Its Location, Alexandria Commands Relatively High Office Rents**

Alexandria’s average rent ($36.40 per square foot per year) is above the Northern Virginia average ($34.04 per square foot per year). According to broker interviews, Alexandria office rents are highest in Old Town and lowest in the I-395 Corridor. Alexandria rents are similar to office rents in neighboring Crystal City/Pentagon City. This may change, however, as Crystal City will have to deal with job losses associated with the loss of the PTO and the Base Realignment and Closure Act of 2005 (BRAC). Crystal City stands to lose 17,000 jobs as a result of BRAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
<th>Avg. Rent /Yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arlington County</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossalyn</td>
<td>7,548,380</td>
<td>$43.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Metro Corridor</td>
<td>4,741,570</td>
<td>$34.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballston</td>
<td>5,608,540</td>
<td>$38.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal City/Pentagon City</td>
<td>11,759,270</td>
<td>$36.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Non-Metro</td>
<td>1,019,540</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alexandria</strong></td>
<td>12,909,040</td>
<td>$36.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Town</td>
<td>8,263,330</td>
<td>$37.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-395 Corridor</td>
<td>2,594,400</td>
<td>$27.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington/Eisenhower</td>
<td>2,051,310</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fairfax County</strong></td>
<td>73,405,200</td>
<td>$32.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loudoun County</strong></td>
<td>3,101,610</td>
<td>$28.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Northern VA Average</strong></td>
<td>120,093,140</td>
<td>$34.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Cushman & Wakefield, "Northern Virginia Office, Marketbeat Mid-Year 2007"

As the chart below demonstrates, Alexandria office rents have consistently increased since 2003 and vacancy has been declining.

---

Even With Growth Prospects, High Construction Costs Are A Concern

Transwestern’s 4th Quarter 2007 office report for Northern Virginia states: “With construction costs on the rise, developing new projects has become an expensive task. At year-end 2007, to construct a suburban office project, the approximate rent needed is $42.00 per square foot. Given easing market conditions, we expect development to ease over the next year, as inking leases at this high rate will become increasingly difficult.”

In summary, all indicators suggest that there will be demand for new office space in Alexandria over the next five years. The question is whether the Subject Site can effectively compete as an office location and capture a portion of this demand.

Office Site Selection Criteria

Office space is typically occupied by companies within the business and personal service, other services (professional, religious, fraternal organizations), finance and real estate, information, and government industries. Given these tenant types, office developers typically evaluate a prospective site with these criteria in mind:

- Proven market competitiveness of the location
- Visibility to target market
- Identity and image of location
- Cost
- Ability to accommodate parking
- Proximity to transit
- Proximity to goods and services.
In the following paragraphs the Subject Site is evaluated in light of these criteria.

**Proven Market Competitiveness of The Location**

There is very little conventional office space in the vicinity of the Subject Site. Upper Potomac West is not recognized as a corporate office location. The offices that do exist in the commercial core of Arlandria appear to service the local population.

The office space at the Mount Vernon Square office complex is the highest quality space in the vicinity of the Subject Site. Located on the southeast corner of the Mount Vernon Avenue and Herbert Street intersection, this project contains approximately 30,000 square feet of condominium office space in a townhouse configuration. Each building is about 4,500 square feet. Tax records indicate that this project was developed in the late 1980’s.

Occupancy appears to be high and recent sale transactions reflect relatively high prices at this location. The most recent sale in December 2000 commanded $283 per square foot. Sales in 2006 ranged from $234 to $312 per square foot. Tenants in this complex are mostly membership organizations or professional service establishments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date of Sale</th>
<th>Sq Ft</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Price /SF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3135 Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>7/27/2006</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>$655,000</td>
<td>$312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3131 Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>12/21/2007</td>
<td>1,942</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8/2/2002</td>
<td>4,419</td>
<td>$800,000</td>
<td>$181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3133 Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>5/10/2002</td>
<td>1,959</td>
<td>$680,000</td>
<td>$347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3137 Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>2/13/2006</td>
<td>1,883</td>
<td>$440,000</td>
<td>$234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3139 Mount Vernon Avenue</td>
<td>12/17/2004</td>
<td>4,277</td>
<td>$916,000</td>
<td>$214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Virginia Tax Records; W-ZHA

There is 3,000 square feet of space in Mount Vernon Square available for rent at $25 per square foot, full service. This is well below the office price threshold required by new construction -- $38 per square foot, full service.

Further south on Mount Vernon Avenue in the heart of Del Ray second-story office space is being marketed at $28 per square foot.
There is no office space being marketed in the Arlandria neighborhood through conventional commercial leasing outlets. Arlandria has the Mount Vernon Professional Building with 10,000 square feet, but we were unable to obtain the average rent charged at this location.

In Del Ray, office rents and sale prices are fairly high for an older commercial area. While not as high as the City’s average, there does appear to be demand for office space in Potomac West. The ability to market new space in Arlandria, however, is untested.

**Visibility to Target Market**

As compared to a Route 1 location or an I-395 location, visibility to the standard office tenant is weak at the Subject Site. The Site would be highly visible to a target market of ethnically oriented social and/or fraternal organizations, however.

**Identity and Image of The Location**

For a conventional office tenant seeking location cache, there are other locations far better positioned in the marketplace than Arlandria (like Potomac Yard or I-395). However, Arlandria would be an excellent location for a company whose corporate image and identity is linked to the Hispanic population and/or multi-culturalism.

**Cost**

The cost to construct an office building on the Subject Site will likely be as much or more than many of the competitive office locations. Potomac Yard and the Eisenhower possess greenfield characteristics; buildings can be built with conventional floorplates. The Subject Site is triangular which will force a less efficient, and potentially more costly, building design.

**Safety**

Safety is a very important factor in office siting. Prospective tenants are very concerned about the well-being of their employees. There is a perception that Arlandria is unsafe. This will greatly inhibit a developer’s ability to command the prices necessary to support new construction at this location.

**Ability To Accommodate Parking**

To realize the density envisioned by the community will require structured parking on the Subject Site. The Site’s ability to accommodate a functionally efficient parking garage is unknown.

**Proximity to Transit**

Metro is not within walking distance to the Subject Site. The Site is on Mount Vernon Avenue, however, which reportedly has excellent bus service.

**Proximity to Goods and Services**

The Subject Site is within easy walking distance of a CVS, Organic Food Market, specialty restaurants and other specialty stores and neighborhood services. Having these goods and services within walking distance is a convenience to office employees, visitors and customers.
The commercial district is a convenience, however, only if employees, visitors and customers are comfortable with its eclectic character. Many employers would not consider the commercial district an asset given its tenant mix and physical conditions. Once again, the Site satisfies these criteria only for the “right” tenant, not the average tenant.

Given these evaluation criteria, it appears that the Site is not well positioned for the conventional, corporate office tenant.

Service-Office Demand

Service-office space is different from corporate office space in that service-office space is directly tied to the demographics within the community. Banks, insurance brokers, accountants and membership organizations follow growth and generate demand for what W-ZHA terms “service-office space.”

W-ZHA estimates that over the next five years household growth coupled with income growth will generate within “Upper Potomac West” (census tracts 2012.03, 2012.02 and 2012.04) a need for 20,000 square feet of additional office space to serve the population. Arlandria growth will drive most of this demand.

This magnitude of service-office demand would not justify the redevelopment of a site the size of the Subject Site. Furthermore, these tenants are typically price sensitive. They will likely occupy under-utilized existing commercial space.

Office Market Conclusion

W-ZHA concludes that the Subject Site is not a competitive location for multi-tenant office targeting corporate clients. While there is service-office demand, it is not sufficiently large to justify the redevelopment of the Subject Site.

As a build-to-suit location, Arlandria’s distinct identity coupled with its location in Alexandria, eclectic commercial district and access to public transit could be attractive to the right business – one whose corporate image/identity is consistent with all that Arlandria has to offer. Consideration should be given to identifying target companies and marketing the Site to these prospects.

RETAIL MARKET OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Rent and Price Threshold

New mixed-use construction on the Subject Site will require that a developer successfully market retail at a rent of $29.65 per square foot per year. At this rent level, retailers will need to achieve a sales volume of approximately $400 per square foot.
Existing Conditions

There Is A Lot Of Retail In And Around Arlandria

There are three shopping centers in Arlandria: The Foodway Shopping Center, the Mount Vernon Village Center and The Shops On The Avenue. The Foodway Shopping Center contains 30,000 square feet and contains a grocery store, cleaners and shoe store. The center is directly across the street from the Subject Site.

Mount Vernon Village Center is the largest center with 59,600 square feet. A My Organic Market and a CVS anchor this center. There is one vacant store in the center of 3,000 square feet. It is currently being advertised at a rent of $28.00 per square foot per year.

With approximately 19,000 square feet, the Shops On The Avenue is fully occupied.

There are an additional 157,500 square feet of retail and service space in the Arlandria commercial district. Many of these establishments offer ethnic/multi-cultural cuisine, food and/or goods.

To the south of Arlandria is the Del Ray community and its commercial district on Mount Vernon. In 2002, this district contained approximately 100,000 square feet of restaurant and specialty retail space. The Mount Vernon Avenue commercial district is upscale and “yuppie”. There is retail space advertised in a strip center for $28.00 per square foot in this area.

Outside of Arlandria, but immediately, is the Arlington Ridge shopping center on South Glebe Road. This 84,500 square foot center is anchored by a Giant Food.

To the east of Arlandria on Route 1 is the Potomac Yard Shopping Center. This 590,000 square foot power center contains Target, Barnes and Noble, Hoyts Cinemas. Built in 1997, the Potomac Yard Shopping Center has been very successful.

