

City of Alexandria, Virginia

MEMORANDUM

TO: THE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS
OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

FROM: IGNACIO PESSOA
CITY ATTORNEY

DATE: SEPTEMBER 29, 2004

SUBJECT: TEXT AMENDMENT # 2004-0008
AMENDMENT TO NONCOMPLYING USE REGULATIONS
APPLICABLE TO COAL FIRED POWER PLANTS

ISSUE: Consideration of a text amendment to the Zoning Ordinance to revoke the noncomplying use status of coal fired electrical power generating plants, and to categorize such plants as nonconforming uses, subject to abatement.

RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Commission adopt the following amendment to add new Section 12-216 (NONCONFORMING ELECTRICAL POWER GENERATING PLANTS) under Section 12-200 (NONCONFORMING USES) of Article XII (NONCOMPLIANCE AND NONCONFORMITY) of the City of Alexandria Zoning Ordinance:

[The following is all new language.]

Section 12-216 *Nonconforming electrical power generating plants.*

- (A) Notwithstanding any contrary provision of this ordinance, any electrical power generating plant in existence on (date of final adoption), which produces power through the combustion of coal, and is located in a zone in which such use is neither a permitted or special use permit use, or in a zone in which such use is a special use permit use but for which a special use permit for the entire use has not been granted, shall be deemed a nonconforming use, and shall be subject to abatement as provided in Section 12-214(A) of this ordinance.
- (B) Any nonconforming use subject to this Section 12-216 shall comply with the following rules regarding repairs, improvements and alterations to the building and the use, unless a special use permit has been granted pursuant to Section 12-214(A), which provides otherwise:
 - (1) No alteration to a building which directly prolongs the life of the nonconforming use shall be permitted.

- (2) Fixtures used in connection with the generation of power, such as turbines, generators, boilers, smokestacks, fuel or ash receiving or handling facilities, control systems and pollution control equipment, may not be installed, replaced or upgraded, although ordinary repairs and maintenance to such fixtures as existed on (date of final adoption) is permitted; provided, however, that the installation, replacement or upgrade of pollution control equipment may be permitted if approval therefor is obtained by special use permit issued pursuant to the Section 11-500 of this ordinance.
- (3) Ordinary repairs and maintenance to building components, such as HVAC equipment, electrical service, siding, shingles and roofing, are permitted.

DISCUSSION: Under the 1992 Zoning Ordinance, electrical power generating plants are permitted in the UT/Utilities and Transportation Zone, if approved by special use permit. Power plants which predate the SUP requirement were classified as noncomplying uses.¹

Noncomplying use status is a benefit or privilege conferred by the City in 1992, and, *inter alia*, allows a use such as a power plant, which now requires, but has never obtained, special use permit approval, to continue in existence indefinitely, and to be repaired, modernized and reconstructed.

Since 1992, several types of uses have had this favored status revoked because the City has determined that the prolonged operation of such uses would have an adverse impact on the community.

Revocation of noncomplying use status results in the use becoming a nonconforming use, and thus Section 12-214 of the Zoning Ordinance requires that operation of the use terminate within seven years, unless (1) a special use permit allowing continued operation of the use is approved, or (2) a special use permit extending the seven year abatement period to such longer period as an individual operator demonstrates would be reasonable given the operator's legitimate investment in the affected business is approved. Alternatively, where the zone in which the existing use is located permits the use by special use permit, the operator has the additional avenue of seeking to obtain such a permit and thus to remove altogether the burden of nonconforming status.

¹ As the term is used in the Alexandria Zoning Ordinance, only one "electrical power generating plant" presently exists in the City, the Mirant Potomac River Generating Station at 1400 North Royal Street, and this plant is currently a noncomplying use. The Arlington-Alexandria Waste to Energy Plant is classified in the Zoning Ordinance as a "waste to energy plant," and this use is permitted in the I/Industrial Zone by special use permit. The existing waste to energy plant at 5301 Eisenhower Avenue, however, is located in the OCM(100) Zone, as is thus also classified as a noncomplying use. Nothing in the proposed text amendment affects the "waste to energy plant" use in any fashion.