Arlandria’s Commercial Space Is Well Occupied

A windshield survey revealed very little retail vacancy in Arlandria. It appeared essentially fully occupied.

Arlandria’s Tenant Mix and Environment Are Distinct

Most of the retail in Arlandria can be categorized as “specialty retail.” A majority of the establishments cater to the Latino community. The organic grocery caters to the “foodies” and the Birchmere caters to small venue, live music enthusiasts.

The physical environment is fairly poor. The buildings are old and have not been refreshed. The streetscape seems dated. Arlandria’s environment is the polar opposite of the Mount Vernon Districts minutes down the street!

Retail Opportunities and Challenges

The Market Potentials Analysis for the Arlandria/Upper Potomac West Retail District (“Market Potentials Analysis”) was conducted in 2002. This analysis included an inventory of retail and service space in Arlandria. A survey was conducted that revealed the proportion of Arlandria’s retail sales coming from the Arlandria neighborhood (72 percent) as well as average retail sales per square foot ($248). Data from this report is used to estimate existing conditions regarding the retail economy in Arlandria.

To the best of our knowledge, the supply of retail space has not changed significantly since 2002. According to the Market Potentials Analysis there were 209,800 square feet of retail space in Arlandria, excluding the Birchmere.

![Retail Inventory From Market Potentials Analysis](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convenience Goods</td>
<td>69,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoppers Goods</td>
<td>47,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating &amp; Drinking</td>
<td>26,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>53,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Space</strong></td>
<td><strong>209,800</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birchmere</td>
<td>56,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Space</strong></td>
<td><strong>265,800</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Randall Gross/Development Economics, "Arlandria.our place to be: Draft Market Potentials Analysis For The Arlandria/Upper Potomac West Retail District" (2002); W-ZHA

Unlike today, there was considerable retail vacancy in Arlandria in 2002. The Safeway building on the Subject Site was empty (estimated then to be 22,000 square feet) and another 21,000 square feet of retail space was vacant. Today, W-ZHA estimates the vacancy rate at no more than 5 percent.

The survey conducted for the Market Potentials Analysis revealed that 72 percent of the commercial district’s retail sales came from residents of Arlandria. The average sales-per-square-foot figure was estimated to be an impressive $286 per square foot.

Given census data from 2000, W-ZHA estimated the commercial district’s capture of Arlandria’s retail spending potential excluding car sales, gas sales and non-store retail spending. W-ZHA estimates that the Arlandria commercial district captured 68 percent of the neighborhood’s spending potential.
Given population and income projections from Claritas, Inc., the neighborhood’s retail spending potential will increase by $14.8 million over the next five years. If the District can continue to capture 68 percent there is the potential for existing retail to increase sales and for the market to support 16,100 square feet of new retail space assuming a sales volume of $350 per square foot.

A sales volume of $350 per square foot assumes a mix of low cost, one-story retail buildings and higher cost mixed-use type space. Retail in a quality mixed-use building with the investment threshold rent of approximately $30.00 per square foot will require approximately $400 per square foot in sales.
Additional retail demand can be expected from visitors to Arlandria. In 2002, these shoppers accounted for only 28 percent of the District’s sales. With My Organic Market well-established and Arlandria’s increasing notoriety as a good place to eat, the share of non-local sales should be higher today than it was in 2002. Assuming 35 percent of Arlandria’s retail sales are from non-neighborhood residents, by 2012, this market will create the demand for an additional 14,900 square feet of space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Neighborhood-Derived Retail Development Potential</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlandria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Net New Neighborhood Related Sales</td>
<td>$10,123,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood's Share of Arlandria's Total New Sales $^2$</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Net New Arlandria Retail Sales</td>
<td>$15,338,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales Derived From Outside The Neighborhood</td>
<td>$5,215,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assume 5% Sales Increase To Existing Tenants</td>
<td>($2,240,310)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Sales</td>
<td>$2,974,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supportable Square Feet @ $350 Sales /SF</td>
<td>8,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: W-ZHA

It is important to note that the visitor market is projected to continue to be the secondary market in Arlandria.

There is no question in our minds that Arlandria’s improving demographics and popularity will generate sufficient demand to warrant additional retail space. In terms of the Subject Site, however, the issue is whether the tenant-types best positioned to capitalize on the neighborhood’s retail potential are interested in locating in high cost, mixed use space. Both the neighborhood and visitors value the funky, independent operators, yet independent operators typically cannot afford to locate in newly constructed space. Credit tenants targeting the low to middle income household (Dollar Tree) may be willing to pay high rent for access, but such uses may not be suitable for a Gateway location.

Retail Conclusion

There is retail potential in Arlandria. From a market perspective, the near term opportunity is greatest for additional one-story, inexpensive space, however. Because this type of space is inexpensive it is attractive owner-operated, specialty retail; the type of retailers attracted to Arlandria’s eclectic character.