Absent one of these special use permits, financial investment to prolong the life of the nonconforming use is severely restricted.²

On June 22, 2004, City Council adopted as City policy that “coal-fired, power-generation operations are not compatible with the Council’s long-term vision for Alexandria, from both an environmental and land use perspective, and, accordingly . . . that all [such] operations cease and all [such] facilities be removed from Alexandria.” As part of its implementation of this policy, Council adopted Resolution No. 2111, and formally initiated the process of revoking the noncomplying use status of coal fired power plants which have not obtained a special use permit for the lawful operation of the use. See docket materials, Attachment 1.

Since 1992, substantial evidence of harmful effects from the continued operation of older, coal fired power plants has become available. Such older plants have been shown to emit into the air and water high volumes (up to 10 times dirtier as compared to newer plants with more advanced control technology or different fuel sources) of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and sulphur dioxide (SO₂ or SO_x), small (PM_{2.5}) and large (PM₁₀) particulate matter as well as compounds of barium, chromium, copper, lead, manganese, mercury, nickel, selenium, vanadium and zinc. The harmful impact on the surrounding area from older plants with shorter stacks (as opposed to newer plants with taller stacks) is magnified because short stacks offer less opportunity for dilution and dispersion of the contaminants, resulting in higher concentrations in the environs of the plant. These pollutants contribute to summer ozone problems, cause acid rain, increase the incidence of pulmonary, cardiovascular and other diseases, and rain nuisance dusts and toxic metals on the plant’s environs and the entire region.

As discussed above, nonconforming use status carries with it restrictions on financial investment which will prolong the life of the use. Because such restrictions might prevent the installation of new or enhanced pollution control equipment on a nonconforming power plant, and thus prevent interim improvements to air and water quality during the abatement period, the Council requested that this text amendment include, as it does, a provision allowing improvements in pollution control equipment, subject to special use permit approval.

I conclude that the imposition of nonconforming use status in these circumstances, coupled with the provisions of the text amendment which allow a nonconforming power station to remove the onus of nonconforming status by obtaining a special use permit under the UT Zone to operate indefinitely subject to conditions, or in the alternative to continue operation as a nonconforming use but subject to special use permit conditions, and to implement improved pollution control

² A copy of Section 12-214 of the Zoning Ordinance is Attachment 2.

technology, will afford the City the necessary flexibility to address from a zoning perspective and in an orderly fashion the land use impacts and harmful environmental effects from the continued operation of older, coal fired power plants. Accordingly, I recommend that the Planning Commission adopt the proposed text amendment.³

³ As described in the memorandum from the City Manager and T&ES Director to Council dated September 28, 2004, Attachment 3, the Mirant Potomac River Generating Station is the subject of a proposed consent decree and regulatory order by consent which address some, but not all, of the health and environmental issues related to this vintage plant. In particular, the consent decree, which is subject *inter alia* to approval by the Texas court handling Mirant's bankruptcy case, would permit Mirant to install "technology more effective than SOFA [Separated Over-Fire Air] at reducing NOx emissions" at the plant. Such technology would involve the shipment, storage and use of ammonia at levels which would pose additional and different environmental risks to Alexandria. In addition, any investment in new technology at the plant may prolong the life of this use, contrary to the position adopted by Council that the plant should be closed. The proposed text amendment gives the City a formal, regulatory role in determining the future, and the future land use and environmental impacts, of this facility, and nothing in the consent decree excuses Mirant from complying with otherwise valid local zoning regulations. Thus, the proposed consent decree should not be seen as obviating the need for this text amendment.

Attachment 1 Docket materials, June 22, 2004
Attachment 2 Zoning Ordinance Section 12-214
Attachment 3 Memorandum, September 28, 2004

ATTACHMENTS AVAILABLE IN THE PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE